

| | |
|--|--|
| Send Fire Histories in Forests West of the Cascade Crest PowerPoint Presentation | Natalie Church |
| RCS Prospective 6 Questions due by February 16th | Aimee McIntyre, A.J. Kroll, and Julie Dieu |
| Contact PIs on list of proposed CMER Science Conference presentations to see if they are available to present on May 9 th . | Project Managers |

Welcome, Introductions, & Old Business

A.J. Kroll, CMER co-chair

Natalie Church called roll.

Ground Rules:

- Hans Berge read “Be concise and to the point”.
- A.J. Kroll read “Be respectful of others”.

Staff Updates:

- Lori Clark explained that Rachel Rubin’s parental leave has started.
- Jenelle Black explained that Greg Stewart’s position has officially been posted and NWIFC is accepting applications.
- Lori Clark heard from Tim Quinn, WDFW, that the AMP science review has completed the study design phase and is now transitioning into phase 2, data collection with CMER and Policy participants.
- Dr. Gretchen Sneegas is going to reach out to members to be interviewed.

TFW Policy Updates:

Brandon Austin gave an update on what was discussed at the January TFW Policy meeting.

Public Comments:

No public comments

Fire Histories in Forests West of the Cascade Crest:

A.J. Kroll gave a brief introduction for James Johnston. James Johnston gave a PowerPoint Presentation on the Fire Histories in Forests West of the Cascade Crest.

Science Conference Projects:

A.J Kroll gave a brief explanation of what the Science Conference workgroup discussed this month. The group reviewed a table of completed and current CMER projects. To be considered (in the “yes” column) the project would need to be recently completed and not have had been presented at a prior CMER conference. Joe asked what the difference is between the two different Extensive Monitoring projects (ERVM and Extensive Monitoring). Chris explained that there are multiple Extensive Monitoring projects and EVRM has been completed. Given that there was a pilot study done on EVRM it could be a separate presentation. Harry asked about the Phase II Wetland Intrinsic Potential, Debbie explained the project and added that Meghan might be the presenter. AJ Kroll explained that it is intended that each project will be presented for 30 minutes with some time for Q&A (15 min presentation 15 Q&A) and 1 hour for lunch. Jenelle shared that since the Wetland Intrinsic Potential project has had more work done someone should

verify with Meghan is the current project status. Aimee asked about why the post-harvest genetics and HR III are put together. HR III is in implantation and the other is completed but they do have a connection. HR III would be a status update. Separated HR III and Post-Harvest Amphibian Genetics. Jenny Knoth explained that some of these could be poster projects. The posters could be displayed around the room so people can get the information. Jenelle asked if we could send out the report or link for the projects with the material that will be sent out. Chris suggested in chat “live presentation on eDNA preferred. Consider poster for non-finished projects – scoped, study designs, etc.” Julie Dieu in chat “graduate student already has a poster for the roads experiment – maybe that instead of a talk?” The table of potential projects will be added to SPO.

Extensive Monitoring Update:

Alexander Prescott gave a brief update on Extensive Monitoring joint workshop with CMER and Policy. The goal is to make progress on gaining clarity on the “problem” and “purpose” statement and associated Critical Questions so that RSAG can complete the Charter for CMER and Policy review and approval and advance the scoping phase of this project. The meeting was intended to get the questions answered. Policy will restart workgroup. Firming up project team and sketching out the PI of the project, draft charter to be sent to CMER soon. Scoping document in further future.

RCS Memo – Request from TFW Policy:

Lori Clark presented a memo from Eastern Washington Tribal Governments, WDFW, and Ecology Policy Committee representatives concerning Riparian Characteristics and Shade (RCS) Study Alternative Site Selection Criteria for Eastern Washington Sites. She explained that TFW Policy would like CMER’s technical input on this request within 2 months. CMER’s recommendations will be presented to TFW Policy on April 6, 2023. Rachel Rubin, RCS project PI, is in support of memo and could help with resolving the eastside tribes' concerns. The memo is not requesting an alternative to or deviation from the project Scoping Document or Study Design. The criteria are requested “if possible”. Joe suggested to have it sent to RSAG. Chris suggested that it be moved to SAGE and RSAG.

RCS Prospective 6 Questions:

Anna Toledo reviewed the perspective 6 questions document. She explained that there is 30-day review period. Comment by February 16th. The document will be on SPO to review. She reminded reviewers that when accessing SPO, to select” reviewing mode” as this will show track changes. Short discussion on SPO.

Susceptibility/Runout Study Design Dispute Resolution Update:

Julie Dieu reviewed the CMER SAG Request. is the current dispute is a process problem not a technical problem. The project team doesn’t have first study (Criteria - (2) Object-Based Landform Mapping with High-Resolution Topography) in hand and it could affect the Susceptibility/Runout Study Design. Project Team has been working on this. The Susceptibility/Runout Study Design could possibly be delivered to CMER in February but most likely in March. Chris asked if this is request is it to extend the informal stage. He asked about the three different things that will be done/listed on the memo. Julie Dieu responded to his questions. Lori clarified that the AMPA and Co-Chairs can decide to extend the informal stage of the dispute. The SAG CMER Request is to request that CMER allow the Susceptibility/Runout Study Design to go back to UPSAG and the Project Team to review the sections that may need revisions. UPSAG and the Project Team will only review /revise the sections listed in the CMER SAG request.

CMER SAG Updates:

Each SAG reviewed the CMER SAG document, which was updated live as needed.

List of Attendees

| Attendees | Representing |
|---------------------|--|
| Austin, Brandon | Department of Ecology - TFW Policy Co-chair |
| §Bell, Harry | Washington Farm Forestry Association – Small Forest Landowners |
| Black, Jenelle | NWIFC CMER Scientist |
| §Berge, Hans | UCUT – Eastern Washington Tribes |
| Church, Natalie | DNR – CMER Coordinator |
| Clark, Lori | DNR Project Manager – Acting Adaptive Management Program Administrator |
| §Dieu, Julie | Rayonier |
| Hawkins, Tracy | DNR |
| Johnston, James | Oregon State University – Guest Presenter |
| §Kay, Debbie | Suquamish Tribe |
| §Knoth, Jenny | Washington Farm Forestry Association/ CMER Co-Chair |
| §Kroll, A.J. | Weyerhaeuser – CMER Co-Chair |
| §Martin, Doug | Washington Forest Protection Association |
| §McIntyre, Aimee | Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| §Meleason, Mark | Counties Caucus |
| §Mendoza, Chris | Conservation Caucus |
| §Mobbs, Mark | Quinalt Indian Nation |
| Mitchell, Robert | Member of the Public |
| Murray, Joe | Washington Forest Protection Association |
| Prescott, Alexander | DNR Project Manager |
| Roorbach, Ash | Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission |
| Rubin, Rachel | DNR CMER Scientist |
| Schofield, Jenny | DNR Project Manager |
| Stanley, Court | Counties Caucus - TFW Policy Co-chair |
| Toledo, Anna | DNR Project Manager |
| Walter, Jason | ISAG co-chair |
| Venneman, Nikki | Suquamish Tribe |

§CMER Voting Member