

Cooperative Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Committee

Tuesday, April 23, 2024 // 9:00AM – 12:50PM

Virtual Only

Motions	
Motion	Move/Second (Vote)
<p>March 2024 Meeting Minutes</p> <p><u>Motion:</u> Welles Bretherton moved to approve the March 2024 meeting minutes.</p> <p>The motion passed</p>	<p>Seconded: Hans Berge</p> <p>Up: A.J. Kroll, Debbie Kay, Mark Mobbs, Welles Bretherton, Jenny Knoth, Aimee McIntyre, Julie Dieu, Hans Berge, Doug Martin, Mark Meleason, Chris Mendoza, and Harry Bell.</p>
<p>ENREP Limited Extension Request</p> <p><u>Motion:</u> Jenny Knoth moved to approve the request from SAGE regarding the ENREP extension forward to Policy where Policy should understand that CMER has additional information they wish to accompany the request to assist with their decision making.</p> <p>The motion failed</p>	<p>Seconded: Mark Meleason</p> <p>Up: Jenny Knoth, Aimee McIntyre, Welles Bretherton, Doug Martin, Mark Meleason, Harry Bell, Hans Berge, Debbie Kay, Julie Dieu, Mark Mobbs, and A.J. Kroll.</p> <p>Down: Chris Mendoza</p>
<p>ENREP Limited Extension Request</p> <p><u>Motion:</u> Chris Mendoza moved that the project team, SAGE, and CMER follow the Extended Monitoring process directed by the FP Board in 2019.</p> <p><u>Friendly Amendment:</u> That the project team, SAGE, and CMER follow the Extended Monitoring process directed by the FP Board in 2019. SAGE should strive to have document finished in time for CMER’s May 2024 meeting if possible.</p> <p>The motion passed</p>	<p>Seconded: Welles Bretherton</p> <p>Up: Aimee McIntyre, Welles Bretherton, Debbie Kay, Hans Berge, Chris Mendoza, Mark Mobbs, Doug Martin, Julie Dieu, and A.J. Kroll.</p> <p>Abstain: Jenny Knoth (fine either way), Harry Bell, Mark Meleason</p>
<p>Roads Sediment Trap Efficiency (STE) Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP)</p> <p><u>Motion:</u> Jenny Knoth moved to approve the Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP) as presented by the Road’s project team.</p>	<p>Seconded: Mark Mobbs</p> <p>Up: A.J. Kroll, Harry Bell, Julie Dieu, Jenny Knoth, Hans Berge, Welles Bretherton, Mark Meleason, Chris Mendoza, Doug Martin, Aimee McIntyre, Mark Mobbs, and Debbie Kay.</p>

The motion passed	
<p>Roads Interim Report Extension Request</p> <p><u>Motion:</u> Hans Berge moved to approve the Roads Project interim report 2-month extension request.</p> <p>The motion passed</p>	<p>Seconded: Jenny Knoth</p> <p>Up: A.J. Kroll, Doug Martin, Mark Meleason, Jenny Knoth, Julie Dieu, Welles Bretherton, Debbie Kay Hans Berge, Chris Mendoza, Mark Mobbs, Aimee McIntyre, and Harry Bell.</p>
<p>Deep-Seated Landslide Prospective 6 Questions</p> <p><u>Motion:</u> Mark Meleason moved to approve the Deep-Seated Landslide Prospective 6 Questions document.</p> <p>The motion passed</p>	<p>Seconded: Welles Bretherton</p> <p>Up: Hans Berge, Jenny Knoth, Julie Dieu, Welles Bretherton, Debbie Kay, Harry Bell, Aimee McIntyre, Chris Mendoza, Mark Meleason, A.J. Kroll, Mark Mobbs, and Doug Martin.</p>

Action Items	
Action Items	Responsibility
Work with the ENREP project team to get a date that they need to have the approval by.	Anna Toledo
ENREP Project Team to start work on the extended monitoring request process documentation.	Anna Toledo/Project Team
Ensure that all historical ENREP project documents are available on SharePoint	Anna Toledo/Project Team
Make updates to CMER SAG Updates document	Natalie Church
Bring CMER Co-chair nominations to May CMER meeting.	CMER voting members

Welcome, Introductions, & Old Business

Aimee McIntyre, CMER co-chair

Aimee McIntyre took roll call.

Ground Rules

- Mark Meleason read “Stay on topic”.

- Welles Bretherton read “Be trusting and trustworthy”.

Staff Updates

- The CMER Co-chair rotation is coming up, bring forward any nominations at next month’s meeting and then the vote is going to be in June since July is when the new CMER co-chair term starts.
- Lori provided a CMER Dispute update. There were 6 position papers received by the April 11th deadline. The AMPA memo that satisfies the AMPA’s requirement to notify CMER in writing within 14 days is due on April 25, 2024.
- There were no additional comments/edits received for the PSM (due April 16th). The revised PSM will be posted on the CMER website this week.
- Lori requested the CMER Natural Resources Technician Position agenda item be moved to May. Lori and Jenelle are working together to provide information to CMER for a discussion next month. Lori shared that she has only heard compliments from AMP staff and CMER participants on Robert’s work on AMP projects. The NWIFC submitted the Q1 and Q2 reports and invoices in mid-March and Jenelle submitted the Q3 report last week. They will provide materials for CMER to review in advance of the May meeting.

Public Comments

No public comments

TFW Policy Updates

Cody Thomas gave an update on what was discussed at the April 2024 Timber Fish and Wildlife (TFW) Policy Committee meeting.

Roads Project Monthly Project Update

Julie Dieu gave a description of the second interim report goals. She explained the sample intervals of one rain year as a piece of time that has traffic and sample data. The second interim report is going to be the sample intervals data and analysis and input data in data gaps. The report will also be an opportunity for the project team to have a conversation with CMER on how data analysis methods and other data management processes.

ENREP Limited Extension

Anna Toledo explained that this item was discussed at last month’s meeting, and it did not pass and there was a request to discuss at this month’s meeting. She explained that since the last meeting, AMP staff were informed that there was a previously approved process for requesting a monitoring extension. This process was developed in response to a request by the Forest Practices Board (FPB) in 2018. A workgroup was formed which produced a report which outlines a process for considering extended monitoring. That report was approved by CMER and Policy in 2019 and then delivered to the FPB. She explained that there was not time before this meeting to update the Prospective 6 Questions document and have it approved by SAGE, however Tim Link’s presentation covers information related to the prospective 6 questions. She explained the ask of CMER today is CMER to approve the extension or provide scientific rationale why they don't approve it. Tim Link gave a brief presentation on the project team’s rationale for this request with updates to prospective 6 questions within his PowerPoint. Tim shared a preliminary timeline on his screen and answered questions. Chris gave a brief background of the extension request form. He explained that the FPB had a previous request relative to the original 2 years pre- 2 years post BACI study to explore options for reducing the budget required for ENREP. He would like the extended monitoring process followed and he thinks that we need to pull in all historical documents relevant to ENREP. Lori explained that Policy is requesting a scientific perspective from CMER to help inform their decision. Chris believes that the current extension request and accompanying

memo are missing important historical information. He said that he is willing to work with the project team to fill in the important information and create a prospective 6 questions document for the extended monitoring request. Jenny Knoth explained that she feels comfortable sending forward the current memo and proposed that we can tell Policy that we have more information coming with the extended monitoring document at a later date. Welles Bretherton suggested that CMER tell Policy we will have all of the necessary information at a later date and whether they are okay if we continue monitoring this summer since it is a short timeline between now and when spring sampling begins. Chris says that he does not agree with/approve the presentation since it says that the study's original objective will not be completed unless extended monitoring is done and that is not true. Tim Link clarified that he didn't mean to suggest that ENREP is not accomplishing the objectives of the study, but that it's not able to evaluate the longer-term effectiveness of riparian buffers. It was decided to have the project team continue on with the extended monitoring process put forward from the FPB in 2019.

Roads Project Data Use Notification

Alexander Prescott explained that this is another data use notification from the Roads project. Amanda Alvis described what data are being used in her paper that discusses the results of the Roads project's Road Micro-Topography Evolution parameterization experiment. Aimee explained that this is not a request this is just a notification to CMER.

Roads Sediment Trap Efficiency (STE) Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP)

Alexander Prescott gave a brief background of the CMER request and reviewed the changes that were made to the Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP). Julie explained that they changed the flow rates due to the actual flow rate out in the field and changed the efficiency versus available volume.

Roads Interim Report Extension Request

Alexander Prescott explained that the Road's project team is requesting a 2-month extension on the second interim report. He explained that the project team has been busy due to the high volume of project-related work that has been happening and will continue to occur.

Deep-Seated Landslide Prospective 6 Questions

Theryn Henkel explained that this is the first draft of the Deep-Seated Landslide prospective 6 questions. This is for the 4.5-4.6 of the larger landslide strategy. Chris Mendoza explained that there was a draft of the Prospective 6 Questions from May 26, 2020. Theryn explained that she does have it and thought it was under the strategy not the project.

Extensive Monitoring Interim Principal Investigator (PI)

Alexander Prescott explained that this is just a notification that Jeff Robbins has been appointed as the interim PI for the Extensive Monitoring project. He explained that the live project documents have been updated as well. Joe Murray asked for the reason for why this is not up for an approval at CMER. Alexander pulled up the PSM and shared the section that explained that the AMPA is the one that makes the decision. Jenny Knoth explained that she hopes that we can do a solicitation to find a more permanent PI. Lori explained the sponsoring committee is RSAG, not CMER so that is why CMER does not need to approve.

CMER SAG Updates

Each SAG reviewed the CMER SAG updates document, which was updated live as needed.

List of Attendees

Attendees	Representing
§Bell, Harry	WFFA– Small Forest Landowners

§Berge, Hans	UCUT – Eastern Washington Tribes
§Bretherton, Welles	Department of Ecology
§Dieu, Julie	Rayonier
§Kay, Debbie	Suquamish Tribe
§Knoth, Jenny	Washington Farm Forestry Association
§Kroll, A.J.	CMER Co-Chair
§Martin, Doug	Washington Forest Protection Association
§McIntyre, Aimee	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife/CMER Co-Chair
§Meleason, Mark	Counties Caucus
§Mendoza, Chris	Conservation Caucus
§Mobbs, Mark	Quinault Tribe
Alvis, Amanda	University of Washington
Black, Jenelle	NWIFC CMER Scientist
Church, Natalie	DNR – CMER Coordinator
Clark, Lori	Adaptive Management Program Administrator
Freeman, Elise	CMER Scientist
Greenwood, Emma	Spokane Tribe
Hawkins, Charles	
Hawkins, Tracy	DNR
Henkel, Theryn	DNR Supervisory Project Manager
Heimburg, John	WDFW Habitat Program
Holy, Shae	Skokomish Tribe
Hooks, Doug	WFPA
Link, Timothy	University of Idaho
Lower, Adam	Chehalis Tribe
Mitchell, Robert	Member of the Public
Murray, Joe	Washington Forest Protection Association
Prescott, Alexander	DNR Project Manager
Robbins, Jeff	Department of Ecology
Roorbach, Ash	Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission
Rubin, Rachel	CMER Scientist
Schofield, Jenny	DNR Project Manager
Toledo, Anna	DNR Project Manager
Walter, Jason	Weyerhaeuser
Williamson, Tanner	CMER Scientist

§CMER Voting Member