The following is a task list that tracks tasks to be done or recently completed by the TFW Policy Committee.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Tasks (priority levels: high; medium; low)</th>
<th>Tasks To Do</th>
<th>Completed Tasks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Task: Review and respond to the AMPA’s *Recommendations for Implementing the Unstable Slopes Proposal Initiation* (deep-seated and shallow-rapid landslides)  
Owner: Policy Committee & UPSAG  
Note: This also includes groundwater recharge areas for DSLs, which is being met by the mass wasting research strategy.  
Type: High | Task: Review by rule group the relevant L-1 questions, resource objectives, thresholds, and critical questions, then revisit as projects come up for approval. Type N and Wetlands rule groups are done. Review the remaining in this order: Unstable Slopes, Type F, Roads, Fish Passage, Pesticides, and CMZs.  
Owner: Policy Committee | Task: Develop a Wetlands Research Strategy  
Owner: WETSAG/CMER/Policy  
Date complete: January 2015  
Link to product: |
| Task: SFLOs Westside Alternate Template  
Owner: SFLOs Template Subgroup  
Priority: Medium | Task: Revisit the mass wasting research strategy with UPSAG. Include a review of the L-1 questions.  
Owner: Policy/UPSAG | Task: Develop an action plan to complete implementation of Type N strategy with respect to UMPPF location.  
Owner: Policy Committee  
Date complete: October 2015 (now to DNR)  
Link to product: |
| Task: Review Hard Rock study chapters.  
Owner: Policy, once chapters are ready from CMER. | Task: Determine Type F/N regulatory break; implement permanent water typing rules; identify fish habitat  
Owner: Policy Committee; various subgroups (both technical and policy)  
Date complete: April 2017  
Link to product: **Note that Policy is complete with its part and the Board has directed a technical group to work on PHB definition.** |
Parking Lot

- CMER streamlining, including:
  - How to maintain science/policy firewall (discussion with CMER and Policy).
  - LEAN process – consider how to increase efficiency and speed up timeline.
  - Increase CMER’s capacity and/or efficiency to do more projects, especially with the additional funding in 2015-17 biennium.
- How to make a stronger coalition for environmental issues that includes diverse stakeholder groups (like the Washington Watershed Restoration Initiative).
- How Policy decides to move an informal conversation into a formal process/discussion (like with off-channel habitat discussion).
- Risk tolerance and how much Policy decides to tolerate.
- How to incorporate and improve forest health and fire prevention.
- AMP communications and outreach strategy for important decisions.
- Economic impact analysis: define and discuss need for economic impact analyses, including what, when, why, by who, etc.
- Eastside performance targets.
- How to proceed with extensiveness monitoring related to fish passage.
- Climate change – consider if and how climate change should be considered in the AMP.
- Determine timing and coordination between compliance monitoring and effectiveness monitoring projects. Compliance monitoring is a DNR program, not part of the AMP, yet still has implications on the work of the AMP.

Note: Two tasks for DNR, not Policy:
- Board Manual review/revisions
- Conduct an independent review once every 10 years on the structure, process, and performance of the AMP. To be done by an independent 3rd-party research organization and to include considerations such as: structure and function for technical performance, fiscal efficiency, and overall accountability; performance and efficiency of the consensus-based decision process; the rigor of CMER science and responsiveness of CMER to body of Pacific Northwest science; and the interactions of science and policy.