Motion 1: The SFLO Caucus moves that:

TFW Policy accepts the relative effectiveness Table 2 findings in the ISPR reviewed Cramer Fish Sciences/Mark Teply Consulting’s “Small Forest Landowner Alternate Plan Template review, April 28, 2019”.

Potential Language for Dispute Resolution upon non-consensus votes on Motion 1:

The SFLO caucus invokes Dispute Resolution Initiation based on non-consensus at TFW Policy regarding the relative effectiveness Table 2 findings in the ISPR reviewed Cramer Fish Sciences/Mark Teply Consulting’s “Small Forest Landowner Alternate Plan Template review, April 28, 2019”.

Motion 2: The SFLO Caucus moves that:

Policy recommends the Forest Practice Board approves alternate restrictions regardless of Site Class for SFLOs (only) that incorporate:

A. 75’ Variable Width RMZs for all Fish/Shoreline Waters where the BFWs are greater than 15’*
B. 50’ Variable Width RMZs for all Fish/Shoreline Waters where the BFWs are 5’ to 15’*
C. 25’ Fixed Width RMZs for all Fish Waters where the BFWs are less than 5’*
D. 25’ Fixed Width RMZs FULL LENGTH for all Np Waters.*

* = Potential alternate prescriptions, if any, available within this RMZ width to be determined in other processes

Potential Language for Dispute Resolution upon non-consensus votes on motion 2:

The SFLO caucus invokes Dispute Resolution Initiation based on non-consensus at TFW Policy on RMZ width recommendations to the Forest Practice Board (for SFLOs only) that have been supported by Policy and AMPA directed science reviews, and that the WFFA proponents’ caucus believe are consistent with:

- RCW 76.13.100 (2) standard for “…alternate harvest restrictions on smaller harvest units that may have a relatively low impact on aquatic resources.”
- RCW 76.09.368 which in part reads “The legislature intends that small forest landowners have access to alternate plan processes or alternate harvest restrictions, or both if necessary, that meet the public resource protection standards set forth in RCW 76.09.370(3), but which also lowers the overall cost of regulation to small forest landowners including, but not limited to, timber value forgone, layout costs, and operating costs.”
- WAC 222-12-0401 (6) standard to “provide protection for public resources at least equal in overall effectiveness to the protection provided in the act and rules.”
- WAC 222-12-0403 (3) standard of “…strategies to simplify the development of future plans or strategies, including low impact situations and site-specific physical features”.
- And other relevant WAC and RCW referenced in our proposal initiation document of January 21, 2015.

Motion 3: The SFLO Caucus moves that:

TFW Policy agrees that “The legislature further finds that small forest landowners should have the option of alternate management plans or alternate harvest restrictions on smaller harvest units that may have a relatively low impact on aquatic resources” beyond WAC 222-30-023 Riparian management zones for exempt 20-acre parcels and the two Alternate Plan Templates for Small Forest Landowners (Board Manual Section 21).

Potential Language for Dispute Resolution upon non-consensus votes on Motion 3:

The SFLO caucus invokes Dispute Resolution Initiation based on non-consensus at TFW Policy regarding the intent of RCW 76.13.100 (2) partial - “The legislature further finds that small forest landowners should have the option of alternate management plans or alternate harvest restrictions on smaller harvest units that may have a relatively low impact on aquatic resources.”