April 14, 2021

**To:** Forest Practices Board's Water Typing Rule Committee

From: Anadromous Fish Floor Project Team

**Subject:** Direction for the Anadromous Fish Floor Analysis

The Anadromous Fish Floor Project Team is in the process of preparing a recommendation for a spatial analysis based on the different AFF proposals. This work has included developing a lidar-based hydrolayer in the selected watersheds, compiling anadromous and resident fish data, and checking for errors in the resulting GIS maps displaying these channel and fish data. Most recently, the Project Team has been discussing how the different AFF proposals (tribal and landowner) are to be interpreted and treated in the analysis. These conversations have focused in particular on how to interpret the 5%, 7% and 10% gradient thresholds that were approved by the Board at their May 2018 meeting.

## **Project team questions for Board Committee on water typing:**

There is uncertainty within the AFF Project Team if the Board intended for the 5%, 7%, and 10% gradient floor alternatives to be incorporated into the landowner proposal (as appears to be the case in alternative C – see below), or for the landowner proposal to be written as in alternative 'D', and the 5%, 7% or 10% gradient thresholds be analyzed as separate alternatives (alternative 'E')?

## **Recommendation:**

To continue making progress on the project, the Project Team recommends analyzing each option from the table below as part of the GIS analysis. If needed, the full Board may clarify its intention with these gradient values, and at a future date provide guidance to the AFF workgroup accordingly.

Alternative A <sup>1</sup>	Alternative C <sup>1</sup>	Alternative D <sup>2</sup>	Alternative E <sup>3</sup>
Waters within the	Waters within the	Waters within the	Waters within the
anadromous fish floor.	anadromous fish floor.	anadromous fish floor.	anadromous fish floor.
These are waters	These are waters	These are waters	These are waters
connected to saltwater	connected to saltwater	connected to saltwater	connected to saltwater
and extending	that have a sustained	that are included in	and extending to a
upstream to a	gradient of 5% [or 7%	widely available GIS	sustained 5% or [7% or
sustained 10% gradient	or 10%] or less, and	datasets of known and	10%] gradient. These
or a permanent natural	include associated	presumed anadromous	waters contain main
barrier, whichever	tributaries lacking a 5%	use (such as SWIFD or	stem stream segments
comes first. These	gradient increase or	StreamNet), and	and associated
waters contain main	permanent natural	include associated	tributaries.
stem stream segments	obstacle at the junction	tributaries lacking a 5%	
and associated	with the main stem.	gradient increase or	
tributaries.		permanent natural	
		obstacle at the junction	
		with the main stem.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Language accepted by the FP Board at the May 2019 Board meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Language crafted by AFF Project Team to reflect the AFF proposal as explained by the large landowner caucus in April 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Language crafted by the project team. This alternative includes the 5%, 7%, or 10% component of alternative C, but does not include the natural barrier component of alternative A or the 5% gradient increase component of alternative D.