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1. Background

- SAO recommended 13 actions to improve program performance.
- 11 of these recommendations were for the Forest Practices Board to consider.
- The Board approved a response plan for the implementation of the 11 recommendations.
- The plan committed TFW Policy and the AMP Administrator to present to the Board a list of net gains options for SAO recommendation #5 at the Board’s May 2022 meeting.
2. Rec. # 5: Adopt a Net Gains Option

“Use a net gains approach to each proposal, project, and decision that benefits more than one caucus by considering packages of projects instead of individual projects” SAO

• The Yakima Basin Integrated Plan program pursues a net-gain approach: every project put forward by its program participants aligns with at least one of the six elements that align with stakeholder goals

• “The term “net gains” refers to the principle that the benefits of broad-scale agreements should be greater than the cost for every party involved. No person or group should be expected to accept a net loss so that someone else can gain. Only “win-win” agreements, in which all parties see more gain than loss should be completed under the SLS”
Net Gains Options ...

• Policy formed a Workgroup on SAO Recommendation

• AMPA and Workgroup focused on net gains options for Policy

• A suite of 6 potential net gains options are identified

• A draft document is prepared that describes these options for Policy
Net Gains Options:

1- Adopt Multi-Criteria Decision Making:
   • A logical framework for considering several decision factors simultaneously
   • Iterative and rational process. Close to the intent of net gains recommendation for Policy
   • Guidance and training needed. Doesn’t require changing rules. BM22 changes would be needed.

2- Clarify process for Outside Science
   • Guidance on when and under what conditions completed outside science could be considered in AMP
   • Criteria for considering outside science
   • Potentially revise PI process for outside science
   • May require rule change and BM 22 updates
Net Gains Options

3- Set clear AMP Priorities
   • Clarify master project schedule process in BM22
   • Review current prioritization process
   • Use Structured Decision Making to prioritize AMP projects
   • BM22 update and may not require rule changes

4- Review Dispute Resolution Process/Timelines
   • Adequacy of current DR timelines specially the informal stage
   • Extend the timeline to allow time for consensus building
   • BM22 guidance on dispute revised/clarified
   • Any changes other than guidance require rule modifications
Net Gains Options

5- Initiate Dialogue with CMER
   • CMER membership and structure
   • Focus on science alone and strengthening firewall
   • Process improvements

6- Develop Guidance or Manual for TFW Policy
   • Update, revise or adopt a detailed set of committee procedures, guidance and clear roles and responsibilities
### 3. Update on Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAO Rec # 1 and 2: Review decision making model and require participation by caucus principals</th>
<th>SAO Rec #5: Implement a &quot;net gains&quot; approach to each proposal, project, and decision that benefits more than one caucus by considering packages of projects</th>
<th>SAO Rec #4: Board should set a trigger for dispute resolution</th>
<th>SAO Rec #9: Complete biennial fiscal and performance audits</th>
<th>SAO Rec #7: Peer review science program every 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Two rounds of principals meetings have been held</td>
<td>- Present final options paper at the November Board Meeting</td>
<td>- Identify and recommend to the Board process based triggers</td>
<td>- Requires additional funding</td>
<td>- Requires additional funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- To complete this biennium</td>
<td>- 2. Add line item for DR in MPS</td>
<td>- Add line item for DR in MPS</td>
<td>- Requires additional funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On track</strong></td>
<td>- 3. Establish on-call contracts for DR (Policy and CMER)</td>
<td>- Board Manual updates by August ’22</td>
<td><strong>Planned</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On track</strong></td>
<td>- 4. Establish on-call statistical assistance contract for CMER</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Planned</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complete</strong></td>
<td><strong>Complete</strong></td>
<td>- Board approved amendments in February ’22</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Planned</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planned</strong></td>
<td><strong>Planned</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Planned</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SAO Rec #6: Adopt a decision criteria for determining actions that will occur depending on project results before those results have been found**
- CMER workgroup formed and provided detailed feedback
- TFW Policy workgroup to consider options
- **Deadline: November ’22**

**On track**

**SAO Rec #3: Update language in Board manual to reflect WAC: dispute resolution is required when consensus cannot be achieved**
- Board approved amendments in February ’22

**Complete**

**SAO Rec #10 and 11: Tracking system for life cycle of projects and Public Facing Dashboard**
- Board’s approval requested to use existing resources

**On track**

**SAO Rec #8: Onboarding and training for new AMP members**
- Requires additional funding

**Planned**