1	FOREST PRACTICES BOARD		
2	Regular Board Meeting		
3	August 12, 2014		
4	Natural Resources Building, Room 172		
5	Olympia, Washington		
6			
7	Members Present		
8	Aaron Everett, Chair, Department of Natural Resources		
9	Bill Little, Timber Products Union Representative		
10	Bob Guenther, General Public Member/Small Forest Landowner		
11	Brent Davies, General Public Member (participated via telephone)		
12	Carmen Smith, General Public Member/Independent Logging Contractor		
13	Court Stanley, General Public Member		
14	David Herrera, General Public Member		
15	Joe Stohr, Designee for Director, Department of Fish and Wildlife		
16	Heather Ballash, Designee for Director, Department of Commerce		
17	Kirk Cook, Designee for Director, Department of Agriculture		
18	Paula Swedeen, General Public Member		
19	Tom Laurie, Designee for Director, Department of Ecology		
20			
21	Members Absent		
22	Dave Somers, Snohomish County Commissioner		
23			
24 25	Staff		
25	Chris Hanlon-Meyer, Forest Practices Division Manager		
26	Marc Engel, Forest Practices Assistant Division Manager		
27	Patricia Anderson, Rules Coordinator		
28	Phil Ferester, Senior Counsel		
29			
30	WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS/CALL TO ORDER		
31	Aaron Everett called the Forest Practices Board (FPB or Board) meeting to order at 9 a.m.		
32	CENEDAL DUDLIC COMMENT		
33 24	GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT		
34 35	Peter Goldman, Conservation Caucus, highlighted their memo dated August 7, 2014, summarizing the Castachnical Extreme Events Reconneissance (CEER) Report which they believe has		
	the Geotechnical Extreme Events Reconnaissance (GEER) Report which they believe has implications to the Ecrost Practices Pulse. He also roiterated their recommendation to enact a		
36 37	implications to the Forest Practices Rules. He also reiterated their recommendation to enact a moratorium on forest practices conducted on or near glacial deep-seated landslides. He said that		
37 38	Timber, Fish and Wildlife (TFW) Policy (Policy) is not equipped to deal with the broader public		
38 39	safety issues as opposed to the aquatic issues in the Habitat Conservation Plan and that public safety		
40	should be secured by agencies rather than by a consensus stakeholder process. He also said he		

- should be secured by agencies rather than by a consensus stakeholder process. He also said he
  submitted a copy of a forest practices application that was withdrawn after comments were received
- 42 that identified a deep-seated landslide. He indicated that this application potentially could have been
- 43 approved if not for their comment.
- 44
- 45 Kara Whitaker, Washington Forest Law Center, said that the GEER Report supports the Conservation
- 46 Caucus' argument that regulatory, policy and enforcement changes are imperative for forest practices
- 47 conducted on or near glacial deep seated landslides. She said that the Board's May motions are a
- 48 good initial step for addressing inadequacies, but the new work groups created must use a rigorous

- 1 science-based approach for making substantial improvements to the rules and the enforcement of the
- 2 rules.
- 34 Elaine O'Neill, Washington Farm Forestry Association (WFFA), said that WFFA is developing two
- 5 low impact harvest prescription templates, one for the Eastside and one for the Westside. She said
- 6 that they will be reaching out to other stakeholders and then petition the Board to include in the
- 7 Adaptive Management process.

## 9 PUBLIC COMMENT ON CMER MEMBERSHIP

- 10 Elaine O'Neill, WFFA, said she is here on behalf of Harry Bell and that he is well qualified to be a 11 member of the Cooperative Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Committee (CMER).
- 12

8

## 13 CMER MEMBERSHIP

- 14 Chris Hanlon-Meyer, DNR, recommended that the Board approve Harry Bell as a CMER member.
- 15
  16 MOTION: Bill Little moved the Forest Practices Board approve Table 2 dated May 2014 as the current CMER roster that reflects Harry Bell as a member of CMER.
- 18

20

22

- 19 SECONDED: Court Stanley
- 21 ACTION: Motion passed unanimously.

## 23 PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE REVISED CMER MASTER PROJECT SCHEDULE

- 24 Mark Hick, Department of Ecology (DOE), shared the nexus between the Clean Water Act
- Assurances and the CMER Master Project Schedule. He also stated that DOE supports the changes to the CMEP Master Project Schedule as they support the water quality standards
- the CMER Master Project Schedule as they support the water quality standards.
- 27
- Karen Terwilleger, Washington Forest Protection Association, said she commends CMER and Policy
   for the development of a consensus Master Project Schedule.
- 30

## 31 REVISED CMER MASTER PROJECT SCHEDULE

- Marc Engel, DNR, provided a brief background on how the Master Project Schedule came to be and reviewed the Board's responsibility outlined in WAC 222-12-045.
- 34
- 35 Stephen Bernath and Adrian Miller, Policy co-chairs, provided an overview of the Master Project
- 36 Schedule and reviewed Policy's process, assumptions, results and budget needs of the Adaptive
- 37 Management Program. Bernath said all but one project came to consensus and that compromises
- 38 were made. He also indicated that the Master Project Schedule can be used for: prioritizing biennially
- 39 projects, a long-term planning document, or a legislative tool to assist in funding requests.
- 40
- 41 Joe Stohr asked what the resource protection objectives are as he believes this is a fundamental piece
- 42 that is missing in the prioritization process. Miller responded that Stohr's question is referred to as a
- 43 "critical question" and is a result from the Forests and Fish negotiations. These "critical questions"
- 44 are addressed in the CMER work plan.
- 45
- 46 Paula Swedeen questioned how long the research projects would take related to glacial deep-seated
- 47 landslides and what to do in the interim. Miller responded that Policy will provide a comprehensive

1	review of where the research is at as well as the proposed studies and recommendations for the Board		
2	to consider at their September meeting.		
3			
4	MOTION:	Tom Laurie moved the Forest Practices Board approve the 2015 CMER Master	
5		Project Schedule dated August 7, 2014 along with Appendix 1. The Forest Practices	
6		Board also finds that the program is in substantial compliance with the CMER master	
7		project schedule.	
8			
9	SECONDED:	Bill Little	
10			
11	ACTION:	Motion passed unanimously.	
12			
13			
14	EXECUTIVE SESSION		
15	None.		
16			
17	Meeting adjourned at 10:20 a.m.		
18			
19			
20			