## Prioritization of Policy's Workload\* Calendar Year 2015 Updated 8/29/2014

ISSUE	ORIGIN	DUE DATE	KEY MILESTONE DATES
<b>Unstable Slopes</b> and completion of Mass Wasting Effectiveness Monitoring Project	Adaptive Management Program response to CMER Study plus Forest Practices Board direction	November Forest Practices Board meeting.	Policy subgroup meetings held throughout spring (Q1) and summer (Q2) 2014. Policy technical meetings held in summer (Q2) 2014. Working on a response to the Board's motions from May 2014.
<b>Type F</b> : Water Typing Regulation	Policy/Forest Practices Board	Charter developed by August 1, 2013 – failed to meet due date. Stage 2 DR invoked, Policy went through mediation with no outcome. ON HOLD.	Policy will report to the Board at the November 2014 meeting on proposed steps to continue working on this priority.
<b>Type N</b> : Delineation of break between Type Np and Ns waters (Policy development of wet season method to determine Uppermost Point of Perennial Flow (UMPPF) in Type N Waters. DNR will develop Board Manual Section 23, Part 2 using Policy- developed wet season method to identify the UMPPF.)	Policy/DNR/Forest Practices Board	DNR will include Policy recommendations for method to determine the UMPPF during the wet season in draft Part 2 of Board Manual Section 23, <i>Guidelines for Field</i> <i>Protocol to Locate Mapped Divisions</i> <i>Between Stream Types and Perennial</i> <i>Stream Identification.</i> If Policy requests a qualitative, but yet undeveloped, wet season method, DNR will assess need for this form of guidance based on DNR Region input. If need is identified, DNR will convene a stakeholder group to develop and present a draft manual section to the Board at a later meeting.	By July 1, 2013 the Eastside Type N Hydrology Study data (once verified for quality assurance) should be examined to determine if it can be used to adjust the default UMPPF default distances for the Eastside in the draft Board Manual. – missed due date By July 1, 2013 a determination should be made to how or if data contained in FPAs regarding the UMPPF can be used to adjust the default UMPPF default distances in the draft Board Manual. – missed due date
Fish Passage Effectiveness Monitoring	WDFW	December 2014 / January 2015 Policy meeting, a Policy subgroup will recommend whether this should be further developed by CMER as a study.	Policy could incorporate this into the budgeting process in spring 2015, if it is evaluated to be necessary for the Master Project Schedule.
CMER Research Results	Adaptive Management Program response to CMER Studies	Ongoing	TBD – Policy may receive results from studies conducted by CMER and will have to decide if to take action. That decision and an affirmative result would set predetermined time lines.

\*The various text fonts in this document are coded as follows:

Black font indicates a specific priority project for Policy

Red font indicates a specific priority project of many caucuses participating in Policy but is outside of Policy's process

Blue font indicates non-specific tasks requiring Policy time that could develop into new priority projects for Policy

Green font indicates specific proposals being brought to policy for consideration that would require augmenting Policy's existing priorities.