7	
5	Members Present:
6	Alex Smith, Chair, Department of Natural Resources
7	Ben Serr, Designee for Director, Department of Commerce
8	Cody Desautel, General Public Member
9	Jeff Davis, Designee for Director, Department of Fish and Wildlife
0	Dave Herrera, General Public Member
1	Frank Chandler, General Public Member/Independent Logging Contractor
2	Kelly McLain, Designee for Director, Department of Agriculture
3	Meghan Tuttle, General Public Member
4	Pene Speaks, General Public Member
5	Rich Doenges, Designee for Director, Department of Ecology
6	Steve Barnowe-Meyer, General Public Member/Small Forest Landowner
7	Wayne Thompson, Timber Product Union Member
8	Vickie Raines, Elected County Commissioner
9	
20	Staff
21	Saboor Jawad, Forest Regulation Division Manager
22	Marc Engel, Senior Policy Advisor
23	Patricia Anderson, Rules Coordinator
24	Terry Pruit, Senior Counsel
25	
26	WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS
27	Chair Alex Smith called the Forest Practices Board (Board) meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
28	Introductions of Board members and staff was made.
29	
30	REPORT FROM THE CHAIR
31	Chair Smith provided a summary of legislative bills that may affect the program if passed. Bills
32	included:
33	• Senate Bill (SB) 5636/House Bill (HB) 1689 which would allow cities and counties to assume
34	jurisdiction over all forest practices within their boundaries provided their ordinances are
35	substantially equivalent to the Forest Practices rules;
36	• SB 5667/HB 1740 relating to amendments of the forestry riparian easement program;
87 88	 SB 5673 proposes to change the cabinet agency representatives on the Board to non-voting members; and
39	• SB 5370 relating to allowing the State to enter into an agreement with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
10	Service to administer a programmatic northern spotted owl safe harbor agreement.
1	
12	An update on these bills will be provided at the May meeting.
12	

Forest Practices Board

Regular Board Meeting – February 8, 2023 ZoomWebinar and Room 172, Natural Resources Building

1 2

3

44

45

46

47

48

The CMER science conference is scheduled for May 11, 2023.

The Commissioner plans to schedule a Principals meeting in the near future.

Personnel changes which included the retirement of Charlene Rodgers, Forest Practices Habitat

Conservation Plan Administrator and Dawn Hitchens, Business and Operations Manager.

She also shared the following information:

1 Rulemaking process for both Type N and Water Typing System have begun. Stakeholder groups 2 are being formed to amend board manuals with a particular focus on Board Manual Section 21 Guidelines for Alternate Plans. 3 4 5 APPROVAL OF MINUTES 6 Steve Barnowe-Meyer moved the Forest Practices Board approve the October 31 and MOTION: November 1, 2022 meeting minutes. 7 8 9 SECONDED: Wayne Thompson 10 Discussion: 11 12 None. 13 14 ACTION: Motion passed. 11 Support / 1 Abstention (Ben Serr) (Raines not available for vote.) 15 16 MOTION: Steve Barnowe-Meyer moved the Forest Practices Board approve the November 9 & 17 10, 2022 meeting minutes. 18 19 SECONDED: Rich Doenges 20 21 Discussion: 22 None. 23 24 ACTION: Motion passed. 11 Support / 1 Abstention (Jeff Davis) (Raines not available for vote.) 25 26 MOTION: Steve Barnowe-Meyer moved the Forest Practices Board approve the November 28, 27 2022 meeting minutes. 28 29 SECONDED: David Herrera 30 31 Discussion: 32 None. 33 34 ACTION: Motion passed unanimously. (Raines not available for vote.) 35 NORTHERN SPOTTED OWL SAFE HARBOR AGREEMENT 36 37 Chair Smith said a bill was reintroduced this session to give the state the authority to enter into a programmatic safe harbor agreement (SHA) with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to 38 39 enhance spotted owl conservation across its range in Washington State. 40 42 last legislative session. He is hopeful it will pass this session and, if necessary, to reconvene the

41

Board member Jeff Davis provided a brief background including how the bill was so close to passing

- Board's Northern Spotted Owl Implementation Team (NSOIT) to resurrect the incentives 43
- conversation that would be important to private landowners to participate in the program. 44

45

46 Marc Engel, DNR, provided an overview of the context for the Northern Spotted Owl SHA. He

47 shared: • SB 5390 requests the Legislature to authorize DNR to enter into a programmatic SHA with the USFWS to enhance the conservation of northern spotted owl (NSO) habitat. Currently, the bill has passed out of Senate Agriculture, Water, Natural Resources and Parks Committee and referred to Senate Ways and Means Committee.

- The Board's NSO Implementation Team recommended, based on their finding, that strategic additions of spotted owl habitat can make meaningful contributions to the conservation of the species.
- DNR would administer the SHA, with WDFW providing technical expertise in evaluating spotted owl habitat, and the agreement would be applicable to all non-federal forestlands within the spotted owl territory in the state.
 - Legislature provided proviso funding in FY 2022 for DNR to contract the preparation of draft NSO SHA, environmental analysis and enrollment rule language.

Board member Doenges asked if there is an acreage goal for the Safe Harbor Agreements. Engel responded that there are not planned acreage goals. DNR feels this is a worthwhile endeavor and did add a funding request for DNR to administer a safe harbor agreement program as well as DFW biologists to provide support for the program to be a self-sustaining program and not additional work on existing DNR staff.

Board member Speaks asked what the status is of the proviso funded work. Engel said the proviso funded work is complete with the drafting of the draft NSO SHA, the supporting environmental assessment and draft enrollment rule language. He said the bill needs to pass the legislature in order to get the authority to negotiate with USFWS for permission to enter into the SHA part of that process.

Engel provided a summary of next steps which will include a staff request for rule making at a future Board meeting.

EASTERN WASHINGTON TYPE N RIPARIAN EFFECTIVENESS PROJECT PILOT RULE MAKING AND RIPARIAN CHARACTERISTICS AND SHADE RESPONSE STUDY PILOT RULE MAKING FOR WESTERN WASHINGTON

Lori Clark, Acting AMPA, presented two studies for pilot rule making for Board approval. Clark said both studies were approved by CMER and are consistent with the original intent of Forests and Fish to test the effectiveness of current Forest Practice rules in order to effectively assess the riparian rules for both projects.

Clark said to test prescriptions that would not be allowed under the current Forest Practice rules, pilot rules have to be used in cooperation with landowners to selectively deviate from specific elements of the rules for the purpose of testing the alternatives or to ensure that there's consistency across test sites.

The Eastern Washington Type N Riparian Effectiveness Project pilot rule making is necessary to authorize a single cooperating forest landowner to conduct a harvest within the inner zone of the riparian area buffer of the upper reach of a single fish-bearing stream (Type F) in excess of what is permitted currently in the forest practices rules (WAC 222-30-022(1)).

Board member Rich Doenges asked what fish species are found in this section that is being treated as a Type Np stream? Dr. Tim Link stated that there are no fish found in this area but is complicated by Forest Practices Board Draft February 8, 2023, Meeting Minutes

a road grade that potentially gives fish access. In addition, a protocol survey was completed and did not find any fish and there was no fish detected in the environmental DNA sampling.

Clark said the purpose of the Riparian Characteristics and Shade Response (RCS) Experimental Research Study is to evaluate how stream shade responds to a range of riparian harvest treatments of varying intensity within multiple environments common to commercial forestlands covered under the Forest Practices Habitat Conservation Plan.

The RCS study requires 20 sites in total (including east side and west side) to be implemented over six years; this pilot rule making pertains to the five study sites in western Washington. These sites will be selected with stakeholder and landowner feedback from the list of western Washington sites, with target implementation dates to occur in summer 2023 and summer 2024. Estimated total length of impacted stream is 1.5 km (0.93 miles) for the eventual 5 sites that would be selected for the application of this pilot rule.

Board member Kelly McLain asked what the requirements are for industrial landowners. Clark responded that a new forest practices application (FPA) will need to be developed in order for industrial landowners to put in a request to participate in the study.

 Board member Cody Desautel asked about risk of loss due to fire on the eastside. Dr. Lila Westreich, DNR, responded that this is not part of the RCS study. Chair Smith asked if additional sites on the Eastside will be identified and whether it's part of this request. Clark said the eastside sites will be submitted as a request for Board approval of a CR-101 in in about a year or two.

Board member Pene Speaks questioned the rulemaking process and staff capacity? Engel explained that when the Board approves a pilot rule making, staff file the CR-101 Preproposal of Inquiry with the Office of the Code Reviser to be published in the Washington State Register. Pilot rule making is to identify those rules that will not be followed to implement the study.

Board members Meghan Tuttle and Rich Doenges asked if there is an alternative to pilot rule making or if there would be a benefit of having a specific type of forest practice application for research. Engel responded that this process is part of the Administrative Procedure Act and staff would need to discuss any alternatives to the filing of a CR-101 with the attorney general staff.

Board member McLain said a special Forest practices application for research purposes to streamline the research based work could result in more people interested in doing research in forestry.

Board member Barnowe-Meyer said he is in agreement that if we can get more sites identified that have more diversity that would improve the fact we can't find enough sites which is often a detriment to the power of the studies.

MOTION: Meghan Tuttle moved the Forest Practices Board request the Chair to direct staff to research alternatives to pilot rule making for research studies including but not limited to research FPA's.

SECONDED: Steve Barnowe-Meyer

 1 Board Discussion:

2 None.

ACTION: Motion passed unanimously. (Raines not available for vote.)

PUBLIC COMMENT ON PROPOSED PILOT RULE MAKINGS

Darin Cramer, Washington Forest Protection Association (WFPA), said they support both pilot rule proposals. He said there are several concerns landowners have with the ENREP study which are comparable to the conflict over the hard rock and soft rock studies. However, there is time to address the concerns in the Adaptive Management program. He said the use of the pilot rule making is not being used as intended for the RCS study. It is intended to evaluate economically efficient alternatives necessary to meet the goal of a proposed rule or to evaluate the feasibility of implementation of a proposed rule. He supports the use of a different tool and offered to provide assistance in evaluating available options.

Elaine Oneil, Washington Farm Forestry Association (WFFA), shared the concept of incorporating wildfire into the eastside studies. She explained a couple of important points that came out of their study done by Cramer Fish Science and how they looked at the CMER data sets that are already out there including the bull trout overlay data set and the Eastern Washington Type F riparian assessment project data set. First, it was data that was deemed representative for eastside so it wasn't a random survey but representative of the mortality of those stands. Second, a fire caused 80 percent of those stands to be burned, so moving towards a fire safe trajectory will require aggressive thinning. She said if studies are to incorporate fire we need to be serious about the effects of fire in any of our decision making as the concerns are justified.

RIPARIAN CHARACTERISTICS AND SHADE RESPONSE STUDY PILOT RULE MAKING FOR WESTERN WASHINGTON AND EASTERN WASHINGTON TYPE N RIPARIAN EFFECTIVENESS PROJECT PILOT RULE MAKING

MOTION:

Cody Desautel moved the Forest Practices Board approve the pilot rulemaking for the Riparian Characteristics and Shade Response Study in Western Washington which will evaluate stream shade response from a range of riparian harvest treatment buffers in lieu of the forest practices buffer rules in WAC 222-30-021, -040(2) and -050. He further moved to request staff to file the CR-101 Preproposal Statement of Inquiry with the Office of the Code Reviser.

SECONDED: Steve Barnowe-Meyer

Discussion:

Board member Meghan Tuttle said she is meeting with DNR staff next week as some of the sites could potentially be on Weyerhaeuser property.

ACTION: Motion passed unanimously. (Raines not available for vote.)

MOTION: Cody Desautel moved the Forest Practices Board approve the pilot rulemaking for the

Eastside Type N Riparian Effectiveness Project to allow the application of Type N buffers to the upper reach of a single Type F stream in lieu of WAC 222-30-022(1), -040 and -050. He further moved to request of staff to file the CR-101 Preproposal

Statement of Inquiry with the Office of the Code Reviser.

1 2 SECONDED: Rich Doenges 3 4 Discussion: 5 None. 6

> ACTION: Motion passed unanimously.

NORTHERN SPOTTED OWL (NSO) CONSERVATION ADVISORY GROUP

Chair Smith said the NSO conservation advisory group evaluates the need to maintain NSO site centers when WDFW approves a NSO protocol survey showing the absence of owls. The rules hold that the Board must determine on an annual basis whether the group's function continues to be needed for spotted owl conservation.

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

Marc Engel, DNR, provided the following background:

- 2006: Board adopted rules to include a moratorium on decertifying NSO site centers to allow time to develop a long-term conservation strategy
- 2007-2010: Board extended emergency rules on moratorium and added "Spotted Owl Conservation Advisory Group (SOCAG)
- 6-2010: Board amended the rules to include "Spotted Owl Conservation Advisory Group" (WAC 222-16-010 and WAC 222-16-080 (6)).

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31 Engel shared the details of the Spotted Owl Conservation Advisory Group:

- 3-member group designated by Board.
- Each member must have "detailed working knowledge of NSO habitat relationships and factors affecting NSO conservation"
- Representation includes a representative from:
 - o forest product industry
 - o conservation organization
 - o DNR forest practices program
- Purpose: Evaluate whether a site center that is subject to possible decertification should be maintained while the Board completes its evaluation of rules affecting NSO conservation.

32 33 34

Engel said this is an open-ended rule with an annual review to prevent potential important habitat is not lost through timber harvest while the Board develops a long-term NSO conservation strategy.

35 36 37

Engel recommended the Board approve continuation of advisory group while the Board is pursuing voluntary NSO recovery measures.

38 39 40

41

PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONTINUATION OF THE NSO CONSERVATION **ADVISORY GROUP**

42 None.

43 44

NSO CONSERVATION ADVISORY GROUP

45 Jeff Davis moved the Forest Practices Board maintain the Northern Spotted Owl MOTION: 46

Conservation Advisory Group for another year.

47 48

Seconded: Meghan Tuttle 12 Discussion:3 None

4 5

ACTION: Motion passed unanimously.

6 7

8

9

10

UPDATE ON WATER TYPING SYSTEM RULEMAKING

Marc Engel, DNR, said that beginning in May 2023, DNR staff will bring quarterly updates to the Board that will show a timeline for each rule making and associated Board Manual section. Engel said that the quarterly updates will give an opportunity for staff to communicate any needs to the Board as the workgroups make progress.

11 12 13

14

15

As background, Engel said that for purposes of analysis, the Board asked staff to include:

- Three Potential Habitat Break (PHB) options; and
- Two Anadromous Fish Floor (AFF) alternatives.

16 17

Ultimately the rule will use one PHB option and one AFF alternative.

18 19

20 21

23

24 25

- Engel reviewed the Board's previous request for the water typing system rule to include:
- Methods to locate the Type F/N break on the ground; and ensure the methods can be applied by small forest landowners.
- Consistent with fish habitat as defined in rule.
 - Incorporate AFF definition "measurable physical stream characteristics downstream from which anadromous fish habitat is presumed and an agreement that the AFF would establish the location upstream of which fish protocol surveys may begin under the fish habitat assessment methodology (FHAM).

26 27 28

29

He said the statistical analysis for each PHB and AFF will inform the Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA), the Small Business Economic Impact Statement (SBEIS), and the environmental analysis under SEPA; all of which are necessary to file the CR-102.

30 31 32

35

36

39

- Engel reported the status of the rule-making elements which included the following:
- DNR convened an internal group to prepare draft rule consistent with the additions requested by the Board, for coordination with stakeholders.
 - TFW Policy representatives have identified the individuals who will participate in draft rule and draft board manual working groups.
- DNR will commence meetings with stakeholders in late February or early March 2023, and participants will begin reviewing the elements needed to complete the draft.
 - Spatial analysis will require a contract.
- DNR staff are working on the statement of work for creating a synthetic stream layer and spatial analysis.
- DNR staff will reconvene the economic analysis workgroup in April 2023.
- Work to develop contract for the economic analysis will be initiated in March 2023.

44

Board member Doenges asked when the spatial analysis will be completed and if that analysis will be useful in the Type Np rule. Engel said the target is late May or June 2023, and that it is necessary for

the Type Np rule. He added that he would like to include the Tier 2 analysis from Department of Ecology in future updates.

3

Board member Tuttle asked about when the water typing system rule would be ready for implementation in the field. Marc Engel said that the goal is spring 2025.

5 6 7

8

9

11

12

UPDATE ON TYPE NP WATER BUFFER RULEMAKING

Marc Engel, DNR, said that the Type Np Water buffer rulemaking is using the majority report buffer recommendations approved by the Board. Status on rule elements include the following:

- DNR staff have met internal stakeholders, 2 meetings to develop draft rule for review
 - External stakeholders to meet after water typing system draft rule is completed
 - Type Np spatial analysis will be conducted after the Water Typing System analysis is completed.
- A new contract for the preparation of the economic analysis is needed since the Type Np water buffer rule was not included in the water typing contract. This work will likely begin in summer 2023. An economic workgroup will be convened for purposes of the CBA and SBEIS.
 - SEPA analysis will begin after the spatial analysis for the water-typing system rule is complete.

16 17 18

19

BOARD MANUAL ACTION TIMELINES

- Marc Engel, DNR, said the Board approved amending Board Manual Section 21 to:
- Consolidate existing board manual alternate plan guidance into a new part devoted to technical guidance for small forest landowners;
- Follow the key points of agreement agreed to by the small forest landowner and DNR caucuses;
- Provide guidance on how to access the DNR Small Forest Landowner Office for online assistance and how to contact DNR region offices for field assistance; and
 - Reinsert previous Board-approved "Imminent Mortality" guidance in Board Manual Section 21.

252627

He said that DNR is forming an internal group to prepare draft amendments to Board Manual Section 21 for stakeholder review; and that the stakeholder group is being assembled.

28 29 30

31

32

For Board Manual Section 22, he said that TFW Policy is developing an action plan for amending Board Manual Section 22 and will be presented to the Board at their May 2023 meeting. The draft amendments will address the State Auditor's Office (SAO) Recommendation #5 (net gains approach) and SAO Recommendation #6 (decision criteria).

33 34 35

36

Board member Barnowe-Meyer asked if the work on Board Manual Section 21 can occur concurrently with the work on rule-making. Marc Engel said the work the Board requested for Board Manual Section 21 is targeted for completion in May 2023.

373839

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

Darin Cramer, WFPA, said he was happy to hear that the technical report produced as part of the Safe Harbor Agreement at long last may be published this spring. He said a good product came out of cooperative work.

43

Elaine Oneil, WFFA, said everyone is tightly wound up in process and rules that we are missing part of the point of our efforts, which is to create conditions on the ground that improve all components of the resource, fish, water, wildlife and timber. Her observation is that we are opting for process over results. She suggests an overhaul to create a results based regulatory framework.

PETITION FOR RULE MAKING – WAC 222-30-110 TIMBER HARVESTING ON ISLANDS

Marc Engel, DNR reported that DNR received a petition for rulemaking on January 26, 2023. The petition objectives are seeking to address concerns regarding the implementation of timber harvesting on islands and specific to WAC 222-30-110(1), which states: "A landowner shall not harvest by clearcut so that more than forty contiguous acres of that landowner's forest land are in a clearcut condition;".

Engel reported that the petition specifically asks if the definitions of "Forest landowner" described in 222-16-010 WAC and the related definitions of "Forestland owner" and "Person" in RCW 76.09.020 are used by DNR when reviewing FPAs.

The petition requests:

- Amend the definition of forest landowner in WAC 222-16-010 and/or directly reference in WAC 222-30-110 to bring clarity to forest landowners having shared interests in multiple adjacent properties and with the intention of multiple FPAs of the 40 acre limitation of clearcut size for timber harvests on islands per the rule: and,
- DNR consider establishing an entity affiliate analysis procedure to identify if adjacent forest lands are under the "actual control" of the same landowner listed on an FPA to ensure compliance with the intent of the timber harvest on islands rule.

 Engel provided the following recommendation for Board consideration:

- 1. Deny petitioner's request for rule amendment because:
 - Current understanding of the suggested recommendations would not resolve the petitioners' expressed concerns by amending the definition of "forest landowner".
 - A procedure to determine if the FPA listed landowner has "actual control" interests of the timber on adjacent lands would expand the DNR FPA review and would rely on county information.
 - Limited Liability Company (LLC) is a legal entity according to Washington Business Corporation Act.
- 2. Request the Board chair to direct DNR staff to continue conversations with petitioners and Island County staff to:
 - Ensure their concerns are understood;
 - Discuss the regulatory authority roles and responsibilities with county staff and DNR staff; and
 - Report to the Board the solution, including potential rulemaking.

Chair Smith said the Board must within 60 days, either accept the petition and initiate rulemaking, or deny the petition in writing stating its reasons for denial and specifically addressing petitioner's concerns.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON PETITION FOR RULE MAKING

- William Poss thanked the Board for considering their petition and appreciated that DNR has reached out to discuss the issue in more detail next week. Poss said he has worked over twenty years at Island
- 46 County doing development review primarily with clearing and grading and Class IV conversion
- 47 forestry activities. It is a long time concern with county staff and citizens in Island County about the
- 48 impacts of clearcuts on islands.

1 2 PETITION FOR RULE MAKING – WAC 222-30-110 TIMBER HARVESTING ON 3 **ISLANDS** 4 **MOTION:** Pene Speaks moved the Forest Practices Board deny the Timber Harvest on Islands rulemaking petition. She further moved the Board request the Board Chair to direct 5 6 staff to continue discussions with the petitioners and Island County staff to understand 7 their issues, ensure the regulatory authority for DNR and the county are understood, and develop and report their solutions, including potential rulemaking, to the Board at 8 9 the May meeting. 10 11 SECONDED: Dave Herrera 12 13 Discussion: 14 None. 15 16 **ACTION:** Motion passed unanimously. 17 18 **BOARD'S 2023 WORK PLAN** 19 Marc Engel, DNR, presented the Board's workplan that was presented in November along with 20 additional changes as a result of today's meeting. 21 22 Alex Smith moved the Forest Practices Board approved the 2023 work plan as MOTION: 23 amended. 24 25 SECONDED: Ben Serr 26 27 Discussion: 28 None. 29 30 **ACTION:** Motion passed unanimously. 31 32 STAFF REPORTS 33 There were no questions on the following reports. Adaptive Management Program Update 34 Small Forest Landowner Office Update 35 • TFW Policy Committee Update 36 Upland Wildlife Update 37 38 39 **EXECUTIVE SESSION** 40 None. 41 42 Meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m.