CMER MEETING AGENDA
September 22, 2017 • 9:00 AM – 4:00 PM
Location: DNR/DOC Compound /Washington State Light Industrial Park
801 88th Avenue, Tumwater, WA 98512

*Action Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 9:00 am - 9:15 am | Introductions  
                Agenda – Review for updates  
                Review Assignments | All  
                Hooks |
| 9:15 am – 10:00 am | **SCIENCE SESSION:**  
                                 ♦ Unstable Slopes TWIG – presentation for Study Designs for  
                                    Compare/Contrast Landslide Hazard Zonation Mass Wasting Map Units with  
                                    RIL and Object-based Landform Mapping with High-Resolution Topography  
                                 ♦ Unstable Slope Criteria Project / Study Designs for Compare  
                                    MWMU/RIL – request for reviewers | Regmi  
                                    Regmi/Johnson |
| 10:00 am - 4:00 pm | **Decisions:**  
                                 CMER  
                                 ♦ *CMER Meeting Minutes – approval of June and July 2017 minutes | Shramek |
|                LWAG  
|                ♦ * Type N Experimental Buffer Treatment Study – Basalt Lithologies  
                                    Hard Rock – approval of Chapters 7 & 17 (Summary and Discussion) and  
                                    Executive Summary | Hayes/Haemmerle |
|                RSAG  
|                ♦ *Riparian Extensive Monitoring Pilot Study Findings Report – final  
                                    CMER approval  
                                 ♦ Bull Trout Overlay Add-on Report – request for reviewers | Murray/Haemmerle  
                                    Murray/Haemmerle |
|                SAGE  
|                ♦ *Fire Salvage Literature Review and Synthesis – final CMER approval | Bigeagle/Johnson |
|                UPSAG  
|                ♦ *Non-Glacial Deep-Seated Landslides and Groundwater Recharge  
                                    Literature Synthesis – approval of findings report | Hanell/Johnson |
|                **UPDATES:**  
|                Report from the Board – August 9, 2017 meeting | Berge |
|                Report from Policy – August 3 and 31, 2017 meetings | Berge |
|                CMER  
|                ♦ DNR CMER Website Updates – discussion  
                                 ♦ Ongoing and Upcoming Reviews | Gibbs/Shramek  
                                    Johnson |
|                SAG and TWIG Updates – answer questions on written updates | Johnson/Haemmerle |
|                Public Comment Period |                    |
|                Recap of Assignments/Decisions | Shramek |

**CMER 2017 Meeting Dates:** January 24, February 28, March 28, April 25, May 23, June 27, July 25, August 22, September 26, October 24, November 14, and December 12.

January 2017 meeting will be held in Room ROA36 at the Department of Ecology in Lacey. March, May and September 2017 meetings will be held at the DNR Southeast Region Office in Ellensburg. All other meetings will be held at the DNR Compound in Tumwater.
A. General CMER Ground Rules
1. Each of the participants affirmed by the Board to CMER agree to these ground rules, which were
developed collectively by CMER to ensure that CMER produces credible scientific results that have a
broad base of support. These ground rules are specific to CMER and do not apply to any other portion of
the Adaptive Management Program.

2. CMER core values are predicated upon the agreement of each CMER participant
that adaptive management is based upon sound science. It is the responsibility of
every participant to follow sound scientific principles and procedures.

3. Participants will also adhere to the purpose of the Adaptive Management Program:
   . . . to provide science-based recommendations and technical information to assist the board in
determining if and when it is necessary or advisable to adjust rules and guidance for aquatic resources to
achieve resource goals and objectives. The goal of the program is to affect change when it is necessary or
advisable to adjust rules and guidance to achieve the goals of the forests and fish report
or other goals identified by the board. (WAC 222-12-045(1)).

4. Individual Policy positions are not the basis for CMER decisions, otherwise the credibility of CMER
research can be questioned, resulting in CMER having failed in its function of providing accountable
results to the Adaptive Management Program.

B. Specific CMER Ground Rules
1. CMER participants will engage in actions that promote productive meetings and will encourage the
active participation of each individual member. Examples of these actions are:
a. Speak to educate, listen to understand.
b. Pursue win/win solutions.
c. State motivations and justifications clearly. Discuss issues openly with all concerns on the table. Avoid
   hidden agendas.
d. Ensure that each individual has a chance to be heard.
e. Help others move tangent issues to appropriate venues by scheduling a time to discuss these issues
   later.
f. Start and stop meetings on time.
g. Take side conversations outside—listen respectfully.
h. Define clear outcomes for each conversation and appoint a conversation manager.
i. Be trusting and trustworthy.
j. Acknowledge and appreciate the contributions of others, even when you
   disagree.

2. CMER participants agree to spend the time in preparation for meetings so that their participation is
both meaningful and relevant and to refrain from participation when they are unprepared.

3. CMER participants agree to participate in the Adaptive Management Program’s scientific dispute
resolution process when consensus cannot be reached and to make a good faith effort to resolve the
dispute.

4. CMER participants recognize that information and results are preliminary until the final report is
approved by CMER. Products must be clearly labeled and presented as DRAFT until approved by CMER
as a final product.

5. At no time shall any potential contractor for a project be involved in the drafting of an RFP, RFQ or
SOW or in the selection of a contractor for that specific project.