

1

PERMANENT INJUNCTION REGARDING CULVERT CORRECTION No. C70-9213, SUBPROCEEDING 01-1 (CULVERTS)

1	Ordered, adjudged and decreed that the State of Washington, the Washington State				
2	Department of Transportation (WSDOT), the Washington State Department of Fisheries and				
3	Wildlife (WDFW), the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR), and the				
4	Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission (State Parks), their agents, officers,				
5	employees, successors in interest, and all persons acting in concert or participation with any of them				
6	(Defendants), are permanently enjoined and restrained to obey, to respect, and to comply with all				
7 8	rulings of this Court in this subproceeding and with each provision of this injunction, subject only				
0 9					
10	to such modifications as may be approved by the Court in the future.				
11	1. As used in this injunction, the word "culvert" shall mean any structure, other than a full-				
12	span bridge or tide gate, that is constructed to convey water beneath a roadway, and shall also				
13	include associated fishways or other fish passage structures, and bridges built to replace any culvert				
14	that is subject to this injunction. The word "salmon" shall mean any of the six species of				
15	anadromous salmonids of the genus Oncorhynchus, commonly known as chinook, chum, coho,				
16	pink, and sockeye salmon, and steelhead.				
17	2. Within six months of the date of this injunction, the Defendants, in consultation with the				
18	Plaintiff Tribes and the United States, shall prepare a current list, or lists if different by agency (the				
19	List), of all culverts under state-owned roads within the Case Area existing as of the date of this				
20	injunction, that are salmon barriers. In compiling the List, the Defendants shall use the barrier				
21	assessment methodologies in the Fish Passage Barrier and Surface Water Diversion Screening				
22 23	Assessment and Prioritization Manual (WDFW 2000) (WDFW Assessment Manual).				
23 24					
24	3. In addition to compiling the List, the Defendants shall make ongoing efforts to assess and				
26	identify culverts under state-owned roads in the Case Area that become partial or full barriers to				
_0	salmon passage after the entry of this Injunction, using the WDFW Assessment Manual or any later				
	DEDMANENT INTERVIEW 2 KATZEN PLIC				

2

PERMANENT INJUNCTION REGARDING CULVERT CORRECTION No. C70-9213, SUBPROCEEDING 01-1 (CULVERTS) KANJI & KATZEN, PLLC 401 SECOND AVE. S., SUITE 700 SEATTLE, WA 98104 206.344.8100 FAX: 1.866.283.0178

Case 2:01-sp-00001-RSM Document 753 Filed 03/29/13 Page 3 of 7

state barrier assessment standards, provided such standards are consistent with the terms of this
 injunction.

4. Any new culvert constructed by the Defendants in the future on salmon waters within the
Case Area and any future construction to provide fish passage at State barrier culverts on such
waters shall be done in compliance with the standards set out in this injunction.

- 5. By October 31, 2016, WDFW, DNR, and State Parks shall provide fish passage in
 accordance with the standards set out in this injunction at each barrier culvert on the List located on
 lands owned or managed by those agencies in the Case Area.
- 6. Within 17 years of the date of this injunction, WSDOT shall provide fish passage in
 accordance with the standards set out in this injunction at each barrier culvert on the List owned or
 managed by WSDOT if the barrier culvert has 200 lineal meters or more of salmon habitat
 upstream to the first natural passage barrier.
- 7. WSDOT shall provide fish passage in accordance with the standards set out in this
 injunction at each culvert on the List having less than 200 lineal meters of upstream salmon habitat
 at the end of the culvert's useful life, or sooner as part of a highway project, to the extent required
 by other applicable law.

8. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 6, above, WSDOT may defer correction of
an aggregation of culverts that cumulatively comprise barriers to no more than 10 % of the total
salmon habitat upstream of those WSDOT culverts that would otherwise be subject to correction on
the schedule set forth in Paragraph 6, but only upon fulfillment of the following conditions: In
consultation with the Plaintiff Tribes and the United States, the Defendants shall develop and
complete an assessment of the amount of salmon habitat upstream of each WSDOT barrier culvert
on the List for which a "full physical survey," as described in § 3.4 of the WDFW Assessment

3

PERMANENT INJUNCTION REGARDING CULVERT CORRECTION No. C70-9213, SUBPROCEEDING 01-1 (CULVERTS)

Case 2:01-sp-00001-RSM Document 753 Filed 03/29/13 Page 4 of 7

1 Manual, has not been completed as of the date the List is compiled. In conducting the assessment, 2 the Defendants shall use the full physical survey methodology or such other methodology as the 3 parties may agree upon. Each correction deferred by this provision shall be corrected to the 4 standards of this injunction at the end of the culvert's useful life, or sooner as part of a highway 5 project, to the extent required by other applicable law. In undertaking the corrections, the 6 Defendants shall be guided by the principle of providing the greatest fisheries habitat gain at the 7 earliest time. The Defendants may utilize the "Priority Index" methodology described in the 8 9 WDFW Assessment Manual in determining the sequence of correction if they so desire. 10 9. In carrying out their duties under this injunction, the Defendants shall design and build 11 fish passage at each barrier culvert on the List in order to pass all species of salmon at all life stages 12 at all flows where the fish would naturally seek passage. In order of preference, fish passage shall 13 be achieved by (a) avoiding the necessity for the roadway to cross the stream, (b) use of a full span 14 bridge, (c) use of the "stream simulation" methodology described in Design of Road Culverts for 15 Fish Passage (WDFW, 2003) or Stream Simulation: An Ecological Approach to Providing Passage 16

17 for Aquatic Organisms at Road-Stream Crossings (U.S. Forest Service, May 2008), which the 18 parties to this proceeding have agreed represents best science currently available for designing 19 culverts that provide fish passage and allow fluvial processes. Nothing in this injunction shall 20 prevent the Defendants from developing and using designs other than bridges or stream simulation 21 in the future if the Defendants can demonstrate that those future designs provide equivalent or 23 better fish passage and fisheries habitat benefits than the designs required in this injunction.

10. In rare circumstances, Defendants may deviate from the design standards in paragraph
9, above, if they can establish or the parties agree that use of the standards required in paragraph 9
is not feasible because of: (a) an emergency involving an immediate threat to life, the public,

4

PERMANENT INJUNCTION REGARDING CULVERT CORRECTION No. C70-9213, SUBPROCEEDING 01-1 (CULVERTS) KANJI & KATZEN, PLLC 401 SECOND AVE. S., SUITE 700 SEATTLE, WA 98104 206.344.8100 FAX: 1.866.283.0178

Case 2:01-sp-00001-RSM Document 753 Filed 03/29/13 Page 5 of 7

property, or of environmental degradation, and a correction using the required design standards
cannot be implemented in time to forestall that threat; or (b) the existence of extraordinary site
conditions. If a design standard other than that specified in paragraph 9 is used, in addition to
providing the best feasible fish passage at the barrier site, the Defendants shall mitigate for the
impacts of deviating from the standards of this injunction so that the resulting correction plus any
mitigation provides at least the same net benefit to the salmon resource as would have occurred had
the correction applied the required standards.

9 11. The Defendants shall provide fish passage in accordance with the standards set out in
10 this injunction within a reasonable period of time: (a) when any culvert corrected under the
11 injunction remains a barrier culvert after attempted correction, or again becomes a barrier culvert
12 following an initially successful correction, or (b) when any culvert is newly identified as a salmon
13 barrier culvert after the initial completion of the List.

12. The Defendants shall monitor their implementation of the injunction, and evaluate
 whether their efforts to provide fish passage at their salmon barrier culverts are effective in meeting
 the standards of this injunction. The Defendants shall take reasonable steps to maintain their
 culverts in such a manner as to prevent development of fish barriers and to protect salmon habitat.
 13. The Defendants shall provide the interested Tribes with sufficient notice of State barrier

culvert inventory, identification of previously unidentified State barrier culverts, assessment, and
 potential or actual State barrier culvert correction activities to permit the Tribes to monitor and
 provide effective recommendations for compliance with the requirements of this injunction.

24 14. The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over this subproceeding for a sufficient
 25 period to assure that the Defendants comply with the terms of this injunction.

26

PERMANENT INJUNCTION REGARDING CULVERT CORRECTION No. C70-9213, SUBPROCEEDING 01-1 (CULVERTS)

1			
2	Respectfully submitted this 29 th day of January, 2010.		
3	PLAINTIFF-INTERVENOR TRIBES		
4	By: <u>s/ JOHN C. SLEDD, WSBA # 19270</u>		
5	Attorney for the Hoh, Jamestown S'Klallam, Lower Elwha Klallam, Nisqually, Port Gamble		
6	S'Klallam, Sauk-Suiattle, Skokomish, Squaxin Island, Stillaguamish and Suquamish Tribes		
7	By: <u>s/ LAURA SAGOLLA, Admitted Pro Hac Vice</u>		
8	Attorney for the Hoh, Jamestown S'Klallam,		
9	Lower Elwha Klallam, Nisqually, Port Gamble S'Klallam, Sauk-Suiattle, Skokomish, Squaxin		
10	Island, Stillaguamish and Suquamish Tribes		
11	By: <u>s/ ALAN C. STAY, WSBA # 4569</u> Attorney for the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe		
12	Automey for the Muckleshoot indian Tribe		
13	By: <u>s/ MASON D. MORISSET, WSBA # 273</u>		
	Attorney for The Tulalip Tribes		
14	By: <u>s/ DANIEL A. RAAS, WSBA # 4970</u>		
15	Attorney for the Lummi Nation		
16	By: <u>s/ HARRY L. JOHNSEN, WSBA # 4955</u>		
17	Attorney for the Lummi Nation		
18	By: <u>s/ THOMAS ZEILMAN, WSBA # 28470</u> Attorney for the Yakama Nation		
19			
20	By: <u>s/ LAUREN P. RASMUSSEN, WSBA #33256</u> Attorney for the Jamestown S'Klallam and Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribes		
21	By: <u>s/ ALIX FOSTER, WSBA # 4943</u>		
22	Attorney for the Swinomish Indian Tribal Community		
23	By: <u>s/ EDWARD WURTZ, WSBA # 24741</u> Attorney for the Nooksack Tribe		
24			
25	By: <u>s/ BRIAN GRUBER, WSBA # 32210</u> Attorney for the Makah Tribe		
26	By: <u>s/ SAMUEL J. STILTNER, WSBA # 7765</u>		

1	Attorney for the Puyallup Tribe			
2	By: <u>s/ HAROLD CHESNIN, WSBA # 398</u> Attorney for the Upper Skagit Tribe			
3				
4 5	By: <u>s/ O. YALE LEWIS III, WSBA # 33768</u> Attorney for the Quileute Tribe			
6	By: <u>s/ ERIC J.NIELSEN, WSBA # 12773</u> Attorney for the Quinault Indian Nation			
7	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
8	By: <u>s/ PETER C. MONSON</u>			
9	United States Department	of Justice		
10				
11				
12	ORDER			
13				
14	Is it is so ORDERED this 29 th day			
15				
16				
17		Dula	`	
18		RICARDO S. MART UNITED STATES D		
19		UNITED STATES D	STRICT JUDGE	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
	PERMANENT INJUNCTION REGARDING CULVERT CORRECTION	7	KANJI & KATZEN, PL 401 SECOND AVE. S., SUI	

No. C70-9213, SUBPROCEEDING 01-1

(CULVERTS)