

Appendix G. Sustainable Harvest Levels for Each Sustainable Harvest Unit by Alternative

Table G.1. Sustainable Harvest Level in Millions of Board Feet (MMBF), Including Arrearage Volume in Alternatives 2 Through 5, by Sustainable Harvest Unit in the Fiscal Year 2015–2024 Planning Period

Sustainable harvest unit	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 4	Alternative 5
Capitol	523	499	495	496	495
Clallam	210	229	197	192	212
Clark	93	68	69	68	68
Cowlitz	42	33	34	34	35
Federal	2,623	2,002	1,726	1,724	1,408
Grays Harbor	4	4	4	4	3
Jefferson	68	50	51	52	51
King	57	37	37	36	34
Kitsap	17	12	12	12	12
Lewis	192	166	161	161	149
Mason	99	96	94	94	94
OESF	704	992	925	906	864
Pacific	55	63	46	48	42
Pierce	17	14	14	14	8
Skagit	299	211	203	200	176
Skamania	109	85	82	82	81
Snohomish	244	194	192	189	160
Thurston	21	17	18	18	17
Wahkiakum	47	63	35	34	25
Whatcom	75	59	53	50	48
Total	5,500	4,893	4,447	4,414	3,982

Tables G.2 through G.6 show the annual average harvest level under each alternative considering different rates of harvest of arrearage (if applicable) under each alternative.

Table G.2. Alternative 1 Annual Average Harvest Level in Millions of Board Feet (MMBF) per Year in the Fiscal Year 2015–2024 Planning Decade

Sustainable harvest unit	Year									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Capitol	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52
Clallam	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Clark	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
Cowlitz	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Federal	262	262	262	262	262	262	262	262	262	262
Grays Harbor	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Jefferson	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
King	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Kitsap	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Lewis	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Mason	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
OESF	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Pacific	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Pierce	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Skagit	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Skamania	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Snohomish	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24
Thurston	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Wahkiakum	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Whatcom	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Total	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52

Values may not sum to decadal harvest level due to rounding.

Table G.3. Alternative 2 Annual Average Harvest Level, including Arrearage Volume, in Millions of Board Feet (MMBF) per Year in the Fiscal Year 2015–2024 Planning Decade

Sustainable harvest unit	Year									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Capitol	56	56	56	56	56	44	44	44	44	44
Clallam	25	25	25	25	25	20	20	20	20	20
Clark	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Cowlitz	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Federal	235	235	235	235	235	165	165	165	165	165
Grays Harbor	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Jefferson	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
King	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	2
Kitsap	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lewis	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
Mason	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
OESF	119	119	119	119	119	79	79	79	79	79
Pacific	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Pierce	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
Skagit	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Skamania	10	10	10	10	10	7	7	7	7	7
Snohomish	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Thurston	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Wahkiakum	8	8	8	8	8	5	5	5	5	5
Whatcom	8	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	4	4
Total	560	560	560	560	560	419	419	419	419	419

Values may not sum to decadal harvest level due to rounding.

Table G.4. Alternative 3 Annual Average Harvest Level, including Arrearage Volume, in Millions of Board Feet (MMBF) per Year in the Fiscal Year 2015–2024 Planning Decade

Sustainable harvest unit	Year									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Capitol	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
Clallam	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Clark	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Cowlitz	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Federal	173	173	173	173	173	173	173	173	173	173
Grays Harbor	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Jefferson	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
King	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Kitsap	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lewis	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Mason	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
OESF	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Pacific	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Pierce	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Skagit	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Skamania	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Snohomish	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Thurston	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Wahkiakum	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Whatcom	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Total	445	445	445	445	445	445	445	445	445	445

Values may not sum to decadal harvest level due to rounding.

Table G.5. Alternative 4 Annual Average Harvest Level, including Arrearage Volume, in Millions of Board Feet (MMBF) per Year in the Fiscal Year 2015–2024 Planning Decade

Sustainable harvest unit	Year									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Capitol	37	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51
Clallam	16	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Clark	0	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Cowlitz	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Federal	229	166	166	166	166	166	166	166	166	166
Grays Harbor	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Jefferson	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
King	10	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Kitsap	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lewis	0	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Mason	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
OESF	132	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86
Pacific	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Pierce	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Skagit	0	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Skamania	13	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Snohomish	0	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Thurston	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Wahkiakum	11	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Whatcom	12	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Total	463	439	439	439	439	439	439	439	439	439

Values may not sum to decadal harvest level due to rounding.

Table G.6. Alternative 5 Annual Average Harvest Level, including Arrearage Volume, in Millions of Board Feet (MMBF) per Year in the Fiscal Year 2015–2024 Planning Decade

Sustainable harvest unit	Year									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Capitol	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
Clallam	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Clark	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Cowlitz	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Federal	141	141	141	141	141	141	141	141	141	141
Grays Harbor	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Jefferson	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
King	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Kitsap	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lewis	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Mason	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
OESF	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86
Pacific	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Pierce	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Skagit	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Skamania	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Snohomish	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Thurston	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Wahkiakum	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Whatcom	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Total	398	398	398	398	398	398	398	398	398	398

Values may not sum to decadal harvest level due to rounding.