

Could be just me, but the link for Baker to Bellingham Non-Motorized Recreation Plan didn't work?

---

There should be recreation planning for motorized users included in this plan. Instead, you've chosen to exclude a major part of the community from having any say, any pride, any investment into this process. Non-motorized users enjoy an endless selection of places to recreate.

Where is the safe haven for motorized users?

I'm very disappointed with how DNR handled this process and the fact that you've excluded motorized users entirely.

---

We don't need another non-motorized area. WE NEED A MOTORIZED LEGAL AREA TO RIDE

---

Hello - I recently received an email alerting me to the Community Open Houses scheduled for July 31st and August 1. Unfortunately I will be not be able attend either of these meetings.

One question popped to mind when I read the new title of the project as Draft Baker....Non-Motorized Plan. Is there a draft Baker...Motorized plan? Has the project been split into two projects, one for non- motorized and one for motorized recreation?

Thank you and please let me know if there is a way to view the Draft without attending the meeting.

---

Are we allowed to bring sign boards to the meeting because the overwhelming community feedback that you should include a trail from ACME to the Middle Fork during the last meeting was ignored?

---

Half of the states forests are already set aside in wilderness for non-motorized use, why can't we get more motorized use? Those of us that are older and physically disabled CAN'T hike! Yet we still love the outdoors and public land should be for all of us!