

Q2: Current Trend in Total Range

Rating: Moderate

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q3: Proportion of Potential Range Currently Unoccupied

Rating: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q4: Local Range Expansion or Change in Abundance

Rating: Moderate

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q5: Diversity of Ecosystems Invaded

Ecosystem types: Forest & Woodland, Grassland & Shrubland, Marine Coastal Shore, Emergent Open Wetland

Rating: High

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional Expertise

Section 2: Biological Characteristics

Q6: Aggressive Mode of Reproduction

Rating: Yes

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q7: Innate Potential for Long-Distance Dispersal

Rating: Yes

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q8: Potential to be Spread by Human Activities

Rating: Yes

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q9: Allelopathy

Rating: No

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q10: Competitive for Limiting Abiotic Factors

Rating: Yes

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q11: Growth Form

Rating: Yes

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q12: Germination Requirements

Rating: No

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q13: Invasiveness of Other Plants in Genus

Rating: Yes

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q14: Shade Tolerance

Rating: Moderate

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q15: Disturbance Tolerance

Rating: Yes

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q16: Propagule Persistence

Rating: Unknown

Confidence: Not Rated

Source:

Q17: Palatability

Rating: No

Confidence: Moderate

Deer and elk prefer many rose species, including *Rosa canina*, in spite of the thorns. However, browsing pressure does not appear to be enough to control this species.

Source: Professional expertise

Section 3: Ecological Impact

Q18: Impact on Ecosystem Abiotic Processes

Abiotic Processes: Light availability

Rating: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q19: Impact on Ecosystem Structure

Rating: High

Confidence: Moderate

This species can produce large shrubby thickets in grasslands.

Source: Professional expertise

Q20: Impact on Ecosystem Composition

Rating: Moderate

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q21: Impact on Particular Native Species

Rating: Not Rated

Confidence: Not Rated

Source:

Q22: Observed Ability to Invade Undisturbed Ecosystems

Rating: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q23: Observed Ability to Invade Naturally Disturbed Ecosystems

Rating: Yes

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Section 4: Management Difficulty

Q24: General Management Difficulty

Rating: Moderate

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q25: Minimum Time Commitment

Rating: High

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q26: Impacts of Management on Native Species

Rating: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q27: Inaccessibility of Invaded Areas

Rating: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q28: Sociopolitical Implications of Management

Rating: Moderate/Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Additional Comments

None

References

Burke Herbarium, University of Washington. 2024. Burke Herbarium Image Collection. <https://burkeherbarium.org/imagecollection>. Accessed: December 17, 2024.

Consortium of Pacific Northwest Herbaria (CPNWH). 2024. Consortium of Pacific Northwest Herbaria Specimen Database. <https://www.pnwherbaria.org/data/search.php>. Accessed: December 20, 2024.

EDDMapS. 2024. Early Detection & Distribution Mapping System. The University of Georgia - Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health. <http://www.eddmaps.org>. Accessed: June 17, 2024.

iNaturalist Community. 2024. Research grade observations from Washington State. <https://www.inaturalist.org/>. Accessed: December 24, 2024.

