STATE OF WASHINGTON FOREST PRACTICES BOARD

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Description of proposal:

The Forest Practices Board proposes to amend the rules in Title 222 WAC that address continuing review and reanalysis of watershed analysis prescriptions. The intent of this rule proposal is to keep watershed analysis prescriptions current in light of changes in the watershed over time as well as new research and technology. This ongoing review and reanalysis process is intended to better ensure that forest practices activities implementing watershed analysis prescriptions continue to warrant exemption from Class IV-special SEPA review.

Proponent: Forest Practices Board

Location of proposal, including street address, if any:

This is not a site-specific proposal. State managed and privately owned forest lands in Washington State are subject to the forest practices act rules (chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC).

Lead agency: Forest Practices Board

The lead agency has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal before May 10, 2011. Comments must be submitted by $\frac{2011}{1, 2011}$.

Responsible official: Position/Title:	Peter Goldmark Commissioner of Public Lands	Phone: (360) 902-1004
Address:	Forest Practices Board c/o Patricia Anderson, Rules Coordinator	
	Department of Natural Resources	
	1111 Washington Street SE	
	PO Box 47012	
	Olympia, WA 98504-7000 (360) 902-1400	
Date: March	(6, 201) Signature:	to Spectnume

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Chapter 43.21 RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

A. BACKGROUND

- 1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: This is a nonproject action proposed by the Forest Practices Board to amend Title 222 WAC for continuing review and reanalysis of watershed analysis prescriptions.
- 2. Name of applicant: Forest Practices Board
- Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: Forest Practices Board c/o Patricia Anderson, Rules Coordinator Department of Natural Resources 1111 Washington Street SE PO Box 47012 Olympia, WA 98504-7012 (360) 902-1413
- 4. Date checklist prepared: March 2011
- 5. Agency requesting checklist: Forest Practices Board
- Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): The Forest Practices Board may consider rule adoption at its May 10, 2011 quarterly meeting. Rules adopted in May would become effective in June 2011.
- 7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. Yes; In anticipation of The Forest Practices Board's adoption of watershed analysis rules, the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) is preparing an update to Board Manual Section 11, Standard Methodology for Conducting Watershed Analysis, to describe the process for continuing review and reanalysis of prescriptions.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. The Forest Practices Board (board) accepted proposed rule changes from the Forest Practices Adaptive Management Program's (AMP) Forests and Fish Policy Committee in November, 2010. The proposed rules were in response to a request from the board to review the watershed analysis prescription review process. The board's request to AMP was based on consensus recommendations from the board's Watershed Analysis Subcommittee submitted in February 2010. No specific environmental information on this proposal was produced by the Watershed Analysis Subcommittee or the AMP.

The adaptive management process is part of the board's "Forests and Fish rules" adopted pursuant to RCW 76.09.370, Forests and Fish Report. The board created and authorized the AMP in WAC 222-12-045 and authorized the process in Board Manual Section 22 to be used to determine the effectiveness of the forest practices rules and to make recommendations for changes to the board. AMP utilized the policy track described in Board Manual Section 22 in making its recommendation to the board. Information on the AMP can be found

at: <u>http://www.dnr.wa.gov/BusinessPermits/Topics/FPAdaptiveM</u> anagementProgram/Pages/fp_am_program.aspx.

The most recent environmental information on the forest practices watershed analysis rules is found in the January 2006 environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Forest Practices Habitat Conservation Plan (FPHCP). This EIS was conducted under the National Environmental Policy Act and can be seen

at: <u>http://www.dnr.wa.gov/BusinessPermits/Topics/ForestPracticesHCP/Pag</u> es/fp_hcp_feis.aspx

- Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
 No.
- List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
 The Forest Practice Board adopts rules in Title 222 WAC.
- 11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

(Lead agency may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The board proposes to amend the rules in Title 222 WAC to address continuing review and reanalysis of watershed analysis prescriptions. The intent of this rule proposal is to assure that watershed analysis prescriptions are achieving their intended protection of public resources so that forest practices applications implementing watershed analysis prescriptions continue to warrant exemption from Class IV-special SEPA review.

In 2007 and 2009 significant storms in western Washington produced intense precipitation events coinciding with a large number of landslides in particular areas. Some of these landslides occurred on slopes within watersheds with approved mass wasting prescriptions. These storm events prompted concern by the board about the use and review of watershed analysis mass wasting prescriptions. The focus of the board's rule proposal is to implement new standards to keep all watershed analysis prescriptions current over time.

The board proposes changes to the forest practices rules that address SEPA review, classification and processing of applications, and watershed analysis reviews and reanalysis. Briefly, the proposed rule changes are as follows:

- Require DNR to review approved watershed analysis prescriptions every five years, or when a natural disaster occurs (e.g. significant storm event) or there is deterioration or no improvement in the condition of a particular resource. The purpose of the review is to determine which prescriptions, if any, need reanalysis.
- Require DNR to notify the landowners in the watershed that reanalysis of the identified prescriptions is necessary_
- Give landowners in the watershed the option to reanalyze the prescriptions identified by DNR. These landowners must singly or as a group own 10% or more of the nonfederal forest land in that watershed.
- Require the reanalysis of mass wasting prescriptions to be conducted by qualified geotechnical experts, as that term is defined in the rules.
- Authorize DNR to rescind from the approved watershed analysis, after SEPA review, those prescriptions that are not reanalyzed by the watershed landowners. The rescinded prescription will be replaced by standard forest practices rules (standard rules), including SEPA review.
- Authorize DNR to classify and condition under standard rules the forest practices applications within the geographic area where the reanalysis process is occurring.
- Require the expiration of all interim and draft prescriptions that are not completed within two years from the date the DNR determines the watershed analysis is ready for SEPA review.

Please see the rule amendment and a more extensive description of the proposal in section D. Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

12. Location of proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographical map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any applications related to this checklist.

This is not site-specific proposal. It is a nonproject rule proposal to amend Title 222 WAC.

The land affected is state managed and privately owned forest lands in Washington State subject to the forest practices rules (Title 222 WAC), specifically all nonfederal forest lands in watershed administrative units subject to forest practices watershed analysis rules.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

- 1. <u>Earth</u>
 - a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountains, other.
 This is not a site-specific proposal. Please see section D. Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, question 4.
 - b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? This is not a site-specific proposal .
 - c. What general types of soils (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.
 This is not a site-specific proposal.
 - d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
- 2. <u>Air</u>
 - a. What types of emissions to the air would result from this proposal (i.e. dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

This is not a site-specific proposal. Please see section D. Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, question 1.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.

3. <u>Water</u>

- a. Surface:
 - Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.
 This is not a site-specific proposal. Please see section D.
 Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, questions 1 and 4.
 - Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of the fill material.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

This is not a site-specific proposal. Please see section D. Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, question 4.

 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.

b. Ground:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals . . .; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.

- c. Water Runoff(including storm water):
 - Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities if known).
 Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable. Please see section D.

Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, questions 1, 2, and 4.

- Could waste material enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
- Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.

4. Plants

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

___deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

- __evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- ___shrubs
- __grass
- __pasture

__crop or grain

__wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other

___water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

__other types of vegetation

This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site. **This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.**
- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
- 5. <u>Animals</u>
 - a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:
 birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
 mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
 fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
 This is not a site-specific proposal. Please see section D.
 Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, questions 2 and 4.
- 6. <u>Energy and Natural Resources</u>
 - a. What kinds of energy (electrical, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.

What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.

7. Environmental Health

- Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal. Please see section D.
 Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, question 1.
 - 1) Describe any emergency services that might be required. Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - Propose measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any: Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- b. Noise
 - What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- 8. Land and Shoreline Use
 - a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?
 This is not a site-specific proposal. Please see section D.
 Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, question 5.
 - b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe. Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - c. Describe any structures on the site. Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - d. Will any structures be demolished? if so, what? Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal. Please see section D. Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, question number 4.
- Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? **Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.**
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- 9. <u>Housing</u>
 - Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- 10. <u>Aesthetics</u>
 - a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: **Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.**
- 11. Light and Glare
 - a. What kind of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? **Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.**
 - d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: **Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.**
- 12. <u>Recreation</u>
 - a. What designated and informal recreation opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreational opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- 13. <u>Historic and Cultural Preservation</u>
 - Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.
 This is not a site-specific proposal. Please see section D.
 Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, question number 4.
 - b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.
 This is not a site-specific proposal; not applicable.
 - c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any: This is not a site-specific proposal. Please see section D.
 Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions, question number 4.

- 14. Transportation
 - a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans if any. Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur. **Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.**
 - g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
- 15. <u>Public Services</u>
 - a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.

- 16. <u>Utilities</u>
 - a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.
 - Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.
 Not applicable to this nonproject proposal.

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signat	ure: Adungating	Signature:
Title:	Palicy analyst	Title: Apsorbust Divisia Mar - Erest Practice
Date:		Date: 3-7-1)

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Do not use this sheet for project action)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

Description of Proposal

This rule proposal by the Forest Practices Board (board) is to clarify the forest practices rules pertaining to the watershed analysis process to specify when and how interim, draft, and approved watershed analysis prescriptions will be reviewed, reanalyzed, rescinded, or expire.

The Forest Practices Act and rules (chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC) apply to state managed and privately owned forest lands in Washington State. The watershed analysis rules (chapter 222-22 WAC) are intended to address the cumulative effects of multiple forest practices activities within a given watershed. These cumulative effects rules became effective in 1992. The majority of watershed analyses were conducted and their prescriptions approved from 1993 through 1999. The fifty-two approved watershed analyses can be found

at: <u>http://www.dnr.wa.gov/ResearchScience/Topics/WatershedAnalysis/Pages/fp_watershed_assessments.aspx</u>.

In 2007 and 2009 significant storms in western Washington produced intense precipitation events coinciding with a large number of landslides in particular areas. Some of these landslides occurred on slopes within watersheds with approved watershed analysis mass wasting prescriptions. These storm events prompted concern by the board about the use and review of watershed analysis prescriptions.

The board's rule proposal is intended to ensure watershed analysis prescriptions continue to prevent, avoid, or minimize the potential for adverse effects from forest practices activities on resources to the greatest extent possible. This will ensure forest practices applications implementing prescriptions remain protective enough to warrant exemptions from Class IV-special SEPA review.

The board proposes changes to the forest practices rules that address SEPA review, classification and processing of applications, and watershed analysis. Briefly, the proposed rule changes are as follows:

- Require DNR to review approved watershed analysis prescriptions every five years, or when a natural disaster occurs (e.g. significant storm event) or there is deterioration or no improvement in the condition of a particular resource. The purpose of the review is to determine which prescriptions, if any, need reanalysis.
- Require DNR to notify the landowners in the watershed that reanalysis of the identified prescriptions is necessary.

- Give landowners in the watershed the option to reanalyze the prescriptions identified by DNR. These landowners must singly or as a group own 10% or more of the nonfederal forest land in that watershed.
- Require the reanalysis of mass wasting prescriptions to be conducted by qualified geotechnical experts, as that term is defined in the rules.
- Authorize DNR to rescind from the approved watershed analysis, after SEPA review, those prescriptions that are not reanalyzed by the watershed landowners. The rescinded prescription will be replaced by standard forest practices rules (standard rules), including SEPA review.
- Authorize DNR to classify and condition under standard rules the forest practices applications within the geographic area where the reanalysis process is occurring.
- Require the expiration of all interim and draft prescriptions that are not completed within two years from the date the DNR determines the watershed analysis is ready for SEPA review.

This proposal is a result of a recommendation to the board in November 2010 from the Adaptive Management Program's (AMP) Forests and Fish Policy Committee (Policy). Policy was responding to the board's request for AMP recommendations regarding implementation of consensus recommendations in February 2010 from the board's Watershed Analysis Subcommittee. The Watershed Analysis Subcommittee's recommendation focused on mass wasting prescriptions.

Link to the AMP recommendation to the board at: <u>http://www.dnr.wa.gov/Publications/bc_fp_materials_all_20100210.pdf</u>

and to the Watershed Analysis Subcommittee's meeting minutes and materials at: <u>http://www.dnr.wa.gov/BusinessPermits/Topics/OtherInteragencyInformation/P</u> <u>ages/bc_wsa_agendas_minutes.aspx</u>.

Forest practices applications in areas affected by watershed analysis prescriptions will continue to be approved /conditioned/disapproved by DNR under the board's current watershed analysis rules until new rules are adopted by the board.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise? Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

This nonproject proposal will not change emission to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise.

The proposal is unlikely to increase discharge of sediment or debris to water from forest practices activities. Rather, the proposal will decrease the potential for discharge of sediment and/or debris to water because it adds the following steps to the watershed analysis process:

• <u>Review the changes in watershed conditions over time.</u> Reviews of prescriptions present the opportunity to identify previously approved prescriptions that may no longer adequately prevent, avoid, or minimize the potential for delivery of course and/or fine sediment or debris to water. Each DNR review of prescriptions will take into account changes in the watershed since the previous review and reanalysis, and will identify those prescriptions that need to be reanalyzed. Reanalysis will determine if prescriptions need to be modified to more effectively prevent, avoid, or minimize the potential for forest practices activities to result in delivery of course and/or fine sediment or debris to typed waters.

<u>Incorporate new research and technologies.</u>
 Our scientific understanding and methods of detecting potentially unstable slopes and landforms have developed since most of the mass wasting and surface erosion prescriptions were approved. These new tools, including LiDAR (light detection and radar) will enable the review and reanalysis processes to better identify previously unrecognized unstable areas and more effectively design prescriptions to prevent, avoid, or minimize delivery of course and/or fine sediment or debris to water.

Additionally, the proposal authorizes DNR to:

- require forest practices applications to follow standard rules when the application is in the geographic area of an active reanalysis, and
- rescind watershed analysis prescriptions that are not reanalyzed, and in their place require standard rules.

The vast majority of the existing prescriptions were approved prior to the 2001 standard rules, commonly referred to as the Forests and Fish rules. The Forests and Fish rules for potentially unstable slopes and landforms, as well as for road construction and maintenance, were based on knowledge gained through conducting watershed analyses. Therefore, giving DNR the authority to require standard rules during reanalysis and the ability to rescind mass wasting and surface erosion prescriptions in favor of standard rules is likely to decrease the potential for delivery of course and/or fine sediments and debris to water.

In the case of mass wasting prescriptions, the standard rules require applications to include a geotechnical report prepared by a qualified expert and undergo SEPA review. This report must (1) describe the potentially unstable landforms in and around the application site, (2) analyze the likelihood that the proposed forest practices will cause delivery to a public resource or threaten public safety, and (3) provide mitigation for the possible identified risks. DNR may determine the application requires a determination of significance and an environmental impact statement prior to DNR's final decision to approve, condition or disapprove. the application.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life? Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

The proposal is unlikely to adversely affect the vegetation, animals, and aquatic species (watershed resources) protected under the Forest Practices Act and rules, chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC respectively. This is because the proposal incorporates the following processes so forest practices applications implementing watershed analysis prescriptions have the least potential to adversely impact to these watershed resources:

- Ongoing review and reanalysis of approved watershed analysis prescriptions. The review process will take into account changes in the watershed since the previous review and utilize new research and technology to identify those prescriptions that need to be reanalyzed. The reanalysis process will redesign those prescriptions that need to more effectively prevent, avoid, or minimize the potential for forest practices activities to adversely affect these watershed resources.
- Expiration of interim and draft prescriptions that do not undergo SEPA review. Currently, forest practices applications are required to be conditioned according to interim, draft, or final prescriptions, no matter how old the prescription is (WAC 222-22-090 (2)). The proposal would require automatic expiration of interim and draft prescriptions if the prescription is not SEPA reviewed within two years of the date DNR determines the watershed analysis report is ready for SEPA review. Automatic expiration will 1) cull old interim and draft prescriptions, 2) keep new interim and draft prescriptions from languishing, and 3) allow standard rules to be applied to the areas of the watershed previously addressed by the prescriptions. Most of these interim and draft prescriptions were written prior to the current standard rules, which are based on knowledge gained over the years from conducting watershed analyses.
- <u>Rescission of approved prescriptions as deemed necessary by DNR.</u> The proposal will allow DNR to rescind those prescriptions it has identified as needing a reanalysis if the landowners in the watershed choose not to conduct the reanalysis. This will result in standard rules being applied to forest practices applications in the areas of the watershed formerly addressed by the prescriptions. Many of these approved prescriptions were written prior to the current standard rules, which are based on knowledge gained over the years conducting watershed analyses.
- <u>DNR authority to classify, require SEPA review of, and condition forest practices</u> <u>applications during reanalysis of prescriptions.</u> SEPA review and potential conditioning of applications that apply to the geographic area under reanalysis will ensure that these watershed resources are protected from potentially adverse risks, including risks unknown at the time the prescription was written, until the prescription is deemed still appropriate, redesigned to better protect these resources, or rescinded.
- 3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources? This nonproject proposal has no effect on energy resources.

The proposal is unlikely to adversely affect natural resources because it will provide more certainty that the resource protection provided by watershed analysis prescriptions is regularly reviewed and, when necessary, modified to more accurately address changing watershed conditions over time based on new research and technologies. 4. How would the proposal be likely to use or effect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designed (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection: such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened and endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains, or prime farmlands? Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Since the Forest Practices Act and rules apply to state managed and privately owned forest lands in Washington State, there will be no direct impact from this proposal on wilderness or other lands managed by the federal government.

The proposal modifies the forest practices rules that pertain to watershed analysis. Watershed analysis is a biological and physical assessment of a watershed designed to address the cumulative impacts of forest practices on these forest lands. The current watershed analysis process assesses a watershed for mass wasting, surface erosion, hydrology, riparian function, stream channel, fish habitat, water supply and public works, and cultural resources. Together, these assessments identify areas of resource sensitivity (ARS) for which prescriptions are written. For example, a mass wasting area that has a potential to deliver to a stream channel with degraded fish habitat becomes an ARS. Prescriptions for ARS must be designed to prevent, avoid, or minimize the potential for adverse effects on the resources (e.g. fish habitat) from forest practices activities so the resource is protected and allowed to recover. The watershed analysis report, including prescriptions, is reviewed under SEPA prior to approval by the DNR.

In the current rules, watershed analyses are to be revised in whole or in part upon the earliest occurrence of the following: five years after the approval of the watershed analysis, after a natural disaster adversely affecting a resource assessed in the watershed analysis process, or deterioration or no improvement in a specific resource. Forest practices applications are conditioned under the current prescriptions pending the outcome of the review.

The proposal specifies that DNR review prescriptions every five years, not just one five year review after approval of the watershed analysis. This continual review process will identify prescriptions that need to be reanalyzed to ensure they address changes in the watershed since the prescription was written and last reviewed. Reanalysis of these prescriptions will lead to improved prescriptions over time with a greater potential to protect riparian habitat, fish habitat, wetlands, cultural resources, and floodplains from adverse impacts from forest practices activities in the watershed.

Using the example of mass wasting prescriptions, research and new technology (such as LiDAR) has advanced since most of these prescriptions were approved. The proposal will ensure review of these prescriptions will be conducted using current scientific knowledge and technologies; and requires reanalysis of mass wasting prescriptions to be conducted by a qualified geotechnical expert, as defined in WAC 222-10-035. Using up-to-date scientific and technical knowledge on an ongoing basis will enhance identification of potentially unstable slopes and landforms and lead to prescriptions that are better designed to protect riparian areas, wetlands, fish habitat,

cultural resources, and floods plains from mass wasting events that could be caused by forest practices in the watershed.

Under the current rules, forest practices applications are required to be conditioned according to interim, draft, and approved prescriptions, as these prescriptions become available through the watershed analysis process. These applications are exempt from SEPA review for the issue addressed by the prescription and DNR has very limited authority to condition the application to further protect resources. The current rules do not address expiration and rescission of these prescriptions. The board's proposal gives DNR authority during a prescription reanalysis, and when a prescription expires or is rescinded, to classify applications within the area addressed by the prescription as Class IV-special and require SEPA review. Since many of these watershed analysis prescriptions were written a decade or more ago and have not been reviewed in light of new technology or changes in the watershed, there is little risk to riparian areas, wetlands, fish habitat, cultural resources, and floods plains from not continuing to implement prescriptions needing reanalysis.

This proposal does not modify the Board's list of critical habitat for threatened and endangered species and the protections afforded to those species under the forest practices rules (WAC 222-16-080). The proposal also does not modify existing laws or rules providing protection of archaeological, historic, or cultural sites or resources. Any inadvertent discovery of cultural resources during prescription reviews and reanalysis would be addressed by the current laws and rules.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans? Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

The proposal does not affect shoreline use so it is not incompatible with existing shoreline master plans.

The proposal affects forest land use under the forest practices rules to the extent that reanalysis of prescriptions will result in more effective prescriptions to decrease the potential impact from mass wasting failures. Additionally, it may result in a greater area of the watershed being impacted by the prescriptions, i.e. application of existing prescriptions to a larger area of the watershed.

None of these effects will allow or encourage land use that is incompatible with local governmental land use plans.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This nonproject rule proposal does not apply to transportation or public services or utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: None, not applicable.

- 7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. This nonproject rule proposal does not conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. It is not in conflict with the Forest Practices Habitat Conservation Plan (FPHCP) for the following reasons:
 - The aquatic resource protections required by the FPHCP are not changed by this proposal.
 - The proposal is based on a recommendation resulting from the adaptive management process recognized in the FPHCP as an integral part of the forest practices rules.
 - The proposal provides additional guarantees, through a review and reanalysis process, that approved watershed analysis prescriptions remain effective over time.
 - The FPHCP recognizes that forest practices applications consistent with a landowner's HCP are exempt from the watershed analysis rules (chapter 222-22 WAC), per WAC 222-12-041and 222-16-051.

1	Forest Practices Board
2	Watershed Analysis Rule Making
3	February 2011
4	
5	WAC 222-10-030 *SEPA policies for potentially unstable slopes and landforms. In addition
6	to SEPA policies established elsewhere in this chapter, the following policies apply to forest practices
7	described in WAC 222-16-050 (1)(d) relating to construction or harvest on potentially unstable slopes or
8	landforms.
9	(1) In order to determine whether such forest practices are likely to have a probable significant
10	adverse impact, and therefore require an environmental impact statement, the applicant must submit the
11	following additional information, prepared by a qualified expert as defined in subsection (5) of this
12	section. The <u>qualified</u> expert must describe the potentially unstable landforms in and around the
13	application site and analyze:
14	(a) The likelihood that the proposed forest practices will cause movement on the potentially
15	unstable slopes or landforms, or contribute to further movement of a potentially unstable slope or
16	landform;
17	(b) The likelihood of delivery of sediment or debris to any public resources, or in a manner that
18	would threaten public safety; and
19	(c) Any possible mitigation for the identified hazards and risks.
20	(2) The department's threshold determination will include an evaluation of whether the proposed
21	forest practices:
22	(a) Are likely to increase the probability of a mass movement on or near the site;
23	(b) Would deliver sediment or debris to a public resource or would deliver sediment or debris in a
24	manner that would threaten public safety; and
25	(c) Such movement and delivery are likely to cause significant adverse impacts.
26	If the department determines that (a), (b) and (c) of this subsection are likely to occur, then the
27	forest practice is likely to have a probable significant adverse impact.
28	(3) The department will evaluate the proposal, using appropriate expertise and in consultation with
29	other affected agencies and Indian tribes.
30	(4) Specific mitigation measures or conditions must be designed to avoid accelerating rates and
31	magnitudes of mass wasting that could deliver sediment or debris to a public resource or could deliver
32	sediment or debris in a manner that would threaten public safety.
33	(5) Qualified expert for the purposes of this section <u>and for reanalysis of watershed analysis mass</u>
34	wasting prescriptions under WAC 222-22-030 means a person licensed under chapter 18.220 RCW as
35	either an engineering geologist or as a hydrogeologist (if the site warrants hydrologist expertise), with <u>at</u>
36	least <u>3-three</u> years of field experience in the evaluation of relevant problems in forested lands.
37	WAC 222 10 025 *Watershed analysis SEDA national When the department considers a watershed
38 39	WAC 222-10-035 *Watershed analysis SEPA policies. When the department considers a watershed analysis for approval as inunder WAC 222-22-080 or 222-22-090, the department will perform a review
	under SEPA as a nonproject proposal. When making the <u>SEPA</u> threshold determination for a watershed
40 41	analysis, the department shall only make a determination of significance if, when compared to rules or
41 42	prescriptions in place at the time of the analysis or the 5 year review<u>reanalysis</u>, the prescriptions will cause
42 43	a probable significant adverse impact on elements of the environment other than those addressed in the
43 44	watershed analysis process.
44 45	watershed anarysis process.
46	
10	

WAC 222-10-125 Exemption from RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c). Decisions pertaining to the following are not subject to any procedural requirements implementing RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c): Approval of forest road maintenance and abandonment plans, approval of future timber harvest schedules involving east-side clear cuts, acquisitions of <u>conservation easements pertaining to</u> forest lands in the riparian-rivers and habitat open space program; and acquisitions of conservation easements pertaining to forest lands in riparian zones under the forest riparian easement program.

WAC 222-16-010 *General definitions.

"Area of resource sensitivity" means areas identified in accordance with WAC 222-22-050 (2)(d) or <u>.</u>
 222-22-060(2), or 222-22-090.

13 .

20

7 8

9 10

"Watershed administrative unit (WAU)" means an area shown on the map specified in WAC
 222-22-020(1).

"Watershed analysis" means, for a given WAU, the <u>resource</u> assessment completed under WAC
 222-22-050 or 222-22-060 together with the prescriptions selected under WAC 222-22-070 and shall

include <u>resource</u> assessments completed under WAC 222-22-050 where there are no areas of resource
 sensitivity and the ongoing reviews and reanalyses completed under WAC 222-22-090.

WAC 222-16-050 *Classes of forest practices. There are 4-four classes of forest practices created by the act. All forest practices (including those in Classes I and II) must be conducted in accordance with the forest practices rules.

(1) "Class IV - special." Except as provided in WAC 222-16-051, application to conduct forest
 practices involving the following circumstances requires an environmental checklist in compliance with
 the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), and SEPA guidelines, as they have been determined to have
 potential for a substantial impact on the environment. It may be determined that additional information
 or a detailed environmental statement is required before these forest practices may be conducted.

*(a) Aerial application of pesticides in a manner identified as having the potential for a substantial
 impact on the environment under WAC 222-16-070 or ground application of a pesticide within a Type A
 or B wetland.

(b) Specific forest practices listed in WAC 222-16-080 on lands designated as critical habitat
 (state) of threatened or endangered species.

(c) Harvesting, road construction, aerial application of pesticides and site preparation on all lands
 within the boundaries of any national park, state park, or any park of a local governmental entity, except
 harvest of less than five MBF within any developed park recreation area and park managed salvage of
 merchantable forest products.

*(d) Timber harvest, or construction of roads, landings, gravel pits, rock quarries, or spoil disposal areas, on potentially unstable slopes or landforms described in (i) below that has the potential to deliver sediment or debris to a public resource or that has the potential to threaten public safety, and which has been field verified by the department (see WAC 222-10-030 SEPA policies for potential unstable slopes and landforms).

(i) For the purpose of this rule, potentially unstable slopes or landforms are one of the following:
(See board manual section 16 for more descriptive definitions.)

(A) Inner gorges, convergent headwalls, or bedrock hollows with slopes steeper than thirty-five
 degrees (seventy percent);

- (B) Toes of deep-seated landslides, with slopes steeper than thirty-three degrees (sixty-five 1 2 percent); 3
 - (C) Ground water recharge areas for glacial deep-seated landslides;

(D) Outer edges of meander bends along valley walls or high terraces of an unconfined 4 meandering stream; or 5

(E) Any areas containing features indicating the presence of potential slope instability which 6 cumulatively indicate the presence of unstable slopes. 7

(ii) The department will base its classification of the application or notification on professional 8 knowledge of the area, information such as soils, geologic or hazard zonation maps and reports, review of 9 approved watershed analysis mass wasting prescriptions pursuant to WAC 222-22-090 (6) or other 10 information provided by the applicant. 11

(iii) An application would not be classified as Class IV-Special for potentially unstable slopes or 12 landforms under this subsection if: 13

(A) The proposed forest practice is located within a WAU that is subject to an approved watershed 14 analysis; 15

(B) The forest practices are to be conducted in accordance with an approved prescriptions from the 16 watershed analysis (or as modified through the five-year review process); and 17

(C) The applicable prescriptions is are specific to the site or situation, as opposed to a prescription that 18 calls for additional analysis. The need for an expert to determine whether the site contains specific 19 landforms will not be considered "additional analysis," as long as specific prescriptions are established for 20 such landforms. 21

- *(e) Timber harvest, in a watershed administrative unit not subject to an approved watershed 22 analysis under chapter 222-22 WAC, construction of roads, landings, rock quarries, gravel pits, borrow 23 pits, and spoil disposal areas on snow avalanche slopes within those areas designated by the department, in 24 consultation with department of transportation and local government, as high avalanche hazard where 25 there is the potential to deliver sediment or debris to a public resource, or the potential to threaten public 26 27 safety.
- (f) Timber harvest or construction of roads, landings, rock quarries, gravel pits, borrow pits, and 28 spoil disposal areas on the following except in (f)(iv) of this subsection: 29

30

37

(i) Archaeological sites or historic archaeological resources as defined in RCW 27.53.030; or

(ii) Historic sites eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places or the Washington 31 Heritage Register as determined by the Washington state department of archaeology and historic 32 preservation; or 33

(iii) Sites containing evidence of Native American cairns, graves, or glyptic records as provided 34 for in chapters 27.44 and 27.53 RCW. The department of archaeology and historic preservation shall 35 consult with affected Indian tribes in identifying such sites. 36

(iv) A forest practice would not be classified as Class IV-special under this subsection if:

(A) Cultural resources management strategies from an approved watershed analysis conducted 38 under chapter 222-22 WAC are part of the proposed forest practices, and the landowner states this in the 39 application; or 40

(B) A management plan agreed to by the landowner, the affected Indian tribe, and the department 41 of archaeology and historic preservation is part of the proposed application, and the landowner states this 42 in the application. 43

*(g) Forest practices subject to an approved watershed analysis conducted under chapter 222-22 44 45 WAC in an area of resource sensitivity identified in that analysis which deviates from the prescriptions (which may include an alternate plan) in the watershed analysis. 46

*(h) Filling or draining of more than 0.5 acre of a wetland. 1 (2) "Class IV - general." Applications involving the following circumstances are "Class 2 IV - general" forest practices unless they are listed in "Class IV - special." 3 4 (a) Forest practices (other than those in Class I) on lands platted after January 1, 1960, as provided in chapter 58.17 RCW; 5 (b) Forest practices (other than those in Class I) on lands that have been or are being converted to 6 7 another use: (c) Forest practices which would otherwise be Class III, but which are taking place on lands which 8 are not to be reforested because of likelihood of future conversion to urban development (see WAC 9 222-16-060 and 222-34-050); or 10 (d) Forest practices involving timber harvesting or road construction on lands that are contained 11 within urban growth areas, designated pursuant to chapter 36.70A RCW, except where the forest 12 landowner provides one of the following: 13 (i) A written statement of intent signed by the forest landowner not to convert to a use other than 14 commercial forest products operations for ten years accompanied by either a written forest management 15 plan acceptable to the department or documentation that the land is enrolled under the provisions of 16 17 chapter 84.33 RCW; or (ii) A conversion option harvest plan approved by the local governmental entity and submitted to 18 the department as part of the application. 19 Upon receipt of an application, the department will determine the lead agency for purposes of 20 compliance with the SEPA pursuant to WAC 197-11-924 and 197-11-938(4) and RCW 43.21C.037(2). 21 Such applications are subject to a thirty-day period for approval unless the lead agency determines a 22 detailed statement under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c) is required. Upon receipt, if the department determines 23 the application is for a proposal that will require a license from a county/city acting under the powers 24 enumerated in RCW 76.09.240, the department shall notify the applicable county/city under WAC 25 197-11-924 that the department has determined according to WAC 197-11-938(4) that the county/city is 26 the lead agency for purposes of compliance with the SEPA. 27 (3) "Class I." Those operations that have been determined to have no direct potential for 28 damaging a public resource are Class I forest practices. When the conditions listed in "Class IV - special" 29 are not present, these operations may be commenced without notification or application. 30 (a) Culture and harvest of Christmas trees and seedlings. 31 *(b) Road maintenance except: Replacement of bridges and culverts across Type S, F or flowing 32 Type Np Waters; or movement of material that has a direct potential for entering Type S, F or flowing 33 Type Np Waters or Type A or B Wetlands. 34 *(c) Construction of landings less than one acre in size, if not within a shoreline area of a Type S 35 Water, the riparian management zone of a Type F Water, the bankfull width of a Type Np Water, a 36 wetland management zone, a wetland, or the CRGNSA special management area. 37 *(d) Construction of less than six hundred feet of road on a sideslope of forty percent or less if the 38 limits of construction are not within the shoreline area of a Type S Water, the riparian management zone 39 of a Type F Water, the bankfull width of a Type Np Water, a wetland management zone, a wetland, or the 40 CRGNSA special management area. 41 *(e) Installation or removal of a portable water crossing structure where such installation does not 42 take place within the shoreline area of a Type S Water and does not involve disturbance of the beds or 43 banks of any waters. 44 45 *(f) Initial installation and replacement of relief culverts and other drainage control facilities not requiring a hydraulic permit. 46 4

(g) Rocking an existing road. 1 (h) Loading and hauling timber from landings or decks. 2 (i) Precommercial thinning and pruning, if not within the CRGNSA special management area. 3 (j) Tree planting and seeding. 4 (k) Cutting and/or removal of less than five thousand board feet of timber (including live, dead and 5 down material) for personal use (i.e., firewood, fence posts, etc.) in any twelve-month period, if not within 6 the CRGNSA special management area. 7 (l) Emergency fire control and suppression. 8 (m) Slash burning pursuant to a burning permit (RCW 76.04.205). 9 *(n) Other slash control and site preparation not involving either off-road use of tractors on slopes 10 exceeding forty percent or off-road use of tractors within the shorelines of a Type S Water, the riparian 11 management zone of any Type F Water, or the bankfull width of a Type Np Water, a wetland management 12 zone, a wetland, or the CRGNSA special management area. 13 *(o) Ground application of chemicals, if not within the CRGNSA special management area. (See 14 WAC 222-38-020 and 222-38-030.) 15 *(p) Aerial application of chemicals (except insecticides), outside of the CRGNSA special 16 management area when applied to not more than forty contiguous acres if the application is part of a 17 combined or cooperative project with another landowner and where the application does not take place 18 within one hundred feet of lands used for farming, or within two hundred feet of a residence, unless such 19 farmland or residence is owned by the forest landowner. Provisions of chapter 222-38 WAC shall apply. 20 (q) Forestry research studies and evaluation tests by an established research organization. 21 *(r) Any of the following if none of the operation or limits of construction takes place within the 22 shoreline area of a Type S Water or the riparian management zone of a Type F Water, the bankfull width 23 of a Type Np Water or flowing Type Ns Water, or within the CRGNSA special management area and the 24 operation does not involve off-road use of tractor or wheeled skidding systems on a sideslope of greater 25 than forty percent: 26 27 (i) Any forest practices within the boundaries of existing golf courses. (ii) Any forest practices within the boundaries of existing cemeteries which are approved by the 28 cemetery board. 29 (iii) Any forest practices involving a single landowner where contiguous ownership is less than 30 two acres in size. 31 (s) Removal of beaver structures from culverts on forest roads. A hydraulics project approval 32 from the Washington department of fish and wildlife may be required. 33 (4) "Class II." Certain forest practices have been determined to have a less than ordinary 34 potential to damage a public resource and may be conducted as Class II forest practices: Provided, That 35 no forest practice enumerated below may be conducted as a Class II forest practice if the operation 36 requires a hydraulic project approval (RCW 77.55.100) or is within a "shorelines of the state," or involves 37 owner of perpetual timber rights subject to RCW 76.09.067 (other than renewals). Such forest practices 38 require an application. No forest practice enumerated below may be conducted as a "Class II" forest 39 practice if it takes place on lands platted after January 1, 1960, as provided in chapter 58.17 RCW, or on 40 lands that have been or are being converted to another use. No forest practice enumerated below 41 involving timber harvest or road construction may be conducted as a "Class II" if it takes place within 42 urban growth areas designated pursuant to chapter 37.70A RCW. Such forest practices require a Class 43 IV application. Class II forest practices are the following: 44 45 (a) Renewal of a prior Class II notification where no change in the nature and extent of the forest practices is required under rules effective at the time of renewal. 46

-	(b) Demonstration of a maximum la class III on NV formations and lighting where:
1	(b) Renewal of a previously approved Class III or IV forest practices application where:(i) No modification of the uncompleted operation is proposed;
2	(ii) No notices to comply, stop work orders or other enforcement actions are outstanding with
3 ⊿	respect to the prior application; and
4 5	(iii) No change in the nature and extent of the forest practice is required under rules effective at the
6	time of renewal-; and
7	(iv) Renewal of a previously approved multiyear permit for forest practices within a WAU with an
8	approved watershed analysis requires completion of a necessary five-year review of the watershed
9	analysis. If the renewal is for a multivear permit and the area of that permit is not located within an area
10	subject to watershed reanalysis under WAC 222-22-090 (6).
11	*(c) Any of the following if none of the operation or limits of construction takes place within the
12	riparian management zone of a Type F Water, within the bankfull width of a Type Np Water, within a
13	wetland management zone, within a wetland, or within the CRGNSA special management area:
14	(i) Construction of advance fire trails.
15	(ii) Opening a new pit of, or extending an existing pit by, less than one acre.
16	*(d) Salvage of logging residue if none of the operation or limits of construction takes place within
17	the riparian management zone of a Type F Water, within the bankfull width of a Type Np Water, within a
18	wetland management zone or within a wetland; and if none of the operations involve off-road use of
19	tractor or wheeled skidding systems on a sideslope of greater than forty percent.
20	*(e) Any of the following if none of the operation or limits of construction takes place within the
21	riparian management zone of a Type F Water, within the bankfull width of a Type Np Water, within a
22	wetland management zone, within a wetland, or within the CRGNSA special management area, and if
23	none of the operations involve off-road use of tractor or wheeled skidding systems on a sideslope of
24	greater than forty percent, and if none of the operations are located on lands with a likelihood of future
25	conversion (see WAC 222-16-060):
26	(i) West of the Cascade summit, partial cutting of forty percent or less of the live timber volume.
27	(ii) East of the Cascade summit, partial cutting of five thousand board feet per acre or less.
28	(iii) Salvage of dead, down, or dying timber if less than forty percent of the total timber volume is
29	removed in any twelve-month period.
30	(iv) Any harvest on less than forty acres.
31	(v) Construction of six hundred or more feet of road, provided that the department shall be notified
32	at least two business days before commencement of the construction.
33	(5) " Class III. " Forest practices not listed under Classes IV, I or II above are "Class III" forest
34	practices. Among Class III forest practices are the following:
35	(a) Those requiring hydraulic project approval (RCW 77.55.100).*(b) Those within the shorelines of the state other than those in a Class I forest practice.
36	*(c) Aerial application of insecticides, except where classified as a Class IV forest practice.
37	*(d) Aerial application of chemicals (except insecticides), except where classified as Class I v lotest plactice.
38 39	forest practices.
40	*(e) Harvest or salvage of timber except where classed as Class I, II or IV forest practices.
40 41	*(f) All road construction except as listed in Classes I, II and IV forest practices.
42	(g) Opening of new pits or extensions of existing pits over <u>1-one</u> acre.
43	*(h) Road maintenance involving:
44	(i) Replacement of bridges or culverts across Type S, F or flowing Type Np Waters; or
45	(ii) Movement of material that has a direct potential for entering Type S, F or flowing Type Np
46	Waters or Type A or B Wetlands.
	× +

6

(i) Operations involving owner of perpetual timber rights subject to RCW 76.09.067. 1 (j) Site preparation or slash abatement not listed in Classes I or IV forest practices. 2 (k) Harvesting, road construction, site preparation or aerial application of pesticides on lands 3 4 which contain cultural, historic or archaeological resources which, at the time the application or notification is filed, have been identified to the department as being of interest to an affected Indian tribe. 5 (1) Harvesting exceeding nineteen acres in a designated difficult regeneration area. 6 (m) Utilization of an alternate plan. See WAC 222-12-040. 7 *(n) Any filling of wetlands, except where classified as Class IV forest practices. 8 *(o) Multivear permits. 9 *(p) Small forest landowner long-term applications that are not classified Class IV-special or Class 10 IV-general, or renewals of previously approved Class III or IV long-term applications. 11 12 13 WAC 222-20-080 Application and notification expiration. (1) The approval given by the department 14 to an application to conduct a forest practice shall be effective for a term of two years from the date of 15

16 approval, with the following exceptions:

(a) Multiyear permits are effective for three to five years. A multiyear permit for lands included
 in a watershed analysis pursuant to chapter 222-22 WAC is not renewable if a five-year-watershed
 analysis reanalysis review is found necessary by the department and has not been completed and
 approved, or the department has rescinded the prescriptions that would have applied to the permit.

(b) Small forest landowner long-term applications are effective for terms of three to fifteen years.

(2) A notification is effective for a term of two years beginning five days from the date it is officially received.

WAC 222-22-020 Watershed administrative units. *(1) For purposes of this chapter, the state is divided into areas known as watershed administrative units (WAUs). The department shall, in cooperation with the departments of ecology, fish and wildlife, affected Indian tribes, local government-governmental entities, forest land ownerlandowners, and the public, define WAUs throughout the state. The department shall identify WAUs on a map.

*(2) WAUs should generally be between 10,000ten thousand to 50,000fifty thousand acres in size and should be discrete hydrologic units. The board recognizes, however, that identified watershed processes and potential effects on resource characteristics differ, and require different spatial scales of analysis, and the department's determination of the WAUs should recognize these differences. The board further recognizes that mixed land uses will affect the ability of a watershed analysis to predict probabilities and identify causation as required under this chapter, and the department's conduct and approval of a watershed analysis under this chapter shall take this effect into account.

*(3) The department is directed to conduct periodic reviews of the WAUs adopted under this
chapter to determine whether revisions are needed to more efficiently assess potential cumulative effects.
The department shall consult with the departments of ecology, and fish and wildlife, affected Indian
tribes, forest land ownerlandowners, local government governmental entities, and the public. From time
to time and as appropriate, the department shall make recommendations to the board regarding revision of
watershed administrative units.

44

21

22

27

28

29

30

45

46

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26 27

1 2

WAC 222-22-030 Qualification of watershed resource analysts, specialists, and field managers,

and qualified experts. *(1) The department shall set the minimum qualifications for analysts 3 4 participating in level 1 assessments conducted under WAC 222-22-050, for specialists participating in level 2 assessments conducted under WAC 222-22-060, and for field managers participating in 5 recommendation of prescriptions under WAC 222-22-070, and for analyst, specialists, and field managers 6 participating in reanalysis under WAC 222-22-090. The minimum qualifications shall be specific for 7 the disciplines needed to participate in level 1 and level 2 assessments and in the recommendations of 8 prescriptions, and shall include, at a minimum, formal education in the relevant discipline and field 9 experience. Minimum qualifications for analysts participating in level 2 assessments should typically include a graduate degree in the relevant discipline. A reanalysis of mass wasting prescriptions under 11 WAC 222-22-090 requires a qualified expert as defined in WAC 222-10-030. 12

*(2) The department shall coordinate with relevant state and federal agencies, affected Indian 13 tribes, forest land ownerlandowners, local government governmental entities, and the public to seek and 14 utilize available qualified expertise to participate in watershed analysis or reanlysis. 15

*(3) Qualified analysts, specialists, and field managers, and qualified experts shall, while and only 16 for the purpose of conducting a watershed analysis or monitoring in a WAU, be duly authorized representatives of the department for the purposes of RCW 76.09.150.

*(4) An individual may qualify in more than one science or management skill. Oualification under subsection (1) of this section shall be effective for $\frac{5-\text{five}}{2}$ years. When a qualification expires, a person requesting regualification shall meet the criteria in effect at the time of regualification.

*(5) The department shall provide and coordinate training for, maintain a register of, and monitor the performance of qualified analysts, specialists, and field managers, and qualified experts by region. The department shall disqualify analysts, specialists, and field managers, and qualified experts who fail to meet the levels of performance required by the qualification standards.

WAC 222-22-040 Watershed prioritization. (1) The department shall determine, by region, the order 28 in which it will analyze prioritize WAUs for the purposes of this section and for reviews under WAC 29 222-22-090 in cooperation. The department shall cooperate with the departments of ecology, and fish 30 and wildlife, affected Indian tribes, forest land ownerlandowners, and the public in setting priorities. In-31 setting priorities or reprioritizing WAUs, the department The prioritization shall consider the availability 32 of landowner participation and assistance and the availability and assistance that may be provided by 33 affected Indian tribes and local government governmental entities. 34

*(2) Except as set forth in subsection (3) of this section, the The department shall-may undertake a 35 watershed analysis on each any WAU, in the order established under subsection (1) of this section. When 36 conducting a watershed analysis, the department shall include available, qualified expertise from state 37 agencies, affected Indian tribes, forest landowners, local governmental entities, and the public. 38

*(3) The owner or owners of ten percent or more of the nonfederal forest land acreage in a WAU 39 may notify the department in writing that the owner or owners intend to conduct a level 1 assessment, 40 level 2 assessment, or both, and the prescription recommendation process on the WAU under this chapter, 41 or conduct a reanalysis under WAC 222-22-090, at their own expense. The notice shall identify the 42 teams proposed to conduct the watershed analysis or reanalysis, which shall be comprised of individuals 43 qualified by the department pursuant to WAC 222-22-030. The department shall promptly notify any 44 owner or owners sending notice under this subsection if any member of the designated teams is not so 45 qualified. Within 30-thirty days of delivering a notice to the department under this subsection, the forest 46

1 land ownerlandowner or owners shall begin the level 1 assessment under WAC 222-22-050 or, at its

- 2 option, the level 2 assessment under WAC 222-22-060, or the reanalysis under WAC 222-22-090. An
- approved forest <u>land ownerlandowner</u> team shall, while and only for the purposes of conducting a
- watershed analysis or reanalysis in a WAU, be a duly authorized representative of the department for the
 purposes of RCW 76.09.150. The board encourages forest land ownerlandowners conducting
- purposes of RCW 76.09.150. The board encourages forest land ownerlandowners conducting
 assessments under this chapter to include available, qualified expertise from state and federal agencies,
- affected Indian tribes, forest <u>land ownerlandowner</u>s, local <u>government governmental</u> entities, and the
 public.

*(4) Before beginning an a watershed analysis in a WAU, the department or the forest land-9 ownerlandowner conducting the analysis shall provide reasonable notice, including notice by regular 10 United States mail where names and addresses have been provided to the department, to all forest land-11 ownerlandowners in the WAU, and to affected Indian tribes. The department or the forest land-12 ownerlandowner conducting the analysis shall provide reasonable notice to the public and to state, federal, 13 and local government governmental entities, by, among other things, posting the notice conspicuously in 14 the department's office-of the departmental in the region containing the WAU. The notice shall be in a 15 form designated by the department and give notice that an analysis or reanalysis is being conducted, by 16 whose team, the time period of the analysis or reanalysis, and the dates and locations in which the draft 17 analysis or reanalysis will be available for review and comment. 18

20 WAC 222-22-045 Cultural resources. (1) Any watershed analysis initiated after July 1, 2005, is not complete unless the analysis includes a completed cultural resource module. Cultural resources module 21 completeness is detailed in Appendix II of the module and includes affected tribe(s) participation, 22 appropriate team qualification, required maps and forms, assessment of tribal and nontribal cultural 23 resources, peer review of assessment, management strategies based on causal mechanism reports from 24 synthesis, and agreement on the management strategies by affected tribes, landowners and land managers 25 on the field managers team and, where applicable, the department of archaeology and historic 26 preservation. 27

19

(2) When conducting <u>a</u> watershed <u>analysis reanalysis revisions</u> pursuant to WAC 222-22-090(4),
 the cultural resources module is not required if the watershed analysis was approved by the department
 prior to the date in subsection (1) of this section. However, the board encourages use of the cultural
 resources module upon such review.

(3) The department does not review or approve cultural resources management strategies because
 their implementation is voluntary. The department of archaeology and historic preservation must be
 consulted and agree on all management strategies involving sites registered on the department of
 archaeology and historic preservation's archaeological and historic sites data base and all resources that
 require mandatory protection under chapters 27.44 and 27.53 RCW.

(4) The cultural resources module may be conducted as a stand-alone method separate from a
 watershed analysis to identify, protect, and manage cultural resources. When used as a stand-alone
 methodology:

(a) Selected components of the methodology may be used as the participants deem necessary or the
 module may be used in its entirety.

(b) The methodology may be used at a variety of geographic scales and may be initiated by tribes,
land managers or landowners. Landowner or land manager initiation is not limited by the minimum
ownership threshold requirements in this chapter. Nothing in this rule grants any person or organization
initiating the cultural resources module as a stand-alone method any right of entry onto private property.
(c) Watershed analysis notice requirements to the department do not apply.

9

1 (d) Participants are encouraged to engage people that meet the minimum qualifications to conduct 2 the module as set by this chapter.

(e) In order for a stand-alone module to be incorporated into a watershed analysis, the module must
 have been conducted in accordance with the requirements of this chapter.

WAC 222-22-050 Level 1 watershed resource assessment. *(1) To begin a watershed resource analysis on a WAU, the department shall assemble a level 1 assessment team consisting of analysts
qualified under WAC 222-22-030(1). A forest land ownerlandowner or owners acting under WAC 222-22-040(3) may assemble a level 1 assessment team consisting of analysts qualified under WAC 222-22-030(1) or, at its option, may begin the analysis as a level 2 resource assessment under WAC
222-22-030(1) or, at its option, may begin the analysis as a level 2 resource assessment under WAC

- 222-22-060. Each level 1 team shall include persons qualified in the disciplines indicated as necessary in
 the methodology, and should generally include a person or persons qualified in the following:
- 13 (a) Forestry;
- 14 (b) Forest hydrology;
- 15 (c) Forest soil science or geology;
- 16 (d) Fisheries science;
- 17 (e) Geomorphology;
- 18 (f) Cultural anthropology; and
- 19 (g) Archaeology.

Any owner, and any cooperating group of owners, of ten percent or more of the nonfederal forest land acreage in the WAU and any affected Indian tribe shall be entitled to include one qualified individual to participate on the team at its own expense. The cultural resources module must include the participation of the affected Indian tribe(s). See board manual section 11, J. Cultural Resources Module, Introduction, 1) *Using this methodology in formal watershed analysis*.

*(2) The level 1 team shall perform an inventory of the WAU utilizing the methodology, indices of
 resource condition, and checklists set forth in the manual in accordance with the following:

(a) The team shall survey the WAU for fish, water, and capital improvements of the state or its
 political subdivisions, and conduct an assessment for cultural resources.

(b) The team shall display the location of these resources on a map of the WAU, except mapping of
tribal cultural resources sites must be approved by the affected tribe. The location of archaeological sites
shall be on a separate map that will be exempt from public disclosure per RCW 42.56.300.

(c) For public resources (fish, water, and capital improvements of the state or its political
 subdivisions):

(i) The team shall determine the current condition of the resource characteristics of these
resources, shall classify their condition as "good," "fair," or "poor," and shall display this information on
the map of the WAU. The criteria used to determine current resource conditions shall include indices of
resource condition, in addition to such other criteria as may be included in the manual. The indices will
include two levels, which will distinguish between good, fair, and poor conditions.

(ii) The team shall assess the likelihood that identified watershed processes in a given physical 39 location will be adversely changed by one forest practice or by cumulative effects and that, as a result, a 40 material amount of water, wood, sediment, or energy (e.g., affecting temperature) will be delivered to fish, 41 water, or capital improvements of the state or its political subdivisions. (This process is referred to in this 42 chapter as "adverse change and deliverability.") (For example, the team will address the likelihood that 43 road construction will result in mass wasting and a slide that will in turn reach a stream.) The team shall 44 rate this likelihood of adverse change and deliverability as "high," "medium," "low," or "indeterminate." 45 Those likelihoods rated high, medium, or indeterminate shall be displayed on the map of the WAU. 46

(iii) For each instance of high, medium, or indeterminate likelihood of adverse change and 1 2 deliverability identified under (c)(ii) of this subsection, the team shall assess the vulnerability of potentially affected resource characteristics. Criteria for resource vulnerability shall include indices of 3 4 resource condition as described in (c)(i) of this subsection and quantitative means to assess the likelihood of material adverse effects to resource characteristics caused by forest practices. (For example, the team 5 will assess the potential damage that increased sediment caused by a slide reaching a stream will cause to 6 salmon spawning habitat that is already in fair or poor condition.) The team shall rate this vulnerability 7 "high," "medium," "low," or "indeterminate" and shall display those vulnerabilities on the map of the 8 WAU. If there are no other criteria in the manual to assess vulnerability at the time of the assessment, 9 current resource condition shall be used, with good condition equivalent to low vulnerability, fair 10 condition equivalent to medium vulnerability, and poor condition equivalent to high vulnerability. 11 (iv) The team shall identify as areas of resource sensitivity, as provided in table 1 of this section, 12 the locations in which a management response is required under WAC 222-22-070(3) because, as a result 13 of one forest practice or of cumulative effects, there is a combination of a high, medium, or indeterminate 14 likelihood of adverse change and deliverability under (c)(ii) of this subsection and a low, medium, high, or 15 indeterminate vulnerability of resource characteristics under (c)(iii) of this subsection: 16 17 18

Table 1

Areas of Resource Sensitivity and Management Response

Likelihood of Adverse Change and Deliverability

		Low	Medium	High
	Low	Standard	Standard	Response:
		rules	rules	Prevent or
				avoid
Vulnerabil	Mediu	Standard	Response	Response:
ity	m	rules	-	Prevent or
			Minimize	avoid
	High	Standard	Response	Response:
		rules	:	Prevent or
			Prevent	avoid
			or avoid	

23 24

19 20

21 22

The team shall display the areas of resource sensitivity on the map of the WAU.

(v) The decision criteria used to determine low, medium, and high likelihood of adverse change
and deliverability shall be as set forth in the manual. A low designation generally means there is minimal
likelihood that there will be adverse change and deliverability. A medium designation generally means
there is a significant likelihood that there will be adverse change and deliverability. A high designation

29 generally means that adverse change and deliverability is more likely than not with a reasonable degree of

confidence. Any areas identified as indeterminate in the level 1 assessment shall be classified for the
 purposes of the level 1 assessment as medium until a level 2 assessment is done on the WAU under WAC
 222-22-060, during which the uncertainties shall be resolved.

(d) For cultural resources, the team shall follow the methodology outlined in the cultural resources
 module to determine the risk call for cultural resources based upon resource vulnerability and resource
 importance.

(e) The team shall prepare a causal mechanism report regarding the relationships of each process
identified in (c) and (d) of this subsection. The report shall demonstrate that the team's determinations
were made in accordance with the manual. If, in the course of conducting a level 1 assessment, the team
identifies areas in which voluntary corrective action will significantly reduce the likelihood of material,
adverse effects to the condition of a resource characteristic, the team shall include this information in the
report, and the department shall convey this information to the applicable land ownerlandowner.

13 shall submit to the department its draft level 1 assessment, which shall consist of the map of the WAU 14 marked as set forth in this section and the causal mechanism report proposed under subsection (2)(e) of 15 this section. If the level 1 team is unable to agree as to one or more resource sensitivities or potential 16 resource sensitivities, or the causal mechanism report, alternative designations and an explanation therefor 17 therefore shall be included in the draft assessment. Where the draft level 1 assessment delivered to the 18 department contains alternative designations, the department shall within 21-twenty one days of the 19 receipt of the draft level 1 assessment make its best determination and approve that option which it 20 concludes most accurately reflects the proper application of the methodologies, indices of resource 21 condition, and checklists set forth in the manual. 22

*(4) If the level 1 assessment contains any areas in which the likelihood of adverse change and
deliverability or resource vulnerability are identified as indeterminate under this section or if the level 1
methodology recommends it, the department shall assemble a level 2 assessment team under WAC
222-22-060 to resolve the uncertainties in the assessment, unless a forest land ownerlandowner acting
under WAC 222-22-040(3) has conducted a level 2 assessment on the WAU.

*(5) Pending the completion of the level 2 assessment, if any, on the WAU, the department shall 28 select interim prescriptions using the process and standards described in WAC 222-22-070 (1), (2), and (3) 29 and 222-22-080(3) and shall apply them to applications and notifications as provided in WAC 222-22-090 30 (1) and (2). Before submitting recommended interim prescriptions to the department, the field managers' 31 team under WAC 222-22-070(1) shall review the recommended prescriptions with available 32 representatives of the jurisdictional management authorities of the fish, water, capital improvements of the 33 state or its political subdivisions, and cultural resources in the WAU, including, but not limited to, the 34 departments of fish and wildlife, ecology, and affected Indian tribes. 35

WAC 222-22-060 Level 2 watershed resource assessment. *(1) The department, or forest landownerlandowner acting under WAC 222-22-040(3), may assemble a level 2 assessment team either, in the
case of a forest land owner, to begin a level 2 watershed analysis-assessment or to review the level 1
assessment on a WAU. The level 2 team shall consist of specialists qualified under WAC 222-22-030(1).
Each level 2 team shall include persons qualified in the disciplines indicated as necessary in the
methodology, and should generally include a person or persons qualified in the following:

43 (a) Forestry;

36

- 44 (b) Forest hydrology;
- 45 (c) Forest soil science or geology;
- 46 (d) Fisheries science;

- 1 (e) Geomorphology;
- 2 (f) Cultural anthropology; and
- 3 (g) Archaeology.

Any owner, and any cooperating group of owners, of ten percent or more of the nonfederal forest land acreage in the WAU and any affected Indian tribe shall be entitled to designate one qualified member of the team at its own expense. The cultural resources module must include the participation of the affected Indian tribe(s). See board manual section 11, J. Cultural Resources Module, Introduction, 1) *Using this methodology in formal watershed analysis*.

*(2) The level 2 team shall perform an assessment of the WAU utilizing the methodology, indices
 of resource condition, and checklist set forth in the manual in accordance with the following:

(a) If a level 1 assessment has not been conducted under WAC 222-22-050, the assessment team
 shall complete the tasks required under WAC 222-22-050(2), except that the level 2 team shall not rate
 any likelihood of adverse change and deliverability or resource vulnerability as indeterminate.

(b) If the level 2 team has been assembled to review a level 1 assessment, the level 2 team shall,
notwithstanding its optional review of all or part of the level 1 assessment, review each likelihood of
adverse change and deliverability and resource vulnerability rated as indeterminate and shall revise each
indeterminate rating to low, medium, or high and shall revise the map of the WAU accordingly.

*(3) Within 60-sixty days of mailing notice under WAC 222-22-040(4) where a watershed analysis
 begins with a level 2 assessment or within 60 days of beginning a level 2 assessment after completion of a
 level 1 assessment, the level 2 team shall submit to the department its draft level 2 assessment, which shall
 consist of the map of the WAU and the causal mechanism report.

*(4) The level 2 team shall endeavor to produce a consensus report. If the level 2 team is unable
to agree as to one or more areas of resource sensitivity or the casual mechanism report, alternative
designations and an explanation therefor shall be included in the draft assessment. Where the draft level
2 assessment delivered to the department contains alternative designations or reports, the department shall
within <u>30thirty</u> days of the receipt of the draft level 2 assessment make its best determination and approve
that option which it concludes most accurately reflects the proper application of the methodologies,
indices of resource condition, and checklists set forth in the manual.

WAC 222-22-070 Prescriptions and management strategies. *(1) For each WAU for which a
watershed analysis is undertaken, the department, or forest land ownerlandowner acting under WAC
222-22-040(3), shall assemble a team of field managers qualified under WAC 222-22-030(1). The team
shall include persons qualified in the disciplines indicated as necessary in watershed analysis methods,
and shall generally include a person or persons qualified in the following:

- 35 (a) Forest resource management;
- 36 (b) Forest harvest and road systems engineering;
- 37 (c) Forest hydrology;

29

- 38 (d) Fisheries science or management;
- (e) Cultural anthropology and/or archaeology, depending on the cultural resources identified in the
 assessment.

Any owner, and any cooperating group of owners, of ten percent or more of the nonfederal forest land acreage in the WAU and any affected Indian tribe shall be entitled to include one qualified individual to participate on the team at its own expense. The cultural resources module must include the

participation of the affected Indian tribe(s). See board manual section 11, J. Cultural Resources Module,
 Introduction, 1) Using this methodology in formal watershed analysis.

46 *(2) Each forest land ownerlandowner in a WAU shall have the right to submit prescriptions to the

department or the forest land ownerlandowner conducting the watershed analysis prescriptions for areas
 of resource sensitivity on its-their land. If these prescriptions are received within the time period
 described in subsection (4) of this section, they shall be considered for inclusion in the watershed analysis.

*(3) For each identified area of resource sensitivity, the field managers team shall, in consultation
with the level 1 and level 2 teams, if any, select and recommend <u>prescriptions</u> to the departmentprescriptions. These prescriptions shall be reasonably designed to minimize, or to prevent or avoid, as set
forth in table 1 in WAC 222-22-050 (2)(c)(iv), the likelihood of adverse change and deliverability that has
the potential to cause a material, adverse effect to resource characteristics in accordance with the
following:

(a) The prescriptions shall be designed to provide forest <u>land ownerlandowners</u> and operators with
 as much flexibility as is reasonably possible while addressing the area of resource sensitivity. The
 prescriptions should, where appropriate, include, but not be limited to, plans for road abandonment,
 orphaned roads, and road maintenance and plans for applying prescriptions to recognized land features
 identified in the WAU as areas of resource sensitivity but not fully mapped;

(b) Restoration opportunities may be included as voluntary prescriptions where appropriate;

(c) Each set of prescriptions shall provide for an option for an alternate plan under WAC
 222-12-040, which the applicant shows meets or exceeds the protection provided by the other
 prescriptions approved for a given area of resource sensitivity;

(d) The rules of forest practices and cumulative effects under this chapter shall not require
 mitigation for activities or events not regulated under chapter 76.09 RCW. Any hazardous condition
 subject to forest practices identified in a watershed analysis requiring corrective action shall be referred to
 the department for consideration under RCW 76.09.300 et seq.; and

(e) The forests and fish riparian permanent rules, when effective, supersede all existing watershed
analysis riparian prescriptions with the exception of riparian management zones for exempt 20-acre
parcels, when watershed analysis prescriptions were in effect before January 1, 1999. (See WAC
222-30-021, 222-30-022, and 222-30-023.) No new riparian prescriptions will be written after
completion of the riparian management zone

*(4) For each identified cultural resource area of resource sensitivity, the field managers team shall
 develop cultural resources management strategies in consultation with the assessment team and affected
 tribe(s).

(a) If a management strategy involves a site registered on the department of archaeology and
 historic preservation's archaeological and historic sites data base, data recovery at an archaeological site,
 or any resource that requires mandatory protection under chapters 27.44 and 27.53 RCW, the field
 managers team shall submit the management strategy to the department of archaeology and historic
 preservation for agreement.

(b) The management strategies should be reasonably designed to protect or allow the recovery of
 resources by measures that minimize or prevent or avoid risks identified in the assessment.

(c) Management strategies resulting from conducting a cultural resources module are voluntary,
 not mandatory prescriptions, whether the module is conducted as part of a watershed analysis or as a
 stand-alone method separate from watershed analysis. However, the mandatory protections of resources
 under chapters 27.44 and 27.53 RCW still apply.

(5) The field managers team shall submit the recommended prescriptions, monitoring
recommendations and cultural resources management strategies to the department within 30-thirty days of
the submission to the department of the level 2 assessment under WAC 222-22-060 or within 21-twenty
<u>one</u> days of the submission to the department of the level 1 assessment under WAC 222-22-050.

46

15

WAC 222-22-075 Monitoring. *In connection with any watershed analysis that is not a revision-(reanalysis under WAC 222-22-090(4)), the monitoring module will be required to be completed but implementation of monitoring recommendations would be voluntary unless otherwise required by existing laws and rules, or required by an HCP implementation agreement. Implementation of the monitoring recommendations will be encouraged when needed as part of the statewide effectiveness monitoring program.

8 WAC 222-22-080 *Approval of watershed analysis. (1) Upon receipt of the recommended 9 prescriptions and management strategies resulting from a level 1 assessment under WAC 222-22-050, a 10 level 2 assessment under WAC 222-22-060, or a level 1 assessment under WAC 222-22-050 where a level 11 2 assessment will not be conducted reanalysis under WAC 222-22-090, the department shall select 12 prescriptions. The department shall circulate the draft watershed analysis to the departments of ecology, 13 and fish and wildlife, affected Indian tribes, local government governmental entities, forest land-14 ownerlandowners in the WAU, and the public for review and comment. The prescriptions recommended 15 by the field managers' team shall be given substantial weight. Within thirty days of receipt of the 16 17 recommended prescriptions and management strategies, the department shall review comments, revise the watershed analysis as appropriate, and approve or disapprove the watershed analysis for the WAU. 18

*(2) The department should notify any governmental agency or Indian tribe having jurisdiction over activities which are not regulated under chapter 76.09 RCW but which are identified in the draft analysis as having a potential for an adverse impact on identified fish, water, capital improvements of the state or its political subdivisions, <u>and-or</u> cultural resources.

*(3) The department shall approve the draft watershed analysis unless it finds:

(a) For any level 1 assessment or level 2 assessment, that:

(i) The team failed in a material respect to apply the methodology, indices of resource condition, or
 checklists set forth in the manual; or

(ii) A team meeting the criteria promulgated by the department and using the defined
 methodologies, indices of resource conditions, and checklists set forth in the manual could not reasonably
 have come to the conclusions identified in the draft level 1 or level 2 assessment; and

(b) For the The prescriptions, that they will not accomplish the purposes and policies of this chapter
 and of the Forest Practices Act, chapter 76.09 RCW.

(c) In making its findings under this subsection, the department shall take into account its ability to
 revise assessments under WAC 222-22-090(3).

*(4) If the department does not approve the draft watershed analysis, it shall set forth in writing a
 detailed explanation of the reasons for its disapproval.

(5) All-To become final, all watershed analyses must be reviewed under SEPA on a nonproject
basis. SEPA review may take place concurrently with the public review in subsection (1) of this section.
(See WAC 222-10-035.)SEPA must be completed within two years from the date the department approves
the watershed analysis under WAC 222-22-080 (1) or the watershed analysis will expire. This expiration
does not require SEPA review and sunsets the watershed analysis for the WAU. The department shall
notify the landowners in the WAU that the watershed analysis has expired.

42 (6) The department will not review or approve cultural resource management strategies because43 their implementation is voluntary.

44

23

24

45

46

1 2 WAC 222-22-090 Use, and reanalysis of a watershed analysis. *(1) Where a watershed analysis has been completed and approved for a WAU under this chapter: 3 4 (a) Any landowner within the WAU may apply for a multiyear permit to conduct forest practices according to the watershed analysis prescriptions. This permit is not renewable if a five-year-5 reviewreanalysis is found necessary by the department under subsection (6) of this section and either the 6 reanalysis has not been completed and approved or the department has rescinded the prescriptions. 7 (b) Nonmultiyear forest practices applications and notifications submitted to the department shall 8 indicate whether an area of resource sensitivity will be affected and, if so, which prescription the operator, 9 timber owner, or forest land ownerlandowners shall use in conducting the forest practice in the area of 10 resource sensitivity; 11 (c) The department shall assist operators, timber owners, and forest land ownerlandowners in 12 obtaining governmental permits required for the prescription. (see See WAC 222-50-020 and 13 222-50-030); 14 (d) The department shall confirm that the prescription selected under (a) and (b) of this subsection 15 was one of the prescriptions approved for the area of resource sensitivity under WAC 222-22-080 and 16 shall require the use of the prescription; and 17 (e) The department shall not further condition forest practices applications and notifications in an 18 area of resource sensitivity in a WAU where the applicant will use a prescription contained in the 19 watershed analysis nor shall the department further condition forest practices applications and 20 notifications outside an area of resource sensitivity in a WAU, except: 21 -for reasons other than the watershed processes and fish, water, and capital improvements of the 22 (i) state or its political subdivisions analyzed in the watershed analysis in the WAU; or and except 23 (ii) to correct mapping errors, misidentification of soils, landforms, vegetation, or stream features, or other 24 similar factual errors. 25 *(2) Pending completion of a watershed analysis for a WAU, the department shall process forest 26 practices notifications and applications in accordance with the other chapters of this title, except that 27 applications and notifications received for forest practices on in a WAU after the date the notice is mailed 28 under WAC 222-22-040(4) commencing a watershed analysis on the WAU shall be conditioned to require 29 compliance with interim, draft, and final prescriptions, as available. 30 Processing and approval of applications and notifications shall not be delayed by reason of review, 31 32 approval, or appeal of a watershed analysis. *(3) The board encourages cooperative and voluntary monitoring. Evaluation of resource 33 conditions may be conducted by qualified specialists, analysts, and field managers, and qualified experts 34 as determined under WAC 222-22-030. Subsequent watershed analysis and monitoring 35 recommendations in response to areas where recovery is not occurring shall be conducted in accordance 36 with this chapter. 37 *(4) Where the condition of resource characteristics in a WAU are fair or poor To keep watershed analyses 38 current, the department shall determine if and when a reanalysis of a watershed analysis is necessary 39 to evaluate the effectiveness of the prescriptions applied under this chapter to the WAU in providing 40 for the protection and recovery of the resource-characteristic. If the department finds that the 41 prescriptions are not providing for such protection and recovery over a period of 3 years, the 42 department shall repeat the watershed analysis in the WAU. The department shall determine which 43 watershed analysis modules and prescriptions need to be included in the reanalysis. Review and 44 reanalysis of a watershed analysis shall be conducted in accordance with this chapter and board 45 manual section 11, standard methodology for conducting watershed analysis, except that: 46

1	(a) the reanalysis may be conducted on areas smaller than the entire WAU in the case of
2	subsection (6) (a) of this section, and
3	(b) the reanalysis shall be conducted only on the areas affected in the case of subsection (6) (b) or (6)
4	(c) of this section.
5	(5) Entities with an interest in maintaining prescriptions the department has identified for reanalysis are
6	responsible for committing sufficient resources to complete a reanalysis in addition to the available
7	resources provided by the department to administer the reanalysis process.
8	(6) <u>Aside from the foregoing, once Once</u> a watershed analysis is completed <u>and approved</u> on a WAU, it the denotration is the denotration of a manufacture of the denotration of a manufacture of the denotration of a manufacture of the denotration of the denotr
9	the department shall be revised in whole or in partconduct a review to determine if a reanalysis is
10	<u>necessary</u> , upon the earliest of the following to occur:
11	(a) Five years after the date the watershed analysis is final, if necessaryand every five years thereafter; or
12	
13	(b) The occurrence of a natural disaster having a material adverse effect on the resource characteristics of the WAU; or
14	(c) Deterioration in the condition of a resource characteristic in the WAU measured over a
15 16	12- <u>twelve</u> month period or no improvement in a resource characteristic in fair or poor condition in the
	WAU measured over a <u>12-twelve</u> month period unless the department determines, in cooperation with the
17 18	departments of ecology, fish and wildlife, affected Indian tribes, forest land ownerlandowners, and the
10 19	public, that a longer period is reasonably necessary to allow the prescriptions selected to produce
20	improvement; or.
20	(d) The request of an owner of forest land in the WAU, which wishes to conduct a watershed
22	analysis at its own expense.
23	Revision of an approved watershed analysis shall be conducted in accordance with the processes,
24	methods, and standards set forth in this chapter, except that the revised watershed analysis shall be-
25	conducted only on the areas affected in the case of revisions under (b) or (c) of this subsection, and may be
26	conducted on areas smaller than the entire WAU in the case of revisions under (a) and (d) of this
27	subsection. The areas on which the watershed analysis revision is to be conducted shall be determined by
28	the department and clearly delineated on a map before beginning the assessment revision. Forest
29	practices shall be conditioned under the current watershed analysis pending the completion of any-
30	revisions.
31	(7) Once the department has determined that a watershed reanalysis is necessary under subsection (6) of
32	this section:
33	(a) The department shall notify the forest landowners in the WAU, the departments of ecology and
34	fish and wildlife, affected Indian tribes, relevant federal agencies and local governmental
35	entities, and the public.
36	(b) Prior to the start of the reanalysis, the department shall determine and clearly delineate on a
37	map the areas on which the reanalysis is to be conducted.
38	(c) The department, in its review of forest practices applications within the mapped reanalysis
39	area, will classify proposed forest practices undergoing reanalysis, if necessary per WAC
40	<u>222-16-050.</u>
41	(d) The department shall determine if the forest landowners in the WAU want to participate in the
42	reanalysis and commit sufficient resources to complete the reanalysis process in accordance
43	with subsection (5) of this section:
44	(i) If no forest landowners in the WAU wish to participate and commit resources, then the
45	department may rescind the watershed analysis prescriptions after conducting SEPA
46	review. If the department rescinds prescriptions, it shall notify the landowners in the WAU.

1	(ii) If a landowner wishes to participate and commit resources, then the department in
2	consultation with the departments of ecology and fish and wildlife, affected Indian tribes,
3	forest landowners, and the public shall establish a timeline for the reanalysis. If the
4	timeline for completion is not being met, the department may adjust the timeline or, after
5	conducting SEPA review, rescind the watershed analysis prescriptions. If the department
6	rescinds prescriptions, it shall notify the landowners in the WAU.
7	(e) Upon receiving recommendations from the reanalysis, the department shall select
8	prescriptions in accordance with WAC 222-10-035 and 222-22-080 (1).
9	(f) Reanalyses must be reviewed under SEPA on a nonproject basis.
10	(8) <u>Regardless of subsection (7) above, the owner or owners of ten percent or more of the nonfederal</u>
11	forest land in the WAU may conduct a watershed reanalysis at any time at their own expense and the
12	reanalysis may be conducted on areas smaller than the entire WAU.
13	
14	