Lake Sutherland (foreground) and Lake Crescent, on the Olympic Peninsula; view to the west. Note landslide headscarsps and the relative roughness of the surface of the landslide on the north side of the valley (right) beneath these scarp.s. (See article, p. 38.)
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Crisis in Geoscience Education
by Raymond Lasmanis

During the last decade there have been dramatic decreases in college enrollment in all areas of earth science and related fields. For example, graduates in mining engineering at 19 of our prominent universities have dropped from 793 in 1981 to 237 in 1991 (Fig. 1). Similar declines are noted in geology, geological engineering, and metallurgy. According to the National Science Foundation (NSF), there will be a shortfall of more than 400,000 scientists and engineers by the year 2000.

A number of national governmental and professional organizations have instituted programs to rejuvenate science and mathematics teaching at public schools, with the objective of stimulating students to enter the sciences. One identified shortcoming is the lack of educational aids to sciences teachers in grades K through 12.

The Washington State school system has approximately 810,000 students and 35,000 teachers. While educators recognize the importance of science and mathematics in the curriculum, only 3 to 5 percent of the approximately 19,000 elementary school teachers have a major or minor in science or mathematics. Statistics such as these demonstrate that, in this state, most science and mathematics classes below the college level are taught by teachers that have little if any formal training in these fields. Therefore, the need for teaching modules in geological and related sciences,

(Continued on p. 51)

Figure 1. The decline in mining engineering graduates during recent academic years and projected through the present year, based on surveys of 19 colleges and universities. Modified from the Northwest Mining Association 1990 Directory, p. 115.

by

Nancy L. Joseph

INTRODUCTION

In 1990 five metal mines were in operation in Washington, and one precious-metal mine was in the permitting stage. Seventeen companies mined and produced industrial minerals, which include limestone, dolomite, silica, olivine, clay, and diatomite. Two companies produced portland cement, and nine plants produced lime, calcium chloride, precipitated calcium carbonate, or ground limestone.

According to preliminary figures gathered by the U.S. Bureau of Mines (USBM) and the Division of Geology and Earth Resources (DGER), the value of the mineral industry in Washington in 1990 is estimated at $500 million. This represents an approximately 5 percent increase over the USBM total value of $480.9 million for 1989. The combined value of sand and gravel and crushed stone production accounts for nearly 40 percent of the 1990 value. The value of precious metals produced in the state increased to $121 million from $88 million as a result of the startup of two new mines in Ferry County and continued high levels of production at two other gold mines. Magnesium metal production, the value of which was down slightly from 1989, accounts for approximately a fifth of the total value of state's mineral industry. The value and tonnage of portland cement continued to decrease because of the closure of one plant in Pend Oreille County.

The mining industry (excluding coal) in Washington employed an average of approximately 2,152 workers in 1989, the last year for which figures have been compiled. Three-quarters of these employees are engaged in the production of sand and gravel and industrial minerals. The economic importance of mining to the local and state economy is particularly obvious in resource-based counties of northeastern Washington. For example, the Washington Department of Employment Security has data for Ferry County that show that employment in mining has increased from nearly 100 workers in 1980 to more than 300 in 1990. Wages paid to workers in the mining industry throughout the state generally are higher than those in other job categories, thus improving the economic base of these areas. Salaries paid to miners in underground metal mines in the state average more than $38,000 per year, while workers in the open pit sand and gravel operations average more than $26,000 per year. Earnings of workers in these high-risk jobs are well above the state's average 1989 salary of $21,500 for all job categories. Expenditures and wages related to mining and exploration are important to local economies. For example, according to the Washington Department of Revenue, taxable retail sales in Ferry County rose 41.6 percent from the first quarter of 1989 to the first quarter of 1990. This change represents the second largest gain in the state for that period and coincides with the beginning of production at the Kettle River project, accelerated development at the Republic Unit, and increased mineral exploration in the county.

Total revenue from mining, prospecting, and quarrying on state land was $827,989 million for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1990. This figure represents a 20-percent increase over that reported in 1989 and includes a 26-percent increase in payments from sand and gravel operations. Additional revenues of $238,835 were received from mineral activity on Washington's aquatic lands.

Information in this report is summarized from voluntary replies to a questionnaire sent by the DGER to companies and individuals active in mineral exploration and development in the state, as well as from published information. The questionnaire is of limited scope, and, therefore, details of the activities on individual properties are not always available. Not all questionnaires were returned. Some of the information requested, particularly regarding expenditures and production, is deemed confidential by many of those questioned and is not reported. Therefore, while this summary is a reliable indication of mineral industry activity in Washington, it is incomplete and general in nature.

The locations of properties mentioned in this article are shown in Figures 1a and 1b, which cover western and eastern Washington respectively. Explanatory material is given in Table 1.

METALS

Development

Gold and silver, with a combined value of more than $121 million were the primary commodities produced from metal mines in Washington in 1990 (Table 2). The estimated 320,000 ounces of gold were produced from four mines in Chelan and Ferry County (Fig. 2). The approximately 42 percent increase in gold production is the result of the start of production at the Overlook and Kettle mines in Ferry County and continued high levels of production at the Cannon mine and the Republic Unit. While no base metals were produced in the state in 1990, pre-production stripping began late in the year at the Van Stone mine, a former zinc and lead producer in Stevens County.

Chelan County

The Cannon mine, a joint venture between Asamera Minerals (U.S.) Inc., as the operator, and Breakwater Resources Ltd., is the largest gold mine in the state and the second largest underground gold mine in the nation. An estimated 148,000 ounces of gold were produced in 1990 from ore with an average grade of 0.29 oz/ton. This total represents a slight decrease from 1989 production. Mining was active in all areas of the mine.
Figure 1a. Location of sites of mineral exploration and development in western Washington in 1990. See Table 1 for further information about these locations.
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Figure 1b. Location of sites of mineral exploration and development in eastern Washington in 1990. See Table 1 for further information about these locations.
Table 1. Mineral exploration and development in Washington, 1990. Locations given where available. See Figures 1a and 1b for property locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc. no.</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>AB°C Mining Co.</td>
<td>Gold Basin</td>
<td>secs. 5, T22N, R17E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Asamera Minerals (U.S.)</td>
<td>Spring claims</td>
<td>secs. 6, 30, T23N, R20E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Asamera Minerals (U.S.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>secs. 1-2, 11, T21N, R20E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Asamera Minerals (U.S.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>secs. 2, 11-12, T23N, R19E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Asamera Minerals (U.S.)</td>
<td>Wenatchee Gold</td>
<td>secs. 8, 35, T22N, R20E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling, geophysical and geological exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Asamera Minerals (U.S.)</td>
<td>Cannon mine</td>
<td>sec. 16, T22N, R20E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Mining; surface and underground drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Asamera Minerals Inc.</td>
<td>Radio claims</td>
<td>secs. 33-34, T24N, R19E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Gold Ring Mine, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>secs. 15, 22, T29N, R17E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Wilbur Hallauer</td>
<td>Sec. 36</td>
<td>sec. 36, T22N, R20E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Montana d’Oro, Inc.</td>
<td>Blewett</td>
<td>secs. 1-3, T22N, R17E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Chelan</td>
<td>Raven Hill Mining Co.</td>
<td>Raven group</td>
<td>sec. 21, T27N, R18E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Clallam</td>
<td>Holnam Ideal, Inc.</td>
<td>Twin River quarry</td>
<td>secs. 22-23, T31N, R10W</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Atlas Mine and Mill Supply, Inc.</td>
<td>Kelly Camp</td>
<td>sec. 9, T38N, R32E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Crown Resources Corp./Cambior USA, Inc.</td>
<td>Mount Elizabeth</td>
<td>T38N, R33E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling; exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Crown Resources Corp./Sutton Resources Inc.</td>
<td>South Penn</td>
<td>secs. 27-28, T37N, R32E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Crown Resources Corp./Sutton Resources Inc.</td>
<td>Seattle mine/Flag Hill</td>
<td>secs. 33-34, T37N, R32E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Cyprus Minerals Co.</td>
<td>Lone Ranch Creek/</td>
<td>secs. 11-12, 18-19, 30, T40N, R35E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Echo Bay Exploration</td>
<td>Lamefoot</td>
<td>secs. 4, 8, T37N, R33E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Drilling, exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Echo Bay Exploration</td>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>sec. 31, T37N, R34E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Echo Bay Exploration</td>
<td>Middlefork</td>
<td>sec. 32, T38N, R34E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Echo Bay Exploration</td>
<td>Oz</td>
<td>secs. 25, 36, T37N, R33E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Mineral exploration and development in Washington, 1990 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc. no.</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Echo Bay Minerals Co./Crown Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Kettle mine</td>
<td>sec. 15, T39N, R33E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Echo Bay Minerals Co./Crown Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Overlook mine</td>
<td>sec. 18, T37N, R34E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Equinox Resources Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
<td>T37-38N, R33E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Acquired property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Gold Express Corp./N. A. Degerstrom Inc.</td>
<td>Gold Mountain</td>
<td>secs. 7-8, T40N, R34E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Permitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Gold Fields Mining Corp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>sec. 1, T35N, R32E; sec. 36, T36N, R32E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Hecla Mining Co.</td>
<td>Republic Unit</td>
<td>sec. 35, T37N, R32E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Mining; development of decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Hecla Mining Co.</td>
<td>Golden Eagle</td>
<td>sec. 27, T37N, R32E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Inland Gold and Silver Corp./N.A. Degerstrom Inc./Pegasus Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Leland</td>
<td>T36-38N, R33-34E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling, exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Johnson Explosives</td>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>sec. 15, T40N, R34E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Geophysical and geochemical exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Kennecott Corp./Wilbur Hallauer</td>
<td>Lone Star</td>
<td>sec. 2, T40N, R33E</td>
<td>Au,Cu</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Kettle River Resources Ltd.</td>
<td>Empire Creek</td>
<td>sec. 6, T38N, R33E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Negotiating joint venture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Morse Brothers</td>
<td></td>
<td>sec. 16, T40N, R34E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling, trenching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Newmont Exploration Ltd.</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>secs. 20, 29, T36N, R34E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Pacific Houston Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>T39N, R34E; T40N, R33E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Stream-sediment sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Silver Hill Mines, Inc.</td>
<td>Talisman</td>
<td>sec. 4, T40N, R36E</td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu,W</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Westmont Mining Inc.</td>
<td>Manhattan Mountain</td>
<td>secs. 7, 18, T36N, R32E</td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Stewart Jackson</td>
<td>Republic district</td>
<td></td>
<td>Au,Ag,Zn</td>
<td>Leased properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Basic Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Rock Top #Two</td>
<td>sec. 20, T22N, R26E</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>Sampling and testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Witco Corp.</td>
<td>Sec. 7 pit</td>
<td>sec. 7, T17N, R24E</td>
<td>diatomite</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Witco Corp.</td>
<td>Sec. 8 pit</td>
<td>sec. 8, T17N, R24E</td>
<td>diatomite</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Witco Corp.</td>
<td>Sec. 3/10 pit</td>
<td>secs. 3, 10, T17N, R23E</td>
<td>diatomite</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Ash Grove Cement West, Inc.</td>
<td>Superior quarry</td>
<td>sec. 1, T19N, R7E</td>
<td>silica</td>
<td>Shipped from stockpile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Mineral exploration and development in Washington, 1990 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc. no.</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>CSS Management Corp.</td>
<td>Apex</td>
<td>sec. 34, T26N, R10E</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Robert Jackson</td>
<td>Spruce</td>
<td>secs. 29, 30, T24N, R11E</td>
<td>quartz</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>L-Bar Products, Inc.</td>
<td>Ravensdale pit</td>
<td>sec. 1, T21N, R6E</td>
<td>silica</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Mine Evaluation, Inc.</td>
<td>Dutch Miller</td>
<td>sec. 20, T24N, R13E</td>
<td>Cu, Au, Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Mutual Materials Co.</td>
<td>Elk pit</td>
<td>sec. 34, T22N, R7E</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Mutual Materials Co.</td>
<td>Sec. 31</td>
<td>sec. 31, T24N, R6E</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Joel Ray</td>
<td>Lennox group</td>
<td>secs. 7, 17-18, T25N, R10E</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Cu</td>
<td>Mine development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Weyerhaeuser Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td>secs. 7, 12, 19, T20N, R7E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Kittitas</td>
<td>Battle Mountain Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>secs. 3-5, T20N, R17E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Geological and geochemical exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Kittitas</td>
<td>Crown Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>secs. 1-2, T20N, R17E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Kittitas</td>
<td>Althouse Placers Inc.</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>secs. 21-29, 32-33, T21N, R18E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Geophysical exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Kittitas</td>
<td>American Copper and Nickel Company, Inc.</td>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>T21N, R17-18</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Kittitas</td>
<td>MMC Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>secs. 10-11, T20N, R17E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Exploring for placer gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Battle Mountain Gold Corp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>T30N, R23E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Geophysical, geophysical exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>L. F. Baum, Assocs.</td>
<td>Turtle Lake</td>
<td>secs. 9-10, T36N, R26E</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Columbia River Carbonates</td>
<td>Wauconda quarry</td>
<td>sec. 13, T38N, R30E</td>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>Mining and crushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Crown Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Strawberry Lake</td>
<td>sec. 18, T40N, R30E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Crown Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Molson Gold</td>
<td>T40N, R26E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Exploration; drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Crown Resources Corp./Battle Mountain Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Buckhorn/Crown Jewel</td>
<td>T40N, R30E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Extensive drill program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Crown Resources Corp./Cambior USA, Inc.</td>
<td>Ida</td>
<td>sec. 21, T39N, R31E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Exploration; drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>ECM Inc.</td>
<td>Alder area</td>
<td>secs. 23-26, 35-36, T33N, R21E</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Echo Bay Exploration</td>
<td>Strawberry Lake</td>
<td>T40N, R30E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>FMC Gold Co.</td>
<td>Poland China</td>
<td>sec. 11, T40N, R29E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc. no.</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Wilbur Hallauer</td>
<td>Kelsey</td>
<td>secs. 5-8, T40N, R27E</td>
<td>Cu, Mo, Au</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Wilbur Hallauer</td>
<td>Starr Molybdenum</td>
<td>secs. 8, 16, T37N, R26E</td>
<td>Mo, Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Wilbur Hallauer</td>
<td>Cecile Creek</td>
<td>sec. 15, T38N, R25E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Kennecott Corp.</td>
<td>Toroda</td>
<td>sec. 12, T38N, R31E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Keystone Gold Inc./ Orvana Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Crystal Butte</td>
<td>sec. 35, T40N, R30E</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Cu</td>
<td>Drilled by Battle Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Northwest Minerals Inc.</td>
<td>Smith Canyon</td>
<td>sec. 1, T32N, R21E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Northwest Minerals Inc.</td>
<td>Red Shirt</td>
<td>sec. 18, T33N, R23E</td>
<td>Au, Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Northwest Minerals Inc.</td>
<td>Okanogan Highgrade</td>
<td>sec. 23, T38N, R26E</td>
<td>Au, Hg</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Pacific Calcium, Inc.</td>
<td>Brown quarry</td>
<td>secs. 24-25, T35N, R26E</td>
<td>dolomite</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Pacific Calcium, Inc.</td>
<td>Tonasket Limestone quarry</td>
<td>sec. 25, T38N, R26E</td>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>Mining and milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Quintana Minerals Corp.</td>
<td>Mazama</td>
<td>secs. 17, 19-20, T36N, R20E</td>
<td>Au, Cu, Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Sunshine Valley Minerals, Inc.</td>
<td>Billy Goat</td>
<td>sec. 15, T40N, R25E</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Pend Oreille</td>
<td>Lafarge Corp.</td>
<td>Champane Placer</td>
<td>sec. 27, T39N, R43E</td>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>Mining; closed cement plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Pend Oreille</td>
<td>Raven Hill Mining Co.</td>
<td>Glass Mountain mine</td>
<td>sec. 2, T31N, R45E</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Pb</td>
<td>Mine development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Pend Oreille</td>
<td>Raven Hill Mining Co.</td>
<td>Cooks Copper</td>
<td>sec. 19, T34N, R45E</td>
<td>Ag, Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Pend Oreille</td>
<td>Resource Finance Inc.</td>
<td>Pend Oreille mine</td>
<td>secs. 10-11, 14-15, T39N, R43E</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Purchased mine; drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Pend Oreille</td>
<td>Southern Talc Co./ First Miss Gold Inc.</td>
<td>Totem Talc</td>
<td>sec. 23, T39N, R44E</td>
<td>talc</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Mutual Materials Co.</td>
<td>Clay City pit</td>
<td>sec. 25, T17N, R4E</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Wagner/Nerco Minerals Co.</td>
<td>Carbon River</td>
<td>sec. 29, T18N, R8E</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Cu</td>
<td>Drilled by Nerco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Skagit</td>
<td>Applied Industrial Materials Corp.</td>
<td>Aimcor Olivine</td>
<td>sec. 17, T36N, R7E</td>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>Producing olivine products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Skamania</td>
<td>Plexus Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Silver Star</td>
<td>secs. 3-5, 8-9, T3N, R5E</td>
<td>Cu, Ag, Mo</td>
<td>Drilling; geo-logical and geophysical exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc. no.</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Skamania</td>
<td>Wind River Mining Co.</td>
<td>Wind River</td>
<td>sec. 9, T5N, R7E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Project on hold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>Island-Arc Resources Corp./Formosa Resources Corp.</td>
<td>Lockwood</td>
<td>secs. 30-32, 25, T29N, R9E</td>
<td>Au,Cu,Zn</td>
<td>Drilling; geophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>Mine Evaluation, Inc.</td>
<td>45 mine</td>
<td>secs. 29-30, T30N, R10E</td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>Mutual Materials Co.</td>
<td>Mica mine</td>
<td>sec. 14, T24N, R44E</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>A. Ambrose</td>
<td>Ambrose Mining</td>
<td>sec. 16, T40N, R39E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Dredging at placer deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Battle Mountain Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Elbow Lake</td>
<td>sec. 21, T40N, R38E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Bitteroot Resources Ltd.</td>
<td>Advance, HC</td>
<td>sec. 18, T39N, R41E</td>
<td>Zn,Pb</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Bitteroot Resources Ltd.</td>
<td>Deep Creek</td>
<td>secs. 23-24, T39N, R40E</td>
<td>Zn,Pb,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Bitteroot Resources Ltd.</td>
<td>Scandia</td>
<td>sec. 23, T39N, R40E</td>
<td>Zn,Pb</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Bitteroot Resources Ltd.</td>
<td>Calhoun</td>
<td>sec. 2, T39N, R41E</td>
<td>Zn,Pb</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Bitteroot Resources Ltd.</td>
<td>Iroquois</td>
<td>secs. 1, 19-20, 29-30, T40N, R42E</td>
<td>Zn,Pb</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Boise Cascade Corp./Pathfinder Gold Corp.</td>
<td>McNally</td>
<td>secs. 28, 33-34, T40N, R37E</td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Boise Cascade Corp./Pathfinder Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Gold Hill</td>
<td>secs. 18-19, T36N, R38E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Boise Cascade Corp./Pathfinder Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Toulou Mountain</td>
<td>sec. 31, T39N, R37E</td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Boise Cascade Corp./Pathfinder Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Easter Sunday</td>
<td>sec. 22, T40N, R37E</td>
<td>Au,Cu</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Boise Cascade Corp./Pathfinder Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Big Iron</td>
<td>sec. 24, T40N, R37E</td>
<td>Au,Fe,W,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration, staked claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Boise Cascade Corp./Pathfinder Gold Corp.</td>
<td>Fifteen Mile Creek</td>
<td>T39N, R38E</td>
<td>Au,Fe</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Chewelah Eagle Mining Co.</td>
<td>Chewelah Eagle group</td>
<td>sec. 5, T32N, R41E</td>
<td>dolomite</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Eagle Lake Exploration Ltd.</td>
<td>Kelly Hill</td>
<td>T37N, R37E</td>
<td>Au,Ag,Pb</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Echo Bay Exploration</td>
<td>Pierre Creek</td>
<td>sec. 5, T39N, R37E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Echo Bay Exploration</td>
<td>Fifteen Mile Creek</td>
<td>sec. 19, T39N, R38E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Equinox Resources Ltd.</td>
<td>Van Stone</td>
<td>sec. 33, T38N, R40E</td>
<td>Zn,Pb</td>
<td>Purchased property, pre-production development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Janni, Peter and Sons</td>
<td>Janni limestone quarry</td>
<td>sec. 13, T39N, R39E</td>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>Leased to Pluess-Staufer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc. no.</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Kennecott Corp.</td>
<td>Napoleon</td>
<td>T37N, R37E</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Negotiated agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Lane Mountain Silica Co. (subsidiary of Hemphill Brothers, Inc.)</td>
<td>Lane Mountain Silica secs. 22, 34, T31N, R39E</td>
<td>silica</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Leadpoint Consolidated Mines Co.</td>
<td>Leadpoint Consolidated properties secs. 12-13, T39N, R41E; secs. 7-8, 17-18, T36N, R42E</td>
<td>Ag,Pb,Zn</td>
<td>Surface sampling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Nanome Aggregates, Inc.</td>
<td>Several quarries</td>
<td>dolomite</td>
<td>Purchased by Meridian Minerals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Northport Limestone Co. (subsidiary of Hemphill Brothers, Inc.)</td>
<td>Sherve quarry sec. 8, T39N, R39E</td>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Northport Minerals Ltd.</td>
<td>Calhoun mill</td>
<td>T40N, R41E</td>
<td>Purchased mill; joint venture with Bitteroot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Northwest Alloys, Inc.</td>
<td>Addy Dolomite quarry secs. 13-14, T33N, R39E</td>
<td>dolomite</td>
<td>Mining; production of magnesium metal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Orient Mining Co. (Boise Cascade Corp./Pathfinder Gold Corp.)</td>
<td>First Thought mine secs. 7, 16, T39N, R37E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Placer Dome US Inc.</td>
<td>secs. 23-24, T40N, R37E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Staked claims</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Silver Hill Mines, Inc.</td>
<td>Young America secs. 28, 33, T36N, R38E</td>
<td>Pb,Zn,Ag</td>
<td>Acquired property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Whatcom</td>
<td>Olivine Corp.</td>
<td>Swen Larsen quarry sec. 34, T38N, R6E</td>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Whatcom</td>
<td>Seattle-St. Louis Mining Co.</td>
<td>Minnesota sec. 2, T37N, R16E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Whatcom</td>
<td>Steelhead Gold Inc.</td>
<td>Excelsior secs. 5-6, T39N, R8E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Dropped property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Whatcom</td>
<td>Tilbury Cement Co.</td>
<td>Kendall quarry secs. 14-16, 22-23, T40N, R5E</td>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>Mined small quantity of stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Whatcom</td>
<td>Western Gold Mining, Inc.</td>
<td>New Light sec. 27, T38N, R17E</td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td>Surface sampling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Yakima</td>
<td>Ardic Exploration and Development, Ltd.</td>
<td>Morse Creek sec. 31, T17N, R11E</td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td>Geological and geochemical exploration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(see following page for statewide activities)
Table 1. Mineral exploration and development in Washington, 1990 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc. no.</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Companies active statewide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amax Gold Exploration Inc.</td>
<td>north-central Washington</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>American Mineral Development Group, Inc.</td>
<td>northeastern Washington</td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td>Geophysical exploration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bourne, H. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ag,Pb</td>
<td>Exploration, staked claims</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cominco American Resources Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Au,Ag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Newmont Exploration Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td>Reconnaissance exploration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Noranda Exploration, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Reconnaissance exploration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orvana Resources Corp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td>Property evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regan, M. D. and Assoc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Au,Ag,Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weyerhaeuser Co.</td>
<td>company lands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Base and precious metal properties in production and development, 1990. See Figures 1a and 1b for location of these properties

| Commodity | Loc. no. | Property | Company                          | County         | Mine type       | Mill type                | Remarks                                           |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|                                                  |
| Au,Ag     | 6        | Cannon mine | Asamera Minerals (U.S.) Inc./ Breakwater Resources Ltd. | Chelan         | Underground     | Flotation                | Exploration; mined approximately 148,000 oz of gold |
| Au,Ag     | 22       | Kettle mine | Echo Bay Minerals Co./ Crown Resources Corp. | Ferry          | Underground     | Mined 30,000 tons         |                                                  |
| Au,Ag     | 23       | Overlook mine | Echo Bay Minerals Co./ Crown Resources Corp. | Ferry          | Underground     | Flotation/carbon-in-pulp  | Produced 500,000 tons; mill produced 100,000 oz of gold |
| Au,Ag     | 27       | Republic Unit | Hecla Mining Co. | Ferry          | Underground     | Flotation/cyanidation    | Development of decline; produced approximately 78,000 oz of gold |
| Zn,Pb     | 108      | Van Stone | Equinox Resources Ltd. | Stevens | Open pit | Flotation                | Purchased property, stripping over-burden         |
Figure 2. Estimated gold production in Washington increased 40 percent in 1990 as the result of the commencement of production at the Kettle River project and continued high levels of production at the two other gold mines in the state. The dramatic increase in gold production in 1985 was the result of the opening of the Cannon mine and increased levels of production at the Republic Unit.

An ambitious underground and surface exploration program, aimed at increasing reserves, continued at the Cannon mine. Approximately 71,000 feet of rotary reverse circulation and core holes, including 6,000 feet of underground drilling, were completed at and near the mine site. The majority of this work was performed northwest of the mine complex. Asamera reports that although drilling confirmed the extension of the B-North structural zone to the northwest, new reserves were not defined. Breakwater Resources (3rd Quarter Interim report, 1990) reported that a new zone of gold mineralization was discovered on the A-reef, approximately 800 feet northwest of the B-reef. Preliminary results reported by Breakwater indicate that the zone trends northwest and dips 45° to the northeast.

The epithermal mineralization at the Cannon mine is present in quartz-adularia-calcite veins in silicified Eocene arkose in the Chiwaukum graben. Ore minerals include pyrite, electrum, pyrargyrite, tetrahedrite, chalcopyrite, and acanthite.

Asamera Minerals is a 92 percent owned subsidiary of Asamera Inc., which is 100 percent controlled by Gulf Canada Resources Ltd. Asamera Minerals has been for sale since it was acquired by Gulf in 1987. In late 1989 Corona Corp. announced that it would acquire the company's mining properties in the United States and all exploration properties owned by Asamera. However, this deal was not consummated.

Ferry County

More than 81,000 ounces of gold were produced from the Republic Unit in 1990 from ore averaging 0.9 oz/ton gold and 3.6 oz/ton silver. This figure represents an increase in production over the 74,335 ounces of gold that were produced in 1989 from this underground mine owned by Hecla Mining Co. All production was from the Golden Promise vein system (Fig. 3), which was first brought on line in 1986. The mine employs approximately 115 workers.

Changes are under way at the Republic Unit. The new $6 million decline ramp, begun in late 1989 as part of the Republic Accelerated Development program, is promising to alter mining methods and increase exploration and production at the 62-year-old mine. The 6,500 feet of the decline ramp and development drifts, driven from Eureka Gulch, will spiral down to intersect the 800 level in the Golden Promise area. The new decline will permit the use of rubber-tired vehicles and thus provide a low-cost method of bringing ore from the mine to the 270-ton-per-day mill at the surface. Mining methods now employed in the mine include labor-intensive techniques such as overhand cut and fill. Currently, ore is tràmmed more than a mile underground from the Golden Promise area to the #2 shaft. The Golden Promise shaft, constructed in 1986, is being used solely to transport personnel and equipment. The use of rubber-tired vehicles will
Figure 4. Selected metal properties plotted on a generalized geologic map of the northeastern quadrant of Washington. Letter symbols correspond to the following properties:

- **Mv**: Miocene volcanic rocks
- **Evs**: Eocene volcanic and sedimentary rocks
- **Ks**: Cretaceous sedimentary rocks
- **JPvs**: Jurassic to Permian metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks
- **COvs**: Carboniferous to Ordovician metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks
- **OpC**: Ordovician to pre-Cambrian passive margin rocks
- **Ei**: Eocene intrusive rocks
- **TKi**: Paleocene to Mesozoic intrusive rocks
- **mm**: High-grade metamorphic rocks

A, Alder  
Bl, Big Iron  
C, California  
CJ, Crown Jewel  
CM, Cannon  
DC, Deep Creek  
EC, Empire Creek  
EL, Elbow Lake  
FM, Fifteenmile  
FT, First Thought  
GE, Golden Eagle  
GF, Goldfields  
I, Ida  
K, Kelsey  
KC, Kelly Camp  
KM, Kettle  
LF, Lamefoot  
LR, Lone Ranch  
LS, Lone Star  
M, Mazama  
ME, Mount Elizabeth  
MG, Molson Gold  
MN, McNally  
N, Napoleon  
OH, Okanogan Highland  
OL, Overlook  
P, Pierre Creek  
PC, Poland China  
PO, Pend Oreille  
RU, Republic Unit  
SC, Smith Canyon  
SL, Strawberry Lake  
SM, Starr Molybdenum  
T, Toroda  
TA, Talisman  
TM, Toulou Mountain  
VS, Van Stone  
WH, Wenatchee Heights  
YA, Young America  
36, Sec. 36
allow increased exploration in the mine because exploration can be conducted away from the present shafts and transportation system and because muck resulting from exploration and development will not interfere with production. The Quilp shaft was also completed in 1990. The shaft provides a new ventilation system to maintain air quality in the mine. This was made necessary because diesel-powered vehicles are in use in the new decline.

The Golden Promise system consists of northeast-trending epithermal, banded chalcedony bonanza veins of Eocene age in the upper part of the Sanpoil Volcanics. Gold is present as native gold and electrum; naummanite is a major silver mineral.

Commercial production began in February 1990 at the $60 million Kettle River project, a joint venture between Echo Bay Minerals Co., as the operator, and Crown Resources Corp. The precious-metal project comprises the Overlook mine, which contains the majority of the reserves, and the Kettle mine. The project produced approximately 100,000 ounces of gold during its first 10 months of operation.

The Overlook deposit, located 11 miles northeast of Republic, consists of a zone of massive magnetite, pyrite, and gold overlain by a sheeted, iron sulfide- and quartz-bearing, low-angle stockwork deposit. The gold mineralization is present in carbonate and volcaniclastic rocks of probable Permian age. Gold in the massive ore averages 0.3 oz/ton; it averages 0.12 oz/ton in the veined deposit in the elastic rocks (Rasmussen and Hunt, 1990).

A 7,800-foot decline ramp system that accommodates rubber-tired vehicles intersects several parts of the Overlook deposit. A modified room-and-pillar and room-and-rib mining system is employed at the mine. Approximately 500,000 tons of rock were mined in 1990.

The Kettle mine is located approximately a mile west of Curlew and is in an epithermal vein and breccia system in the Eocene Sanpoil Volcanics. The underground mine is accessed by a decline ramp system. In 1990, 30,000 tons of ore were removed by shrink stope mining.

The 1,500-ton-per-day Key mill (Fig. 5) serves both the Kettle and Overlook mines and is located near the Overlook mine. Approximately 90 percent gold recovery is expected at the automated flotation mill, which uses a carbon-in-pulp vat leach system.

As of 1989, published reserves for the two mines were 3.9 million tons of ore grading 0.185 oz/ton gold. It is anticipated that 110,000 ounces of gold will be produced during the first 2 years with 85,000 ounces of gold being produced annually thereafter for the 7-year life of the mines. The Kettle River project employs 190 workers, making it the largest private employer in the county.

Figure 5. Mill and office complex at the Overlook mine. The mill complex was completed in 1989. Photo courtesy of Echo Bay Mining Co.

Stevens County

Significant deposits of lead and zinc have not been mined in Washington in 13 years, but that will change in 1991. Equinox Resources Ltd. purchased the Van Stone mine from Callahan Mining Company, United States Borax & Chemical Corp., and Consolidated Brinco Ltd. in April 1990. Stripping of overburden and waste rock has begun in preparation for the scheduled start-up of open-pit mining in February of 1991. The top of the bowed, cigar-shaped deposit will be mined by open-pit methods for the first 2 years; deeper parts of the deposit will then be mined for at least 6 years thereafter as a trackless underground mine accessed by two portals.

The existing infrastructure, including the 1,100-ton-per-day mill, power, water, and roads, has facilitated the rapid start-up of the mine, which was last worked in 1971 by ASARCO Inc. All necessary permitting and the construction of a new tailings dam have been completed. The $6.5 million needed for the commencement of the project has been provided by a conventional loan and by investment by a syndicate of European investors.

Yellowhead-type mineralization at the Van Stone mine is hosted by dolomite in the middle part of the Cambrian-Ordovician Metalline Formation within the contact metamorphic halo of the Cretaceous Spirit pluton. The orebody to be mined by Equinox was discovered by the former joint venture partners and lies below the orebody that was mined by ASARCO. Movable reserves of 2.5 million tons grading 5.7 percent zinc and 1.1 percent lead have been reported by Equinox. The
company anticipates that an average annual production of 32,900 tons of zinc concentrate and 5,300 tons of lead concentrate will be shipped to the smelter in Trail, British Columbia. The mine and mill will employ 65 workers.

Exploration
More than 70 companies and individuals explored for metals in Washington in 1990. Gold, particularly in skarn and replacement deposits in Permian and Triassic rocks and in epithermal deposits in Eocene rocks in the northeastern part of the state, was the major objective of this effort (Figs. 4 and 6). Exploration became increasingly active in 1990 in Jurassic and Permian rocks in the "wedge", the roughly triangular area in northwesternmost Stevens County between the Kettle and Columbia Rivers, generally in the area labelled "First Thought area" in Figure 6. In addition, stable base-metal prices have led to increased exploration for copper, lead, and zinc throughout the state.

Chelan
For the last several years Asamera Minerals (U.S.) in joint venture with Breakwater Resources has been actively exploring for epithermal mineralization in silicified sandstones at the Wenatchee Gold project in the Wenatchee Heights area, 3.5 miles southeast of the Cannon mine. Twenty-six core holes were completed in 1990, to add to the more than 100 holes previously drilled by the joint venture. Favorable drill intercepts have been reported by the two companies in previous years. Geologic mapping and a gravity survey were also undertaken at the property. Asamera has mining claims and a lease on federal land north of the Wenatchee River; soil geochemical surveys were conducted on these properties.

Wilbur Hallauer used a CSAMT survey to explore his state lease in section 36, southeast of Wenatchee Heights. That geophysical survey showed a number of interesting anomalies. Gold in Eocene sedimentary rocks is the target at the property, which is covered by Quaternary and Tertiary material (Tabor and others, 1987).

Other firms were also active in 1990. Gold Ring Mine, Inc., explored for precious metals north of Wenatchee Lake. Montana d'Oro, Inc., developed a new drift as it sought the intersection of two vein systems at the Blewett property in the Blewett Mining District. The deposit consists of quartz-calcite-talc-sulfide veins in serpentinitized ultrabasic rock. Raven Hill Mining Co. explored their claims near Miners Creek. AB2C Mining Co. built a new road and did exploration work at their property on Iron Mountain.

Ferry County
Epithermal precious-metal deposits in the Eocene Sanpoil Volcanics in the Republic graben (Fig. 4) have been targeted by several mineral exploration companies. More than 2.5 million ounces of gold have been produced from epithermal deposits in the Republic area over the last 94 years.

Hecla Mining Co. continues to explore the Golden Eagle property, which is 3,000 feet northwest of the mill at the Republic Unit. The company drilled 30 core holes at the property during 1990, adding to the 34 holes previously drilled. The deposit is a hydrothermal breccia cemented by a dark-colored, pyritic, gold-bearing, chalcedonic quartz. The breccia forms a crudely tabular body that strikes nearly perpendicular to the northwest-trending Knob Hill #3 vein and rakes to the east. Strike and down-dip dimensions exceed 1,000 feet, and the body contains a mineralized width of as much as 300 feet. The deposit is buried beneath glacial overburden and was discovered in 1988 during drilling on the hanging wall of the Knob Hill #3 vein (Waisman and Tschauer, 1989). Drill-indicated reserves of more than 10 million tons of material grading 0.1 oz/ton gold have been reported (D. R. Waisman, Hecla Mining Co., oral commun., Dec. 1990).

Hecla also continues to explore other properties in the Republic area. Hecla acquired the lease for county-
owned mineral rights under the town of Republic in 1988.

Rotary and core drilling was done at the South Penn deposit, which is owned by a joint venture between Crown Resources Corp. and Sutton Resources Inc. Minor core drilling was also continued by these partners at the Seattle mine. Both properties contain epithermal, precious metal-bearing deposits in Eocene volcanic rocks.

Cambior USA, Inc., as the operator, in joint venture with Crown Resources Corp. conducted reverse circulation drilling to test other exploration at the 4,400-acre Mount Elizabeth property. The companies are exploring for epithermal vein mineralization in the Sanpoil Volcanics; the overlying Klondike Mountain Formation crops out on the property. The property lies between the Republic Mining District and the Kettle mine. The joint venture partners also explored for gold mineralization elsewhere in the Republic graben.

Gold Fields Mining Corp., a new player in the Republic area, explored newly acquired ground for precious metals in Eocene volcanic rocks south of Republic. The company drilled several holes and conducted geological, geochemical, and geophysical exploration on their property north of Scatter Creek.

Echo Bay Exploration continues to explore for gold in magnetite-bearing replacement deposits in Permian metasedimentary rocks east of Republic. At the Lamefoot property, east of Curlew Lake, the company drilled 86 reverse circulation and core drill holes with encouraging results. Magnetic surveys and geologic mapping were also performed. Surface samples containing as much as 0.1 oz/ton gold have been reported. A second phase of drilling is scheduled for 1991. Echo Bay Minerals Co. drilled at the Lake, Ox, and Middlefork properties, also seeking gold mineralization in magnetite replacement deposits.

Inland Gold and Silver Corp. in joint venture with N. A. Degerstrom, Inc., and Pegasus Gold Corp. continue to explore the 5,000-acre Leland property, which is located west and north of the Overlook mine. Inland Gold and Silver reported identifying three target areas on the property based on geologic structure, alteration, and soil and rock geochemistry.

Surface outcrop samples containing as much as 0.1 oz/ton gold have been reported. Airborne and ground geophysics, as well as approximately 14,000 feet of reverse circulation drilling, have been used in their search for gold-bearing mineralization in Permian metasedimentary rocks.

Newmont Exploration Ltd. drilled targets on patented and unpatented mining claims near the California mine. The northeast-striking vein at that mine produced gold and silver intermittently prior to 1939 (Hunting, 1956). The prospect is in metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks of Permian age (Muessig, 1967).

Kettle River Resources Ltd. assessed data previously acquired at the Empire Creek property. The company held negotiations with Cambior concerning a possible joint venture agreement on the skarn deposit along a low-angle fault zone.

Atlas Mine & Mill Supply, Inc., explored for gold in the tungsten-copper-magnetite-bearing skarn in the Kelly Camp area. Additional mining claims were staked, and soil and rock samples were collected at the property.

Gold Express Inc. sought permits to renew mining and mineral processing at the Gold Mountain mine, formerly known as the Gold Dike mine. The mine, which was purchased by the company in 1988, will be operated by the 50 percent joint venture partner N. A. Degerstrom, Inc. Plans include enlarging the open-pit mine (formerly operated by Vulcan Mining Inc.) and developing an additional heap leach pad to accommodate the increased production. The deposit is a stockwork deposit in alkalic intrusive rocks of Jurassic or Eocene age; gold is associated with pyrite. Reserves at the property are reported by the company to be 500,000 tons grading 0.065 oz/ton gold; approximately 25,000 to 30,000 ounces of gold will be recovered from the deposit over the 2-year life of the project.

Johnson Explosives used surface geologic mapping and geochemical sampling in the search for gold at the Irish claims. The property is in a nearly west-trending alkalic intrusive body, similar to that which hosts the Gold Mountain deposit.

Silver Hill Mines, Inc., explored the Talisman property through geologic investigations. The Talisman is a shallow-dipping copper-zinc-silver-lead, and tungsten-bearing skarn in gneisses of the Kettle metamorphic core complex. Base and precious metals were intermittently produced from the deposit from 1915 to 1950 (Hunting, 1956).

Kennecott Corp. drilled at the Lone Star mine. Kennecott has a lease option agreement with Wilbur Hallauer for that massive sulfide deposit, which straddles the international border.

Cyprus Minerals Co. continues to explore mining claims held by the company in the Lone Ranch Creek area. Geological, geochemical, and geophysical exploration was carried out to locate exhalative gold deposits in high-grade metamorphic rocks. South of Lone Ranch Creek, Morse Brothers examined their property through core drilling and backhoe trenching.

Other exploration activity was also recorded in Ferry County in 1990. Equinox Resources Ltd. acquired property in the Republic graben area. Pacific Houston Resources has acquired 6,500 acres of state mineral leases and staked mining claims in the county. The company explored these lands through stream-sediment sampling, using a 11/2-inch-diameter backpack dredge. Stewart Jackson holds claims and state mineral leases in Ferry County, several of which are leased to other companies exploring in the county.

King County

CSS Management Corp. explored the Apex mine. The deposit includes veins and breccia pipes in granodiorite of Cretaceous age. The mine is in a remote area and has had limited production in the past. CSS is a partner in Artech Recovery Systems, Inc., which was formed to finance research in the Cashman Process developed by CSS to treat ores and concentrates that have high arsenic contents in an environmentally sound manner.

Mine Evaluation, Inc., explored the Dutch Miller property near the head of the Middle Fork Snoqualmie River. The property consists of three parallel veins in
rocks have been the most extensively explored targets (Fig. 4).

In March 1990, Battle Mountain Gold Corp. purchased an option for $5 million to acquire a 51 percent interest in the Buckhorn project held by Crown Resources Corp. Battle Mountain exercised this option in January 1991 by paying an additional $5 million and agreeing to pay future costs for exploration and evaluation of the property east of Chesaw in the northeastern part of the county. Battle Mountain manages the property and will fund development costs if the decision to mine the property is made after further exploration is completed. The joint venture agreement currently calls for production to start by July 1993 at a rate of 3,000 tons of ore per day.

Nearly 400 core and reverse circulation holes have been drilled into the Crown Jewel deposit, which is the heart of the Buckhorn project. Most of these holes were drilled in 1990 by Battle Mountain, which employed as many as seven drilling rigs at a time. The holes were drilled to depths of as much as 800 feet on 50-foot centers. Proven and probable reserves, calculated from a nominal pit design and based on a $400-per-ounce gold price, were estimated by Battle Mountain at 707,000 ounces of gold in ore averaging 0.127 oz/ton gold. Several hundred thousand ounces of additional resources have also been identified by the 1991 drilling southwest of the Crown Jewel deposit. This area will require further closely spaced drilling to define the resource.

The gold skarn at the Crown Jewel deposit is hosted by volcaniclastic and volcanic rock of probable Permian and/or Triassic age. The skarn is zoned from proximal magnetite-garnet, through intermediate garnet-epidote-clinopyroxene, to distal clinopyroxene. Gold is present throughout these zones and is associated with magnetite, pyrrhotite, bismuthinite, cobaltite, and tellurides (Shannon and others, 1990, p. 18).

The Crystal Butte mine, which is held by Keystone Gold Inc. and Orvana Resources Corp., is also under inspection by Battle Mountain. Drilling was conducted on the property.

Crown Resources has been actively exploring other gold-bearing skarn targets in Permian and Triassic rocks in the county. The company drilled several holes at the Strawberry Lake property west of Chesaw. The property consists of nearly 4,000 acres that were acquired in 1989. The company drilled and undertook other exploration at the Molson Gold property south of the town of Molson.

Echo Bay Exploration drilled at their Strawberry Lake property, also seeking skarn mineralization.
FMC Gold Co. used geological and geochemical surveys to select drill targets at the Poland China mine. The mine yielded gold and silver from 1914 to 1939 from veins in the Spectacle Formation of Permian age.

Several companies explored for precious metals in Eocene volcanic rocks in the Toroda Creek graben. Cambior USA, Inc., as the operator, in joint venture with Crown Resources Corp. undertook reverse circulation drilling and geological, geophysical, and geochemical exploration at the Ida property. The epithermal, gold and silver prospect is hosted by the Sanpoil Volcanics. The joint venture partners also explored other properties in the Toroda Creek graben.

Other epithermal targets being explored in the Toroda Creek graben are in rocks that have been mapped as the Klondike Mountain Formation (Stoffel, 1990a). Approximately 6,000 feet of reverse circulation drilling was completed by Westmont Mining Inc. at the Manhattan Mountain property in Okanogan and Ferry Counties. The company is seeking epithermal, precious-metal mineralization in silicified and altered volcanic rocks. Kennecott Corp. drilled at their Toroda project, which is also near Manhattan Mountain.

Porphyry deposits in the county were investigated by several companies. Vanderbilt Gold Corp. in joint venture with Brenda Inc. entered into a lease option agreement with Quintana Minerals Corp. in 1989 to explore 334 unpatented mining claims near Mazama. However, the joint venture partners did not exercise their option, and Quintana is now seeking other interested parties to explore and develop the property. Eighty-two drill holes have been drilled at this low-grade porphyry copper deposit in the Cretaceous Fawn Peak stock. Drill-indicated reserves of 149 million tons grading 0.36 percent copper and 0.01 percent molybdenum have been reported by Quintana.

Wilbur Hallauer continues to explore the Starr Molybdenum porphyry deposit on Aneas Mountain, 10 miles west of Tonasket. He also drilled one core hole at the Kelsey property, which is a low-grade porphyry copper and molybdenum deposit and has associated skarn mineralization (Roper, 1979).

One hole was drilled with a Winkie Drill by Wilbur Hallauer at the Cecile Creek property on the north flank of Douglas Mountain. The prospect is in the Triassic or Jurassic Loomis pluton (Stoffel, 1990b).

Base and precious metals were the target of exploration by Sunshine Valley Minerals, Inc., at the Billy Goat property. The company drilled test holes and removed overburden from prospective tunnel sites to further explore stockwork mineralization in altered volcanic rocks of Cretaceous age (Stoffel and McGroder, 1990).

ECM Inc. continued to seek partners to explore and develop mining claims near the Alder mine. The massive sulfide prospect is in the Jurassic-Cretaceous Newby Group.

Northwest Minerals Corp. explored several precious-metal targets in the county. Disseminated sulfides and precious metals in a shear zone associated with the Smith Canyon fault were the target of exploration west of Twisp at their Smith Canyon property. Geologic mapping and geochemical sampling were undertaken at that prospect. Gold-bearing fault-controlled veins in metasedimentary rocks of the Permian Anarchist Group were explored by Northwest at the Okanogan Highgrade property near Tonasket. Underground and surface sampling and geologic mapping were undertaken to determine the extent of disseminated mineralization and mercury veins on the property. At the Red Shirt prospect, geological mapping and rock geochemistry were used to examine veins in a shear zone in altered Cretaceous-Jurassic gabbros along the Red Shirt thrust. The Red Shirt mine has been idle since 1938 (Hunting, 1956).

Geological mapping and geochemical sampling were conducted at the Turtle Lake property held by L. F. Baum Associates. The property is a stockwork and strata-bound volcanic-rock-hosted deposit.

The Geology Department of the Colville Confederated Tribes (written commun., 1990) reported that they have identified elevated gold values in a zone 80 feet wide that extends 400 feet along strike near the headwaters of Parmenter Creek on the Colville Indian Reservation. Samples collected by the Geology Department contained gold values as high as 0.44 oz/ton. A heavy-mineral stream-sediment survey conducted by a mineral permittee in 1989 on the reservation in the Republic and Keller grabens indicated anomalous gold values at several locations.

**Pend Oreille County**

Resource Finance Inc. (RFI), which is 44 percent owned by Kerr Addison Mines Ltd., purchased the Pend Oreille mine for $1.25 million in mid-1990 from Pintlar Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of Gulf Resources Corp. The former lead-zinc producer was last active in 1977. A major drilling program is under way at the mine to evaluate the strata-bound ores in the Yellowstone zone in the dolomite unit of the Cambrian-Ordovician Metaline Formation. Fifty-four underground holes were drilled in 1990 to enhance reserve information. Published drill-indicated reserves are 3.7 million tons grading 8.8 percent zinc and 1.6 percent lead over a thickness of 17 feet.

In the future Kerr Addison will be playing a more active role in exploration and development at the mine. Financial and management assistance from Kerr Addison will enable RFI to retain its 100 percent interest in the property and raise the $3 million to $5 million needed for further exploration.

Raven Hill Mining Co. has explored two properties in the county. Surface exploration continued at the Cooks Copper property where silver and copper mineralization was the target. Development at the Glass mine included laying track, building ramps, and continuing dewatering. The base- and precious-metal property is the Precambrian Prichard Formation.

**Pierce County**

Nerco Exploration Co. drilled nine reverse circulation holes totaling more than 2,800 feet at the Carbon River property and then returned the property to the owner, Mark Wagner. The deposit is on the periphery of a gold-copper-tourmaline-bearing breccia pipe at the contact of the Miocene Carbon River stock and andesite of Miocene age. Drilling, which had some encouraging results, was performed between two mineralized outcrops that are approximately 3,000 feet apart.
Skamania County

Core drilling was undertaken by Plexus Resources Corp. at the Silver Star property to better define the mineralization in the Blackjack breccia pipe. The company reports reserves at the porphyry deposit are 2.9 million tons grading 1.62 percent copper, 0.035 percent molybdenum, and 0.35 oz/ton silver.

Operations at the Wind River mine in the St. Helens District near Carson were on hold for most of the year.

Snohomish County

Island-Arc Resources Corp., as the operator, in joint venture with Formosa Resources Corp. drilled nine shallow vertical and inclined core holes at the Lockwood property in the Sultan basin. Kennecott offered to perform an electromagnetic survey on the property to acquire a right to negotiate a 51 percent interest in the near surface polymetallic volcanogenic banded massive sulfide deposit.

The 45 mine was explored by Mine Evaluation, Inc. This deposit in Paleocene to Cretaceous metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks is a past producer of gold and silver (Derkey and others, 1990).

Stevens County

The area of the most increased exploration activity in the state is the "wedge" in the northwestern part of the county. Exploration has been concentrated on precious-metal deposits in Eocene volcanic rocks and polymetallic deposits in Permian, Triassic, and Jurassic metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks.

Boise Cascade Corp. in joint venture with Pathfinder Gold Corp. has been active in the wedge area for several years and increased their exploration activity in 1990. The joint venture partners explored for epithermal gold and silver in Eocene volcanic rocks at the First Thought mine. Four surface core holes were drilled at the property in the Sanpoil Volcanics.

In addition, ten reverse circulation holes and a core hole were drilled by these joint venture partners at the McNally property. The skarn deposit is in limestone of probable Permian or Triassic age that is approximately 100 feet thick and more than 1,000 feet in length.

The joint venture partners have also staked claims and leased property that covers 10 square miles from Limestone Creek to Flat Creek. This area includes the Easter Sunday and the Big Iron mines. The Big Iron was a producer of magnetite ore from 1924 to 1937; the ore was reported to carry $3 to $4 in gold per ton (Broughton, 1945). Reconnaissance exploration for gold-bearing replacement deposits in Permian metasedimentary rocks was also implemented by the joint venture partners.

Placer Dome US Inc. staked numerous claims in the vicinity of the Big Iron mine.

Exploration was continued by Boise Cascade and Pathfinder Gold on the massive gold-iron veins at the Fifteen Mile Creek property. Geological mapping and geochemical sampling were also undertaken at the Gold Hill property north of Kettle Falls, where veins and stockwork cut a Tertiary dike that intrudes Permian metasedimentary rocks. Massive iron-gold mineralization in metasedimentary rocks of probable Permian age was the target of geochemical sampling by these companies at the Toulou Mountain property.

Echo Bay Exploration joined those exploring for precious metals in the "wedge" area. The company drilled in the Fifteen Mile area seeking massive gold-iron-bearing veins in the Jurassic Rossland Formation. At the Pierre Creek property Echo Bay drilled at least four holes seeking gold mineralization in Permian metasedimentary rocks. The property includes the Copper Penny claims.

Three reverse circulation drill holes were completed by Battle Mountain Gold Corp. at the Elbow Lake property. Geologic mapping and geochemical sampling were also implemented in the search for gold mineralization in pyrite-bearing Permian metasedimentary rocks at this property.

Kennecott Corp. signed an option agreement to acquire the Napoleon mine. The gold-bearing contact metamorphic deposit is in Permian to Triassic metasedimentary rocks. The mine last produced in 1955, and the ore was reported to contain 0.05 to 0.1 ounce of gold per ton (Hunting, 1956).

Eagle Lake Exploration Ltd. completed a geochemical and induced polarization survey over areas of known skarn mineralization at the Kelly Hill property to define drill targets.

Silver Hill Mines, Inc., purchased the Young America mine in August. The former base- and precious-metal producer is in limestone of Permian age (Stoffel, 1990a).

A. Ambrose continued placer mining in Sheep Creek.

Lead and zinc mineralization in the Metaline Formation is the focus of exploration by Bitterroot Resources Ltd. The company, which is 52 percent owned by Equinox Resources, controls the Advance, Calhoun, Deep Creek, HC, Iroquois, Scandia, and Sherlock properties. (These properties were formerly held by Mines Management, Inc.) In addition, 8,000 acres were acquired from Washington Resources Partnership and Grandview Mining Co.; the company has also acquired the option to purchase the Grandview mine. Bitterroot has entered into an agreement with Northport Minerals Ltd. whereby Northport can option as much as a 60 percent interest in the company's holdings in the Northport area. Northport Minerals owns the 1,500-ton-per-day Calhoun mill, which is located near the properties held by Bitterroot.

Leadpoint Consolidated Mines Co. did surface trenching to facilitate geological exploration at their property in the Northport Mining District. Lead, zinc, and silver mineralization was the focus of this exploration.

Whatcom County

The Excelsior mine, which has been explored by Steelhead Gold Inc. since 1984, has been returned to the owner, who is now seeking new parties to proceed with the project. The gold deposit, which was last mined in 1916, is contained in a series of sedimentary and volcanic rocks of the Jurassic Wells Formation.

Several companies were active in the Slate Creek Mining District. Seattle-St. Louis Mining Co. continued exploration of the vein system at the Minnesota mine. Surface sampling was continued by Western Gold Min-
ing, Inc. at the New Light mine. Deposits in the this district are in sedimentary rocks of Cretaceous age.

Yakima County

Ardic Exploration and Development, Ltd. was engaged in geological mapping and geochemical sampling at the Morse Creek property. The precious-metal deposit is in Oligocene volcanic and sedimentary rocks (Schasse, 1987).

Statewide Exploration

Anax Gold Exploration Inc. sought gold in northeastern Washington by using geological and geochemical exploration techniques. Cominco American Resources Inc. explored for base and precious metals at several sites in the state. Newmont Exploration Ltd. explored for gold statewide. Cominco American Resources Inc. explored for base and precious metals in the northern part of the state. M. D. Regan and Associates conducted property and prospect evaluations in the search for base and precious metals. Weyerhaeuser Co. continued to inventory opportunities for metallic deposits throughout the state. American Mineral Development Group, Inc., examined areas that gave multispectral, remotely sensed anomalies in northeastern Washington. Orvana Resources Corp and Noranda Exploration Inc. explored for precious metals throughout the state.

INDUSTRIAL MINERALS

Development and Exploration

Seventeen companies produced industrial minerals (excluding sand and gravel) from 23 sites during 1990 (Table 3). (See also Figs. 1a, 1b). Commodities produced from industrial minerals, including the production of magnesium metal from dolomite, account for more than three-fourths of the value of the mineral industry in the state. Sand and gravel and crushed stone were again the leading industrial minerals. Dolomite, because of the value added to the mineral through the production of magnesium metal, accounts for a fifth of the value of Washington’s mineral industry. A majority of the limestone mined was used in the manufacture of portland cement. In excess of 300,000 tons of silica were mined by three operations for uses that included plate glass, colored glass bottles, and cement. Clay was produced by two companies for use in cement and structural bricks. Diatomite was produced by one company, and two companies generated products from olivine mined in the state. (A more comprehensive report on industrial minerals in Washington was published in late 1990, in vol. 18, no. 4 of the Washington Geologic Newsletter.)

Eleven companies produced processed calcium carbonate products. The majority of these companies are in the Puget Sound area, where limestone from Texada Island in southwestern British Columbia is barged to the plant sites. Precipitated calcium carbonate is produced from a free-standing plant in Tacoma and at two paper plants where the source is effluent from the plants’ lime kilns. Lime is produced by Tacoma Lime Company and as a byproduct of the production of magnesium metal from dolomite in Stevens County. Ground and crushed limestone and dolomite are also mined and produced by several companies in the state.

Washington was the fifth largest producer of sand and gravel in the nation in 1990 according to the USBM. The USBM reported that an estimated 45 million short tons of sand and gravel, worth $158 million, were mined in the state in 1990. This represents a 27 percent increase in value and a 20 percent increase in production over 1989. The Lone Star Northwest facility in Stellacoom was the fifth largest sand and gravel operation in the United States in 1989 and one of two plants on the list of top 10 producers in 1989 not located in California (Menaccaci, 1990). In addition, $41 million worth of crushed stone was reported by the USBM to have been quarried in the state in 1990.

Clallam County

Holnam Ideal, Inc., formerly Ideal Basic Industries, Inc., extracted 100,000 tons of clay from the Twin River quarry. The clay is barged to their Seattle plant, where it is used in the manufacturing of portland cement. The clay is mined from weathered mudstones of the Twin River Group of Oligocene age.

Grant County

Witco Corp continues to be the sole producer of diatomite in the state. The company mined 300,000 tons of material, resulting in net production of 60,000 tons of processed diatomite. The diatomite is mined from lakebed deposits interstratified with flows of the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) of Miocene age.

Bulk sampling and testing of the non-swelling bentonite clay from the Rock Top property for specific market applications continued by Basic Resources Corp. The clay is in sedimentary interbeds in the CRBG.

King County

L-Bar Products, Inc., extracted silica sand from the Ravensdale quarry. The sand is mined, washed, screened, and dried to produce silica for colored bottles and cement. The sand comes from the Eocene Puget Group.

Ash Grove Cement West, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Ash Grove Cement Co., shipped 35,000 tons of silica from the Superior quarry. The quarry, which began operation in 1987, supplies silica primarily for the production of portland cement; however, other uses include aggregate, decorative stone, sand-blast sand, and roofing granules. The low-alkaline, microcrystalline quartz deposit consists of altered and silicified Miocene volcanic rock.

Ash Grove broke ground in late August for a new coal-fired, state-of-the-art portland cement plant on tidewater in Seattle. The planned $65 million, 750,000-ton-per-year plant, which is scheduled to begin operation in mid-1992, will replace the 200,000-ton-per-year plant on the site. The company currently sells cement from other Ash Grove plants in the Northwest and processes imported clinker for its customers in the Puget Sound area.

Mutual Materials Co. was the largest clay producer in the state. The company mined shale from the Eocene Puget Group from the pit in section 31 and from the Elk pit. The clay is used in the manufacture of structural brick.
Table 3. Properties producing industrial minerals in 1990 (See Figures 1a and 1b for location of these properties)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Loc. no.</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>clay</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Twin River quarry</td>
<td>Holnam Ideal, Inc.</td>
<td>Clallam</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clay</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Clay City pit</td>
<td>Mutual Materials Co.</td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clay</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Elk pit</td>
<td>Mutual Materials Co.</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clay</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Mica mine</td>
<td>Mutual Materials Co.</td>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>Mined 136,000 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clay</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Sec. 31</td>
<td>Mutual Materials Co.</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diatomite</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Sec. 3/10 pit</td>
<td>Witco Corp.</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diatomite</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Sec. 7 pit</td>
<td>Witco Corp.</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diatomite</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Sec. 8 pit</td>
<td>Witco Corp.</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dolomite</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Chewelah Eagle group</td>
<td>Chewelah Eagle Mining Co.</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dolomite</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>Several quarries</td>
<td>Nanome Aggregates, Inc.</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Purchased by Meridian Minerals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dolomite</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Addy Dolomite quarry</td>
<td>Northwest Alloys, Inc.</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Mined 500,000 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dolomite</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Brown quarry</td>
<td>Pacific Calcium, Inc.</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Wauconda quarry</td>
<td>Columbia River Carbonates</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Mining and crushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Champane Placer</td>
<td>Lafarge Corp.</td>
<td>Pend Oreille</td>
<td>Mining; closed cement plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>Sherve quarry</td>
<td>Northport Limestone Co. (subsidiary of Hemphill Brothers, Inc.)</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Mined 25,000 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Tonasket Limestone quarry</td>
<td>Pacific Calcium, Inc.</td>
<td>Okanogan</td>
<td>Mining and milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Kendall quarry</td>
<td>Tilbury Cement Co.</td>
<td>Whatcom</td>
<td>Mined 16,000 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>AIMCOR Olivine</td>
<td>Applied Industrial Materials Corp.</td>
<td>Skagit</td>
<td>Producing olivine products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Swen Larsen quarry</td>
<td>Olivine Corp.</td>
<td>Whatcom</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quartz xis</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Spruce claim</td>
<td>Robert Jackson</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silica</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Superior quarry</td>
<td>Ash Grove Cement West, Inc.</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Shipped 35,000 tons from stockpile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silica</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Lane Mountain Silica</td>
<td>Lane Mountain Silica Co. (subsidiary of Hemphill Brothers, Inc.)</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Mined 300,000 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silica</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Ravensdale pit</td>
<td>L-Bar Products, Inc.</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quartz crystals and quartz crystals with pyrite were extracted from the Spruce claim by Robert Jackson. Many of these crystals are of museum quality.

Okanogan County

Columbia River Carbonates, a limited partnership of Bleeck Management, Inc. and Genstar Carbonates, Inc., mined and crushed white, high-brightness, high-calcium carbonate marble at their Wauconda quarry. The marble is sent by rail to the Columbia River Carbonates plant at Woodland, near Vancouver, where it is ground to produce several grades of fine and ultra-fine powder and slurry.

Pacific Calcium, Inc., continues to mine high-calcium limestone from the Tonasket Limestone quarry and dolomite from the Brown quarry near Riverside. The carbonates are crushed in Tonasket and sold as poultry feed, soil amendment, and a lawn-care product.

Sunshine Valley Minerals, Inc., explored for silica at the Hoffman property in the Aeneas Valley.

Pend Oreille County

In August of 1989, Lafarge Corp. purchased the Portland cement plant in Metaline Falls and three distribution terminals from Lehigh Portland Cement Co. for nearly $7.5 million. Lafarge, the second largest cement manufacturer in the country in 1989, then closed the plant in June 1990, citing higher-than-expected capital costs to modernize the 80-year-old facility. The operation employed approximately 60 workers and was a major employer in the northern part of the county.

Southern Talc Co., as the operator, in joint venture with First Miss Gold Inc., continued exploration at their Totem Talc property. The deposit is in a shear zone in the Precambrian Z. Monk Formation. Non-asbestos-talc Miss Gold Inc. continued exploration at their property in the county has also been the target of several companies.

Pierce County

Mutual Materials Co. mined clay from altered Eocene volcanic rocks at the Clay City pit. The clay is used to make structural brick.

Skagit County

Applied Industrial Materials Corp. (AIMCOR) crushed and screened olivine purchased from Olivine Corp. The company produces olivine flour for use as foundry and blast sands.

Spokane County

Structural bricks have been produced at a mine near Mica since 1893. Mutual Materials Co. purchased the mine and plant from Interpace Industries Inc. of Kirkland in mid-1990. The company expanded a section of the north pit, resulting in development of white clay reserves, and mined a 2-year, 136,000-ton supply of clay. The company employs 80 people and processes 15 to 20 tons of clay per day. Nearly 50 million off-white and colored structural and decorative bricks are manufactured by this company each year.

Stevens County

Northwest Alloys, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Aluminum Co. of America, mined approximately 500,000 tons of material from the Addy Dolomite quarry; this represents a decrease from the tonnage mined in 1989. Northwest Alloys' mine and plant at Addy is the mineral operation having the highest value in the state because of the value added to the dolomite by the production of magnesium metal. The company is one of only three producers of magnesium metal in the United States. The plant, which operated at less than full capacity in 1990, is the largest private employer in Stevens County.

White and colored dolomite was mined and processed by several companies. Nanome Aggregates, Inc., selectively mines dolomite from several quarries in the county to produce white and various colors of architectural aggregate. The company was purchased by Meridian Minerals Co. in mid-1990. Meridian plans to improve the quality of the raw materials and upgrade the plant facility. Chewelah Eagle Mining Co. improved the access road to their quarry near Eagle Mountain; the company extracted dolomite to fulfill a contract to Nanome.

The Janni Limestone quarry, owned by Peter Janni and Sons, is leased to Pluess-Staufer Industries, Inc.

Hemphill Brothers, Inc. continues to operate two industrial mineral properties in the county. Lane Mountain Silica Co., the largest silica producer in the state, produced 300,000 tons of high-grade silica from a friable area of Addy Quartzite.

Northport Limestone Co. mined, crushed, and screened 25,000 tons of metallurgical-grade limestone at the Sherve quarry south of Northport. The limestone is sold for flux to the Cominco Ltd. smelter in Trail, British Columbia.

Whatcom County

Olivine Corp. continues to mine fresh, unaltered olivine from the Swen Larsen quarry in the Twin Sisters dunite. The rock is sold to AIMCOR and is used by Olivine Corp. in the fabrication of modular olivine refractory slabs for waste incinerators which were pioneered by the company.

Tilbury Cement Co. of Delta, British Columbia, mined a minor amount of limestone and shale from the Kendall quarry. The company purchased the quarry and cement plant in Bellingham from Columbia Northwest Cement Corp. in 1987 and then drastically curtailed operations.
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Josh Logan (above) has been promoted to Geologist 4, Section Head for the Division’s Geology and Resources Section. Josh’s responsibilities will now include overseeing preparation of the 1:100,000- and 1:250,000-scale geologic maps of the state’s northwest quadrant for the state geologic map project. He will continue to investigate landslide-dammed lakes in Washington, but will be relinquishing his work with the Timber, Fish, and Wildlife program.

Nancy Joseph (right) has left our staff for a position as a Geologist 4, Economic Geology Specialist, with the Division of Lands and Minerals, where she will manage the mineral leasing program. Nancy started work with DGFR in mid-1985 as a Geologist 2 with a specialty in economic geology. Recently she has been involved with compilation of the northeast quadrant of the new state geologic map, for which she compiled the geology of the Colville, Nespelem, and Spokane quadrangles. She has also prepared annual summaries and other articles about the mineral industry in Washington.

Carolyn Michal left us in February; she has decided to return to school. She joined us as a temporary clerk in August 1990 and was a mainstay in maintaining our supply of open-file reports.

Jo Roller has taken a position as Administrative Assistant 4 with the Department of Fisheries. Jo came to us last August and served our Division as Office Manager.

In mid-February, Barbara Preston accepted the position of Administrative Assistant 2 (Developmental) to replace Jo Roller.

Shelley Reisher has come to us from the Department of Social and Health Services to be our new Clerk Typist 2. She replaces Carolyn Michal.
Coal Activity in Washington during 1990
by Henry W. Schasse

Activity in the coal industry remains limited to mining at the state's two producing coal mines. Total coal production at those mines was slightly above 5 million tons for 1990. There was no exploration for new coal deposits in Washington in 1990.

Pacific Coast Coal Co., Inc. (PCCC), operator of the John Henry No. 1 open-pit coal mine in the Green River Coal District east of Black Diamond (Fig. 1), brought its new beneficiation plant on line in November of 1990. After some minor delays while working out problems in fine tuning the 150-tons-per-hour, on-site plant, the company has now achieved the full rate of production.

In 1990, PCCC saw production at the mine increase by 10.4 percent, from 115,416 short tons in 1989 to 127,458 tons in 1990. Eighty-four percent of the 1990 production was exported to Japan and Korea to be used at cement plants and for electrical power generation. Lesser amounts of coal were used by several public institutions in the Puget Sound area to fuel their heating plants (9%) and to test coal blends designed to meet future federal emission standards at the Centralia Steam plant (6%). A minor amount was sold for residential heating. PCCC hopes to increase its production to the mine's full design capacity of 250,000 tons per year during 1991, now that it has its on-site beneficiation plant operating at full capacity. PCCC mines bituminous coal from coal seams of the Franklin coal series (Franklin Nos. 7, 8, 9, and 10), which are stratigraphically near the base of the Eocene Puget Group in nonmarine deltaic sedimentary rocks (Fig. 2).

PCCC is also considering co-use of the John Henry mine for disposal of construction demolition material. The company will be submitting permit applications to county and federal authorities and will have an environmental impact statement prepared sometime this year.

During 1990, a change in ownership took place at the state's largest coal mine, the Centralia Coal Mine, which is located in the Centralia Coal District near Centralia (Fig. 1). Centrailia Mining Co., a subsidiary of PacificCorp, is the new operator of the mine. Washington Irrigation and Development Company (WIDCO) had been the mine operator since the start of production in 1971.

Coal production at the Centralia Coal Mine during 1990 totalled 4,873,801 clean short tons; this was 24,000 tons less than was produced during 1989. The coal mined at Centralia came from eleven beds representing six coal seams and splits of three of the seams. The subbituminous coal is in the middle of the Skookumchuck Formation, a near-shore and nonmarine unit and an upper member of the Puget Group. The mine is the sole supplier of the Centralia Steam Plant. The mine has produced an average of 4.2 million tons annually over the past 20 years of its operation.

WIDCO Waste Services (WSS), a sister company to WIDCO, terminated its plans for a 50-million-ton regional municipal solid-waste landfill that would have involved two pits located on 600 acres at the Centralia Coal Mine (Schasse, 1990). Permit delays and questions about the stability of the site prompted WSS to scrap the $47-million project near Bucoda (The Olympian, Dec. 20, 1990).
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Mine Quest - Diversification for the 90's
Pacific Northwest Metals & Minerals Conference
(Sponsored by AIME-SME Columbia Section)
Sheraton-Spokane Hotel
Spokane, WA 99204
May 2-3, 1991
Information: FAX (509)534-4421, phone (509)326-7135 x 66
Nine one- and two-day field trips are planned for April 29-May 1, 1991.

15th International Geochemical Exploration Symposium
Bally's Casino Resort
Reno, NV
April 29-May 1, 1991
Information: Erik Rorem
15th International Geochemical Exploration Symposium
P.O. Box 15, Reno, NV 89507
(702)359-9330
Two workshops, three short courses, and 14 field trips will be split between pre- and post-meeting times.

Publication Activity in 1990
by Renee Snider

During 1990, the Division of Geology and Earth Resources published one Bulletin, one Report of Investigations and 19 open-file reports, for a total of 2,130 pages of information. Three of these reports are additions to our series of bibliographies.

Of those publications in our formal series, some, such as Information Circular (IC) 85, "Washington State earthquake hazards" are available at no charge. We distributed 3,588 copies of reports in this category; the vast majority of these were IC 85. Of the formal publications for which we charge, we sold 3,499 copies and gave away 240 complimentary copies.

We received orders for 3,842 copies of reports in our open-file series. Most of the requests were for the reports covering the 100,000-scale quadrangles in northeastern Washington. Only 30 copies of free open-file reports were mailed out or distributed over the counter, but nearly 340 copies of reports in this series were given out on a complimentary basis.

The Division also distributed 4,505 copies of miscellaneous free information packets, brochures, and flyers, such as "Placer gold mining in Washington" and "Gems and minerals of Washington".

The four issues of the newsletter totaled 136 pages. The newsletter is mailed to an average of 3,750 addresses; in addition, we gave 264 copies to visitors or to persons requesting particular issues.

For the year, the clerical staff handled orders and requests for 30,744 copies of our printed material. This averages about 120 items per day being mailed or being sold or given to visitors.

Figure 2. Pit No. 1 at the John Henry No. 1 open-pit coal mine, 2 miles northeast of Black Diamond, November 1990. The "whaleback" structure, a southwest-plunging anticlinal fold expressed in the floor of the mine, is stratigraphically below the Franklin Nos. 7, 8, 9, and 10 coals. Coal being mined lies to the right and stratigraphically above the base of the No. 7 seam. The Franklin Nos. 7, 8, 9, and 10 coal seams are separated by 100-200 feet of sedimentary rock in areas outside the mine but merge in the mine. [See article, facing page.]
Damage in King County from the Storm of January 9, 1990

by Daniel J. Miller
Department of Geological Sciences
University of Washington

INTRODUCTION
The effects of large rainstorms are becoming increasingly familiar to residents of western Washington. In 1990, rainfall ushered the year in and out with record-setting floods. Rainstorms such as those of last year initiate intense geomorphic activity and greatly affect the surface of the land. In King County the storm of January 9, 1990, in particular, triggered numerous landslides, produced large gullies on many slopes, and caused erosion of stream channels throughout the region. These modifications to the land surface were widespread (Fig. 1) and proved quite costly. Road repairs alone in unincorporated areas of King County cost taxpayers more than $2 million. Overall, damage to public and private property warranted a declaration of this region as a Federal Disaster Area.

Intense rainstorms occur in the Puget Sound area every few years. Knowing what to expect may help us anticipate and avoid similar problems in the future. The damage associated with this storm presented an opportunity for geologists, engineers, and county planners to identify and document the events that caused problems. To that end, an inventory of storm damage for unincorporated areas in western King County was compiled. This work was done under the auspices of both the King County Building and Land Development Division of the Department of Parks, Planning, and Resources, and the Surface Water Management Division of the Department of Public Works. This inventory identified sites which experienced problems resulting from the movement of soil and debris. (Sites at which damage was due solely to flooding were not included.) By determining which geomorphic processes that are active during an intense rainstorm affect human lives and property, we hope to learn something about how to deal with those problems.

In late February 1990, I began to compile a list of locations at which problems occurred. Most of these sites were identified through complaints filed with the Surface Water Management Division and from a listing of work sites visited by county road crews. In addition, many sites were identified by other individuals working in the area. In all, 150 sites were identified. Field reconnaissance of nearly all of these sites followed over the next several weeks.

There were several objectives in making the field visits:

1. to locate and document the damage,
2. to identify the specific mass-wasting or erosional event or sequence of events that caused the problem, and
3. to obtain a physical description of the event(s), including:
   a. the mechanism or process by which material was moved,
   b. the type of material moved,
   c. the approximate volume of material moved,
   d. local environmental factors that contributed to the event, and
   e. human alterations to the local environment that may have played a role in causing the event or exacerbated the resulting problems.

At many sites only some of these objectives could be fulfilled because the damage had already been repaired or the debris removed, and the nature of the event was thus not readily ascertainable. At 90 sites, however, the problem could be traced to a specific mass-wasting or erosional event or series of events. This report presents a summary of observations for those 90 sites.

**GEOMORPHIC PROCESSES**
The field visits revealed that property damage attributed to the storm resulted from a consistent set of erosional mechanisms. These mechanisms are typical of this area for they reflect the susceptibility of the Puget Lowland's glacial sediments to certain types of erosional processes. These mechanisms fall into two categories: transport of material by landslides (rapid mass wasting) and transport of material by flowing water (surface-water runoff).

**Mass Wasting**
Landslides occur throughout the Puget Lowland during large rainstorms. Articles such as those of Tubbs (1972, 1974) and Thorsen (1987, 1989) describe the mechanisms involved and document the hazards they pose. For this inventory, mass-wasting events were subdivided into three types.

**Slides**
For this inventory, movement of unconsolidated material over a failure surface at fairly shallow depth was described as a slide. During the January storm, most failures of this type occurred above a more competent and less permeable layer of sediment. At most sites, the failure involved a layer of soil (as much as 1.5 m thick) and an accompanying mat of shallow-rooted vegetation. Such a slide is shown in Figure 2. Many steep cut slopes (such as roadcuts) lost a thin layer of weathered material from the surface. Numerous graded slopes lost a layer of fill material, which is used in many places to flatten or extend the top of a slope. A graded slope is shown in Figure 3; there, failure occurred in material placed on the slope to extend a residential lawn.

Slide deposits ranged in volume from tens to hundreds of cubic meters. Most were at the smaller end of the scale. The largest slide observed involved approximately 2,500 m³ of soil and organic debris.

At virtually every slide location there was a source of excess water. At most sites, the slide occurred at the...
Figure 1. Locations of sites damaged by the January 9, 1990, storm. The study was confined primarily to unincorporated, lowland areas of western King County; therefore, areas of damage within the limits of most towns and cities and to the east where land is used primarily for forest production and recreation do not appear on this map. Note that damage was widespread throughout the county. The incidence of damage does not reflect the strong gradient in rain intensity from north to south during the storm.
contact between surficial colluvium or fill and underlying, glacially consolidated or fine-grained sediments. Ground-water seepage at horizons above these less permeable sediments was evident in the failed zone. At many sites, seepage continued throughout the winter. At other sites, the slide occurred where surface water discharged onto a slope, such as at the outlet of a culvert. (Note the drainage pipe visible in Fig. 3.) At these sites, water continued to flow onto the slope after the slide and cut into the newly exposed surface.

The effect of a slide depends on its location. Damage at the headscarp is minimal in most instances (although homeowners who lose a meter of ground across the width of their backyard may argue that point). The greatest danger lies below. Even a small quantity of sliding material can be devastating to a structure or vehicle at the base of a slope, particularly if the slide brings with it a large tree. The slide shown in Figure 2 deposited about 20 m$^3$ of soil onto the driveway of a house below. Slides also have the potential to grow as they move, incorporating downslope material and, under certain conditions, developing into debris flows. The slide shown in Figure 3 started as a mass of about 100 m$^3$ at the top of the slope, grew into a debris flow on its way down the slope, and deposited about 4,000 m$^3$ of material on a highway and parking lot below.

**Slumps**

Slumps involve rotational movement of material over a curved failure surface. The failure surface is typically located deeper than in the case of a slide, and it may cut through fairly competent material. Movement of the slump can be rapid, with consequent disintegration of the slump block. Alternatively, movement can be gradual and long-term, consisting of small, episodic slips on the failure surface.

Slumps tend to be quite large because the failure surface may extend deep into the hillslope. During the storm of January 9, several large, pre-existing slumps were re-activated. Fortunately, most slumps associated with the storm were minor, involving tens to hundreds of cubic meters of material. Of these, most were along roadcuts.

Slumps typically occurred where the toe of the slope had been undercut, either by construction-related excavation or by stream incision. The slump shown in Figure 4 occurred where a stream had cut into its bank, undermining the toe of the slope. Water also played a role in triggering these events: water seeping into the soil adds weight that must be supported by the slope,

---

**Figure 2.** A small slide on a natural slope. This slide removed about 20 m$^3$ of material from the slope and deposited it near a house below. Slides like this were common and typically resulted in minor damage, but some, like that shown in Figure 3, grew into much large debris flows.

**Figure 3.** The headscarp of a slide crowning the top of a debris flow track. This scarp cuts the slope a scant 8 m from the foundation of a house. Failure occurred in fill material (and other debris) at the end of the yard. A drainage pipe which discharges onto the slope is visible to the right.
Figure 4. A slump that developed adjacent to an eroded stream channel. Here the failure threatens a house (upper left). Failures such as this can extend meters, even tens of meters, from the channel. This potential hazard should be considered when siting structures adjacent to streams.

and, once the soil column is saturated, pore-water pressure reduces frictional resistance of the soil to failure.

A disintegrating slump block poses the same dangers downslope as a slide. A slump, however, also poses significant hazard at the upslope end. The headscarp may extend meters, even tens of meters, from the crest of the hill. The scarp therefore poses a danger to roads, structures, and utilities at the top of the slope. (Note the house in Fig. 4.) Indeed, damage to a structure may be the first manifestation of a slump. In a house overlooking a recently incised streambed, doors that stuck in their no-longer-square doorjambs were the first clues that a slump had formed and shifted the foundation. Likewise, at another site, subsidence of a section of hilltop roadway signalled development of a slump.

Debris Flows

A debris flow is a mixture of water and failed material that behaves as a fluid. (For an excellent discussion of debris flows, see Costa, 1984.) Most debris flows start as slides. Where sufficient water is present, the slide may evolve into a flow as it tumbles downslope. A slide into a stream channel may form a debris flow which continues downstream. In the January 9 storm, most flows occurred on hills and traversed the length of the slope, depositing their debris loads at the base. Flows confined to narrow channels, however, traveled remarkably long distances over fairly gentle slopes. Several flows in stream channels traveled hundreds of meters from the point of initiation until they emptied onto the fan at the mouth of the stream. During the storm, debris flows transported surprisingly large objects—trees and boulders—and grew in volume downslope (or downstream) by incorporating material as they went. Evidence of erosion by debris flows was found along the steeper reaches of debris flow tracks over slopes of 15° and greater. Even on shallow slopes, vegetation was removed, leaving the stripped surface vulnerable to subsequent erosion. Debris flows stopped only when they reached slopes of 5° or less.

Debris flow deposits varied considerably in volume, depending primarily on the distance traveled. The volume of channelized debris flow deposits was difficult to estimate because of subsequent fluvial deposition and reworking (and removal of material by persons on whose property it was deposited). But, on the basis of the size of initiating slides and erosion of the channel, the larger flows must have incorporated many thousands of cubic meters of material. Deposits from unchannelized flows were typically a few hundred cubic meters or less, although several flows grew from initiating failures of approximately 100 m³ to form deposits of about 4,000 m³.

All the debris-flow tracks observed started at the site of a slide or slump. At about half the sites, debris flows developed from slides that occurred at a point of surface-water discharge onto a slope. All channelized flows observed were initiated by slides from the channel banks.

Property damage caused by debris flows was primarily in the area of deposition. Deposits of unchannelized flows typically blocked a road at the base of the slope and, at some places, damaged utility lines along the road. Deposits from channelized flows proved considerably more devastating. Channelized flows typically formed in deeply incised ravines and small tributaries along the walls of the major river valleys. These flows travelled the length of the channel to pour onto sediment fans formed at the mouth of the ravines. These fans have gentle, well-drained slopes, good road access, and overlook picturesque flood plains. Fans are, therefore, popular building sites. Unfortunately, for that reason, many homeowners learned first-hand of the sediment-transporting capacity of debris flows. Trees and boulders carried by debris flows posed a severe hazard to those in the path, and the mud and debris carried in these flows flooded entire city blocks and, because of the fluid nature of the flow, oozed virtually everywhere.

Debris flows coursing through a stream channel also strip the channel banks of vegetation. Figure 5 shows banks denuded by a passing debris flow. With the vegetation gone, the unprotected bed is readily eroded. As the channel is incised, bank failures ensue, and a further large volume of sediment is carried into
lower reaches of the stream and onto the fan. The additional influx of sediment exacerbates problems of burial and flooding already caused directly by the debris flow.

**Erosion by Water**

Problems at nearly half the sites resulted from erosion and transport of material by flowing water. In all instances, erosion occurred in channels—either by incision of new channels (gullies) or by deeper incision in existing stream channels.

**Channelized Surface-Water Runoff**

Unconsolidated glacial sediments, particularly the outwash deposits lining the major river valleys of the Puget Sound region, have proved particularly susceptible to erosion by flowing water. Figure 6 shows a newly formed gully cut by the January storm water in a deposit of mixed outwash sands and gravels. Such sediments were readily transported through channels draining the steep valley slopes. During this storm, gully formation in massive sands was truly spectacular—at one site new incision 15 m deep was measured.

Many channels, such as that illustrated in Figure 7, exhibited impressive knickpoints formed above fine-grained interbeds. New incision initiated slide- and slump-type failures of the banks, thereby supplying additional large volumes of sediment for transport through the channel. As shown in Figure 8, some gullies on stream banks were greatly enlarged by such failures, and many trees suffered in the process.

Channel erosion occurred on gradients as low as 3°, although reaches with gradients less than 4° typically experienced deposition rather than erosion. Deposition of coarse gravel and cobbles occurred in reaches that had gradients as steep as 10°.

More than half the events in this category could be traced directly to points of surface-water discharge onto a slope: culverts, road ditches, detention pond outlets, dispersion trenches, downspouts, and, in two places, broken water mains.
Property damage resulting from erosion by surface-water runoff was of two types: damage to structures (buildings, roadways, and utility lines) by bank failures following channel incision, and burial of property in zones of deposition. Deposition in channel reaches having low gradients, particularly in channels on alluvial fans, caused aggradation of the channel bed and subsequent shifting of the channel course. Parts of small stream channels were completely obliterated by deposition of material. An example is shown in Figure 9. Channel aggradation caused flooding and further deposition in areas previously considered (at least by those living there) safe from such problems.

**Dam-Break Floods**

Another type of storm event warrants its own subdivision: during the storm of January 9 at least two sites experienced dam-break floods. Natural dams are formed by landslides into a channel and by log jams at channel restrictions. When a dam blocking a channel fails, the water impounded upstream flows downstream, essentially as a single mass. A wave of water pours down the channel, removing sediment and vegetation as it goes. The flood wave carries a growing mass of sediment and organic debris with it, and may take on the characteristics of a debris flow.

The dam break events noted in King County occurred where roads crossed small streams. Water was impounded at these crossings because the culverts through the road embankments were either plugged or too small to carry the stream flow. When the embankments failed, the flood wave formed by the impounded water traveled downstream, eroding the channel bed and stripping vegetation from the channel floor and banks. These floods picked up huge quantities of sediment and debris, including many large trees (Fig. 10). At one site, flood material was dumped onto the fan (and several houses) at the mouth of the stream, and at the other, the flood was impounded again by a railroad embankment downstream.
human modifications to the environment favor certain (other) types of erosional processes.

We can begin to decipher this pattern by examining the causes of these events.

CAUSES OF THE EVENTS

Many natural factors conspire to produce spectacular erosional events during a large storm like that of January 9. The types of events cataloged in this study all have natural analogs in pristine environments unaffected by human development. However, only 16 percent of the events cataloged here appeared to be entirely natural. One goal of the inventory was to identify human alterations to the environment that may have triggered the event or increased the resulting damage. These alterations are divided into three categories:

1. Drainage: typically, concentrated discharge of water draining from an impermeable surface (roadway or rooftop) onto (or in places, into, by means of subsurface tiles) a slope.

2. Grading: changes to a slope by either removal or addition of material. For example, many slumps occurred where material had been excavated from the toe of a slope, and many slides involved fill material that had been placed on a slope.

3. Vegetation; removal or alteration of vegetation on a slope. Removal of vegetation causes the...
The numbers of events in each of these categories are illustrated in Figure 12. At 21 percent of the sites the immediate environment had been greatly altered by various types of human activities, but the cause of the event could not be definitely determined.

The strong association between human modifications and initiation of these events (noted at 84 percent of the sites cataloged) suggests that one consequence of development activities is an increase in the number of landslide and erosion events. There is evidence that much of this increase may arise from an increase in surface-water runoff. Compare the relative importance of runoff-related events for all sites (Fig. 11) with the relative importance of runoff-related events for only those sites at which no human cause was identified (Fig. 13). Although 14 examples (Fig. 13) are too few to provide reliable statistics, the difference between the figures is noteworthy: surface-water runoff is a much less important process among naturally occurring events. This observation reflects the impact of development on runoff processes. (Some documented effects of development on runoff in King County are discussed in Booth, 1989, 1990.) In western Washington, natural runoff occurs primarily as subsurface flow. Runoff patterns are changed by development-related activities. The major change is a great increase in the volume of water carried by surface runoff. A consequence of that change is the great increase in runoff-related damage, as is reflected in the proportions shown in Figure 11.

The results of this study may present an incomplete characterization of all erosion and mass wasting events that occurred during the storm because the intent was to evaluate only those events that caused property damage. I suspect, however, that any bias is small: observations made during the field visits indicate that, because of nearly ubiquitous development throughout surface to drain more quickly, and loss of deep roots reduces the resistance of the surface materials to sliding.

**Figure 11.** Partitioning of the 90 sites included in this study among the five types of events described in the text. Damage at each site was characterized as major or minor. Shading of the bars indicates the extent of major and minor damage associated with each type of event.

**HUMAN CAUSES OF MASS WASTING EVENTS**

**HUMAN CAUSES FOR WATER RUNOFF EROSION/DEPOSITION EVENTS**

**Figure 12.** Partitioning of the sites between human activities implicated in causing the events. Definitions of "drainage", "vegetation", and "grading" are given in the text. The question mark indicates that, despite evidence of great human influence, the cause of the event could not be unambiguously determined. "None" indicates that no human activity was directly involved in triggering the event.
Damage resulting from the storm can be divided into two broad categories: that resulting from the removal of material, and that resulting from the deposition of material. Damage due to loss of material occurred to structures or utilities formerly supported by that material. Likewise, loss of ground at the head of a landslide or at the banks of a stream was typically viewed as a "bad" occurrence. Such damages were localized and had an obvious cause. Damage caused by deposition, on the other hand, typically occurred far from the site of erosion. The source of sediment and the cause of the problem were commonly not obvious to those affected. Indeed, many were oblivious to the hazard prior to the event. Likewise, the downstream consequences were typically not obvious to someone at the source. Some homeowners were surprised to discover that the small slide in their backyard produced the deposit which blocked the highway below for a week, or that the 2 feet of gravel inundating their lawn came from a failed detention pond a mile up the road. Naturally, material lost from one site ended up elsewhere, so many events caused both types of damage, though not necessarily at the same site.

As shown in Figures 11, 12, and 13, damage was defined as major or minor. The division was subjective and somewhat arbitrary. I attempted to separate those events corrected by routine maintenance anticipated for any large storm (such as cleaning of the road ditches) from those causing unanticipated damage. To achieve consistency, the following criteria were used.

Damage was considered to be major if the event caused one or more of the following:
• damage to a structure,
• long-term consequences, such as destabilization of a slope near a structure, and
• effects on a large number of people.

Damage was defined as minor where the event:
• required removal of debris only, with no damage to a structure,
• had no long-term consequences, or affected only a few people.

In general, larger volumes of material were involved at sites of major damage than at places where minor damage was noted.

At 35 percent of the sites, damage was considered to be major. Most of the major damage caused by runoff events, debris flows, and dam-break floods resulted from deposition onto alluvial fans. In most instances, those living on the fans were unaware of the danger posed to them by the location of their homes. Yet, the very presence of a fan demonstrates that such depositional events are recurrent. Debris flows and flu­vial processes constitute very real (though intermittent) hazards on fans. (See, for example, Logan, 1989; Kellerhals and Church, 1990.) The events of January 9, 1990, were instrumental in bringing about the inclusion of fans as potential landslide hazard areas in the King County Sensitive Areas Ordinance (King County Department of Parks, Planning and Resources, 1990).

DISCUSSION

Many people affected by this storm claimed that, during the time they had lived in this area, they had never experienced such devastation from a single event. Yet, this storm was not particularly unusual. Recurrence intervals calculated for the 24 hour rainfall varied from 7 years in the north of the county to 33 years in the south. (This storm was, however, somewhat unusual in that it followed a fairly wet period; 96-hour recurrence intervals varied from 20 years in the north to 71 years in the south.) On the other hand, many people also admitted that the types of events described in this inventory had been occurring more commonly with each storm. The widespread damage of January 9 may be just a symptom of ongoing change.

Change is rapid in western King County. The area's population has increased 18.7 percent over the past decade—an increase of 240,000 people. All counties in the Puget Sound region are experiencing similar or greater increases in population. All these people must live and work someplace. Demand for space is pushing development throughout the region, often into potentially hazardous areas that are affected by the processes discussed above.

With each new house and road, we unavoidably change the way water flows through the landscape. In effect, we have changed the way the land responds to rainfall. Increased damage from a single storm, such as that of January 9, is only one sign of this change. The damage is cumulative. The consequences of the storm on January 9 did not end when the rain stopped. The exposure of erodible surfaces by mass wasting and run­off provided a ready target for erosion in the next storm; the incision of gullies and streams left unstable slopes waiting to be activated; the great flux of sedi-
ment acted to change the character of our rivers and streams. The same is true of the storms preceding that of January 9, of those that followed (such as that in November 1990), and of those that will follow.

This study shows several ways in which our landscape responds to the climate. To anticipate this response, we must acknowledge both the susceptibility of this landscape to certain geomorphic processes and the effect of our activities upon those processes. Decisions for land use can then be made with an awareness of the potential consequences.
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Your House Comes out of a Mine

Much of the raw material used in the construction of your home was furnished by the mining industry.

- The foundation probably is concrete (limestone, clay, shale, gypsum, and aggregate mining).
- The exterior walls may be made of brick (clay mining) or stone (dimension stone mining).
- The insulation in the walls may be glass wool (silica, feldspar, and trona mining) or expanded vermiculite (vermiculite mining).
- The interior walls are commonly wallboard (gypsum mining).
- The roof is covered with asphalt shingles. The filler in the shingles is from a variety of colored silicate minerals from mining.
- Your fireplace probably is of brick or stone, lined with a steel box (iron ore mining).
- Your water pipes are of iron or copper (iron and copper ore mining). Your sewer pipe is made of clay or iron pipe (clay and iron mining).
- Your electrical wiring is of copper or aluminum (copper and bauxite mining).
- Your sanitary facilities are made of porcelain (clay mining). Your plumbing fixtures and doorknobs are made of brass (copper and zinc mining) or stainless steel (iron, nickel, and chrome mining).
- Your gutters are of galvanized iron (iron and zinc ore mining).
- The paint on your walls is manufactured with mineral fillers and pigment.
- The glass in your windows is a product of trona, silica sand, and feldspar mining.

And finally, your mortgage is written on paper made with wood and cloth fibers filled with clay or coated with carbonate (quarrying).

Set in a glacier-carved valley on the north side of the Olympic Peninsula (Fig. 1), Lakes Crescent and Sutherland share a common heritage. For a time during the Holocene they were one large lake, "Ancient Lake Crescent" (Tabor, 1987, p. 98-99), whose basin had been eroded in the northern Olympic Mountains in late Pleistocene time by a lobe of the Cordilleran ice sheet. After the ice receded about 13,000 years ago, this lake drained eastward through the valley now occupied by Indian Creek and into the north-flowing Elwha River to the Strait of Juan de Fuca (Figs. 1 and 2).

Sometime after the ice retreated, a series of large landslides separated ancestral Lake Crescent into today's Lakes Crescent and Sutherland (cover photo), altering the regional drainage. Such natural blockages of drainage by landslides are common in mountain environments throughout the world (Costa and Schuster, 1988). As noted by Reagan (1909), Quileute Indian legend tells of a large piece of rock falling from Mount Storm King (Fig. 2) and blocking the stream that drained the area to the east. This slide was mapped by Brown and others (1960) and its extent expanded by Fiksdal and Brunengo (1980) by means of interpretation of aerial photography. Weaver (1937) recognized the dam of Lake Crescent as a landslide, but he stated only that "the conglomerates of the Lyre formation transgress unconformably over the Boundary shales and underlying Crescent formation immediately east of Lake Crescent." Loney (1951) also recognized the dam as a landslide. Tabor (1987) noted that most of the debris on the landslide surface came from the north, not from Mount Storm King. Our recent investigations reveal that the partition between the lakes is the result of large multiple rock slides and rock avalanches. The first of these came from the south and was followed by landslides from the north.

**LANDSLIDE BLOCKAGE OF ANCIENT LAKE CRESCENT**

At some time after the glacier ice receded from the area in latest Pleistocene time, a large mass of rock slid from Mount Storm King into ancient Lake Crescent from its southeast shore (Fig. 2). This slide was large enough to divide the ancestral lake into two smaller lakes. Because the western lake (Crescent) then had no outlet, its surface level rose until water spilled over the landslide dam into Lake Sutherland to the east. Drainage across the landslide ended when a series of landslides from the north (Fig. 2) overrode the older slide. The younger slides impounded Lake Crescent again and raised its level until water flowed over the
South-directed landslide deposits chiefly composed of sedimentary rock of the Lyre Formation

Landslide deposits from Mount Storm King consisting primarily of Crescent Formation basalt with or without sedimentary interbeds

**Figure 2.** Outlines of major landslides that separate Lake Crescent from Lake Sutherland. A, location of lateral tension cracks; B, location of the stump shown in Fig. 7; C, possible erosional scarp caused by paleodrainage across the ancestral landslide dam. Arrows indicate general direction of landslide movement. Numbers indicate the sequence of landslide events. 3, landslide that formed Saratoga Point; it is the youngest of the three major slides discussed in this text and has a radiocarbon age of 350 yr BP. 2, landslides that dammed Lake Crescent to its current elevation; these have a minimum age of 450-500 years on the basis of tree ring counts. 1, large Mount Storm King landslide that first divided ancestral Lake Crescent.

The landslides that originated on Mount Storm King can be differentiated from those that came from north of Lake Crescent on the basis of lithology and geomorphology. The Mount Storm King slide that dammed Lake Crescent is composed mainly of material from the Eocene Crescent Formation, which consists of basalt, a minor amount of basaltic sandstone, and shale. The basalts of the Crescent Formation underlie the base of the amphitheater-shaped depression on the north side of Mount Storm King, and the sedimentary units from this formation crop out along the south shore of Lake Sutherland. These sedimentary units were mapped nearby as Aldwell Formation by Brown and others (1960). Weaver (1937), however, considered some of these strata to be Crescent Formation interbeds. Rocks of the Mount Storm King landslide occur as large blocks that are separated by reverse, gouge-filled faults. The fault surfaces have lineations that dip at shallow angles toward the south, back into the side of the mountain (Fig. 3). This configuration suggests that
these blocks were thrust northward during rotational sliding.

Swales aligned parallel to the western edge of the slide scarp (site A, Fig. 2) are covered with old growth timber (Fig. 4). Tree-ring counts indicate these trees are 500-600 years old. We have interpreted the swales as partly filled ancient tension cracks.

Almost the entire surface of the Mount Storm King landslide that dammed Lake Crescent is covered by boulder-sized rubble (Fig. 5) of basalt of the Crescent Formation; no outcrops were observed. The antiquity of the slide is suggested by its relatively smooth topography. The younger slides from the north have much greater surface relief and are composed of conglomerates and coarse sandstones of the Lyre Formation. Large boulders, some several meters in diameter, are common on the surfaces of these slides. The landslide headwall scarps rise prominently above the north side of the landslide dam (Fig. 2).

The younger slides are also covered by old-growth timber. The stump shown in Figure 6 (at site B, Fig. 2) has 500-550 rings. It is rooted in the landslide that forms the eastern shore of Lake Crescent. Numbers on Figure 2 indicate the estimated relative ages of the landslides that make up the dam mass. These ages were assigned on the basis of morphology and radiocarbon dates.

Michael Butler, a ranger for Olympic National Park who helped us obtain wood for radiocarbon dating, has reported seeing a submerged tree during a dive in Lake Crescent between Barnes Point and Sledge Hammer Point. If this tree is rooted in a submerged but disturbed slope, then it suggests that the last landslide to dam the lake probably did not occur soon after the continental ice withdrew. A radiocarbon age from the tree should reveal the approximate date of the event that dammed the lake to its current elevation.

Figure 4. Swale interpreted to be a remnant of a lateral tension crack. Note old-growth trees.
EFFECT OF DAMMING ON DEVELOPMENT OF CUTTHROAT TROUT

An interesting consequence of the partitioning of ancient Lake Crescent is the influence it may have had on a local variety of cutthroat trout. Pierce (1984) described physical differences, particularly color and the number of basibranchial teeth, between the cutthroat trout in Lakes Crescent and Sutherland that may be the result of the two populations having been isolated from one another by the separation of the lakes. He attributed the differences to inbreeding in the separated populations.

It is possible that the Storm King landslide did not isolate the biological communities of the lakes for long; there is geologic evidence that drainage from Lake Crescent was re-established across the landslide soon after the lake level rose above the dam. This evidence consists of an abrupt scarp near the toe of the Mount Storm King landslide on the south edge of the landslide dam (site C, Fig. 2; Fig. 8) which probably is the result of erosion of the surface of the older landslide mass.

However, the younger landslide completely blocked drainage between the two lakes. Thus, if the age of the younger landslide can be established, a minimum date for isolation of the trout populations can be determined and a better understanding of the rate of evolution of these fish may be gained.

CAUSE OF LANDSLIDES

Nearly all landslides in western Washington have been caused by either (1) reduction of shear strength of geologic materials due to saturation resulting from rainfall or snowmelt, or (2) dynamic forces released by earthquakes. In our opinion, a high percentage of major rock slides and rock avalanches in western Washington has resulted from earthquake activity. Thus, we feel that there is a strong probability that the Lake Crescent/Lake Sutherland landslides were earthquake-triggered. Tabor (1987) described aligned faceted spurs and an adjacent zone of crushed rock as geomorphological evidence for a possible active fault in the Barnes Creek drainage (Fig. 2) on the south side of Mount Storm King. The Boundary Creek fault (Brown and others, 1960) passes beneath Lake Sutherland and the eastern end of Lake Crescent. Recent seismic activity in the area may be related to this fault as well (P. D. Snively, Jr., USGS, oral commun., 1991). Subduction-related earthquakes may be capable of causing large landslides, such as those found at Lake Crescent. Atwater (1987) has described geologic evidence of great earthquakes (Richter magnitude 8.0 or greater) along the Washington coast that have occurred during the Holocene.

Figure 5. Boulders on the surface of the Mount Storm King landslide that are small enough to be nearly obscured by slash from recent logging activity. No bedrock outcrops were found on this surface.

Figure 6. A 500- to 550-year-old stump on surface of landslide that dammed Crescent Lake to its present elevation. The slump location is indicated by the letter B on Figure 2.
CONCLUSIONS

Was ancient Lake Crescent divided by a series of earthquake induced landslides? We think so; however, it is not yet clear when the present configuration of the lakes was established, if seismic events were responsible for this configuration, and how many seismic events there were. Continued research on the geology of the landslides that dammed ancient Lake Crescent should yield a better understanding of the seismic history of the Pacific Northwest, as well as occasionally provide a good fish story.
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Geologic Work Grinds to a Halt: Politics and Finances - 1891-1893

By J. Eric Schuster

Editor's Note: This is the fourth in a series of articles recounting the history of the early years of Washington's geological survey.

While Eugene Ricksecker, Paul F. Riecker, and H. E. Parrish (assistant geologists) were working in their respective field areas in 1891 (see vol. 18, no. 4 of this newsletter), a crisis was brewing in Olympia. The first manifestations were fiscal, but the problem soon entered the political-legal arena.

By law, the officers of the Mining Bureau were to examine, audit, and allow all bills arising from the performance of the geologists' duties and present such bills to the State Auditor. Apparently, in the first weeks of the mineralogical and geological survey activities of 1891, the State Auditor had caused these bills to be paid out of the smaller appropriations of the Mining Bureau, not out of the $50,000 appropriation for making a mineralogical and geological survey of the state. When these appropriations were nearly exhausted, State Auditor Thomas M. Reed refused to issue any further warrants. Reed claimed that,

"...upon examination of the law creating a Mining Bureau, and defining its duties, approved February 25, 1890, I fail to find any provision whereby it is authorized to superintend a geological survey of the state, or is given the power to audit the claims incurred by parties engaged in the performance of such service...[and that]...the provision in the general appropriation act of March 7, 1891, making an appropriation for a geological and mineralogical survey of the state, does not designate any officer or commission whose duty it shall be to expend the same; and I am therefore of the opinion that the appropriation herein referred to cannot be drawn until further legislation has been had upon the subject" (Kreider, 1891, p. 492).

The mining Bureau maintained that it could draw on the larger appropriation and chose to submit the matter to the Supreme Court. In a decision dated June 26, 1891, the Supreme Court upheld the interpretation of the State Auditor. With that decision, the attempt to pursue a geological and mineralogical survey of the state was effectively at an end.

While the money matters were being contested by the Mining Bureau and the State Auditor, the field parties were thrown into difficult circumstances because their bills had been left unpaid. Ricksecker wrote to A. A. Lindsley from Conconully on July 7:

"Dear Sir—Yesterday I wired you: 'Instructions received; can't move without an attachment being served on personal and state property.' To-day I wire you: 'Attachment served on me to-day; hearing set for 13th.'"

"The sheriff interviewed me this morning at 6 o'clock and seized five horses to cover an indebtedness of $66. I intended riding out with the freight team. None of my men have money, nor have I, for that matter. No state property has been seized yet, although threatened. Hardenbergh brought suit in company with Dickson. Am at a loss what policy to pursue here. The horses will be taken by the original owners if I do not appear at the hearing, and I do not see how I can stop them by appearing. This is the most outrageous thing I ever got into, and I sincerely trust that you will be successful in the efforts I hear you are making to cancel our indebtedness without delay" (Laughton and Lindsley, 1892, p. 12-13).

Parrish's plight apparently was worse; he wrote Lindsley from Carbonado on July 7 (Laughton and Lindsley, 1892, p. 40-41):

"Dear Sir—Enclosed you will find vouchers up to date. I shall have detailed report and maps ready to forward in a few days. It is absolutely necessary that I should receive money for my men at once, also for the cook. The men cannot go into town, as they have not a cent, and would be arrested for indecent exposure if they did. They are indeed in bad shape and must have assistance."

"Kindly let me hear from you at once, and oblige yours respectfully,

"H. E. Parrish
"Assistant Geologist"

C. E. Laughton (Mining Bureau President) and Lindsley (1892, p. 42-43) ordered the field parties to cease work, recalled the assistant geologists to Olympia, and advanced personal funds "...to meet the immediate requirements of those employes [sic] most in need of help..." The unpaid debts were finally settled by a deficiency appropriation of $8,000 made by the 1893 legislature (Price, 1893, p. 277).

The reaction of the Mining Bureau to the denial of access to the $50,000 appropriation and the legal decision that terminated geologic investigations is recorded in their annual report (Laughton and Lindsley, 1892, p. 42), addressed to Governor Ferry, as follows:

"We do not desire in this report to enter into an argument as to the merits of the question involved, and so summarily dismissed by the supreme court; but, for the best interests of those engaged in mining, whose number is being augmented daily, and for the development of an industry which we believe will be the most potent factor in the progress and prosperity of the state, we regret that the so evident intent of the legislature should have been ignored and that the statute was construed according to the strict letter of the law and not the spirit of the law."

G. A. Bethune (1892, p. 9-10), in his second annual report, which was addressed to Governor Ferry and the members of the State Mining Bureau, said of the matter:

"Acting upon the fact that this appropriation had passed both houses, and had been, therefore, recommended to the acting governor for his approval and
approved by him, with the cooperation of the state mining bureau, I, as state geologist, completed the necessary preparatory work; and actual field operations were in progress, when the measure appropriating such sum for such geological survey was by our honorable supreme court declared illegal, therefore null and void. An unfortunate omission in the verbiage of the bill brought about this lamentable denouement to a plan certainly improvised for the benefit of our commonwealth, and one which, completed, no doubt would have been resultant in profit to our state, in even a far greater measure than the most sanguine could have anticipated.

"Cumulative results of the defeat of the plan of a geological survey of the state, I have here to say, were productive of great injury to this office; injury to its power for good, its reputation among those for whose especial benefit it was created, and to its general efficiency. In short, I feel at liberty here to say, that co-incident with the defeat of a plan having for its object a geological survey of Washington, is presented the apparent fact that, for some time to come at least, the usefulness of a state geologist and the maintenance of a state mining bureau are at once at an end, and may in no way be made conducive to the public weal.

"Despite the facts I have hereinbefore recited, I have to report that, as during the previous year, I have given my undivided attention to the demands of the state from a mineralogical standpoint, in so far as I have been, alone and unaided, able to do. Despite hindrances innumerable, annoyances indescribable, and laboring under a stigma attached to both the mining bureau and this office by reason of events of a character political and in no way attributable to the conduct at least of this office, I have pursued my work without cessation, and in order that the affairs and operations of this office may be more fully illustrated to you, append this, my second annual report."

Bethune's harsh language in the last paragraph above suggests that problems had arisen that extended beyond the legal difficulties and the termination of the work of the Mining Bureau and State Geologist. This is confirmed by the following articles from the Spokane newspaper. The first is dated February 5, 1892.

"NO STATE GEOLOGIST"

"Mr. Bethune's Removal Leaves a Vacancy."

"IT MAY NOT BE FILLED NOW"

"The Unpleasantness Between the Lieutenant Governor and Mr. Bethune Culminates."

"OLYMPIA Feb. 4. -- [Special.] -- The disagreement between Lieutenant Governor Laughton and Treasurer Lindley of the mining bureau, and State Geologist Bethune, of which there have been many rumors, culminated today, when Messrs. Laughton and Lindley, after holding a meeting of the bureau, telegraphed Mr. Bethune at Tacoma to come to Olympia tomorrow to present his annual report and be relieved from future duty. Bethune replied that owing to the illness of his wife he could not come until Monday.

"On December 5 last the state geologist's bondsman, B. R. Everett of Tacoma and W. B. Keller of Orting, filed notice with the mining bureau that they would not serve as his sureties longer than the 30 days required by law for the giving of notice of withdrawal from bonds. Mr. Bethune has therefore had no sureties since the 5th of January, but as no meeting of the bureau had been held since the notice was filed, until today no action was taken with reference to it.

"Lieutenant Governor Laughton said this evening, relative to Bethune's status:

" 'Mr. Bethune is no longer even state geologist de facto, as his bond lapse 30 days ago. We sent for him this afternoon and have been informed by him that he will be here on Monday. We expect him to formally file his resignation then, and it will be accepted. No appointment will be made to fill the vacancy, that is, I am not in favor of filling the office, though Governor Ferry and Mr. Lindley, the other members of the bureau, may, of course, decide to appoint a successor to Mr. Bethune, but I presume not. The work of the mining bureau has been so hampered by various causes that it is little more than a farce to have a state geologist at present. The bureau will make its annual report next week, and its work will be definitely closed.'"

" 'Were the bureau in a position to continue its work would Bethune be retained in office?' was asked.

" 'No,' replied the lieutenant governor, 'in that case the board would exercise the authority given it by law of removing Mr. Bethune if his lapse of bond or resignation did not render such action necessary.'"

"Mr. Bethune and Lieutenant Governor Laughton have not been in accord for several months and the geologist has been free in his criticisms of the lieutenant governor. Mr. Laughton this evening declined to express his opinion of Mr. Bethune. It was evident, though, that his opinion was not as high as it used to be. The lieutenant governor will close his residence here on March 1 and remove his family to Conconully.' (Spokane Review, Feb. 5, 1892)"
Then, on February 9:

"GEOLOGIST BETHUNE

"That Gentleman Finally Gets to Olympia.

"BUT HE HAD NO REPORT READY

"An Evasive Answer to the Question as to Whether He Intends to Resign.

"OLYMPIA, Feb. 8 -- [Special.] -- When the mining bureau wired the state geologist on Thursday to come to Olympia next day with his annual report he replied that he would come on Monday. He kept the appointment, but did not present his report. A meeting of the bureau was held by Lieutenant Governor Laughton and Treasurer Lindsley, which Bethune attended. The geologist asked for further time in which to make his report and was granted it, and it is the understanding that he will resign when he gets the report in shape to file it.

"After the meeting Bethune was asked by the correspondent when he expected to finish his report. He replied that he was endeavoring to make this year's report far different from his first one, to make it, in fact, of great geological worth. To make it complete he said that he desired to examine the soil in the neighborhood of Olympia and to inspect some Lewis county clays. He thought he might be able to do this in 30 days, unless rain delayed him. When asked if he would resign when he handed in his report, he would not reply directly, but inquired: 'What do I want of the office and its $100 per month? Of what consequence is it to a man of my ability and experience?' He said he had no official notice of the withdrawal of his bondsmen, and added: 'Whatever ill-feeling there may have been between Governor Laughton and me was caused by unreliable newspaper reports of what we have said of each other, but we are in harmony now.'

"But notwithstanding the statement of Mr. Bethune, it is apparent that the lieutenant governor has not resumed harmonious relations with him, and will not. Bethune speaks scornfully of his office, but he nevertheless manifests a tenacious disposition to hold on to it. Messrs. Laughton and Bethune left for Tacoma together this evening." (Spokane Review, Feb. 9, 1892)

And finally, on March 1:

"BETHUNE IS OUT.

"Washington Now Has No State Geologist.

"OLYMPIA, Wash., Feb. 29. -- [Special.] -- State Geologist Bethune closed up his accounts with the state today, received a warrant for his February salary and retired from office. His resignation, which was filed some time ago, will formally go into effect tomorrow. His report will be issued in a few days. The report of the mining bureau, however, will not be published for some time, as the bureau will issue with the report the maps made by the deputy geologists while in the field and the lithographing of the maps will delay the publication. No successor to Bethune will be appointed." (Spokane Review, March 1, 1892)

While these newspaper articles record the fact that Laughton and Bethune were in disagreement, they reveal little about the nature of the disagreement. Wilson (1985, p. 28) records that Laughton was not politically popular, and that his troubles began in the first state legislative session (November 1889 - March 1890) when, as Lieutenant Governor, he presided over the Senate:

"Immediately Laughton, who seemed to have been almost universally popular in Nevada [where he had been Lieutenant Governor], became a center of controversy. His Senate committee appointments created an uproar. Lieutenant Governor was criticized by members who had tried to relieve him of his authority to make the appointments and by members who did not get the chairmanships they desired. The Seattle Post-Intelligencer [issue of November 26, 1889, p. 1] blasted his 'tricky movements'. It was with difficulty that Laughton found enough support to table a bill which would have abolished his office.

Laughton was Acting Governor during the 1891 legislative session because Governor Ferry was in California for reasons of health (Wilson, 1985, p. 29). His actions following this legislative session made him even more unpopular (Wilson, 1985, p. 31):

"The second legislative session lasted 60 days and ended on March 7, 1891, without Governor Ferry ever having returned. Laughton broke out his violin and played 'good danceable tunes' at the sine die party in the luxurious new Hotel Olympia. Then he began dropping bombshells. The steamer Bailey Gatzert, with most of the legislators aboard, had barely departed from Budd Inlet when Laughton vetoed three highly popular bills:

- Senate Bill 156 requiring railroad companies to construct and maintain connections from one railroad to another;
- House Bill 16 requiring railroad companies to fence their tracks;
- Senate Bill 19 making it unlawful to 'organize, maintain or employ' an armed body of men in this state.

"A day later, he vetoed House Bill 243, a farmers' bill mandating favorable railroad freight rates for 'wheat, barley, flour, other millstuffs, potatoes, melons and hay' when the shipments originated in Washington State.

"Criticisms exploded from one border to the other.'

Laughton never recovered from this criticism, and his political career ended when he was unable to win a seat in the House of Representatives from Okanogan County in the 1892 election (Wilson, 1985, p. 32). Perhaps the disagreement between Laughton and Bethune was just a specific example of the general turmoil in which Laughton was immersed as Lieutenant Governor.

With the grand scheme of the Mining Bureau at an end, it remained for Lieutenant Governor and Mining Bureau President Laughton and Mining Bureau Secretary Lindsley to determine what to do. They (1892, p. 42-43) record their actions as follows:

"Upon the filing of the judgment of the supreme court, the Mining Bureau notified the assistant geologists of its purport and instructed them to cease work, to ship all state property to Olympia, and to render to the Mining Bureau their final accounts and
reports. These instructions were complied with, and Messrs. Ricksecker, Riecker and Parrish reported to the Mining Bureau in Olympia. At this juncture the Mining Bureau found itself in a most embarrassing position. The men in the field had been without money for nearly two months; creditors who had furnished supplies and subsistence were importunate, and in some cases the men employed were entirely destitute, without money, clothing or food; they were far removed from lines of railway travel and populous centers; they could neither obtain employment nor reach places where employment might be found. The integrity of the state and of the individuals composing the Mining Bureau was assailed and criticized. The president and secretary of the Mining Bureau personally advanced a sufficient sum of money to meet the immediate requirements of those employees [sic] most in need of help, and the question of liquidation of the indebtedness incurred demanded immediate consideration.

"...We have used nearly all of the balance of the appropriation for incidental expenses in part payment of claims incurred by reason of the survey. Certificates have been issued by the Mining Bureau to individual creditors for the obligations incurred by our order, amounting in the aggregate to $6,142.22. For this indebtedness there is no relief except by legislation authorizing its payment. We therefore respectfully request you [Governor Ferry] to call the attention of the legislature, when next in session, to this mat-

The perspective drawing above is taken from one of the plates in the first annual report of the Mining Bureau of the State of Washington, by C. E. Laughton and A. A. Lindsley. [See references, this article.] Dominion Hill, northeast of Colville.
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ter, to the end that immediate and favorable action may be taken and the credit of the state and its officers, while honestly engaged in duties prescribed by law, be maintained."

The 1893 legislature did provide financial relief in the form of a deficiency appropriation, as noted above. It also, in the same bill, provided a small deficiency appropriation for the State Geologist:

"For deficiency in appropriation for rent of office for state geologist for fiscal term ending March 31, 1893, to be paid to B. and C. S. Barlow, two hundred and twenty-five dollars ($225.00)" (Price, 1893, p. 278).

The same legislature appropriated funds for the Mining Bureau and State Geologist for the fiscal term beginning April 1, 1893, and ending March 31, 1895, as follows: $4,800 for salary of State Geologist at $2,400 per year (double the previous salary), $500 for chemicals at $250 per year, $2,000 for contingent and traveling expenses of State Geologist and Mining Bureau at $1,000 per year, and $600 for rent of office at $300 per year, for a total of $7,900 (Price, 1893, p. 454). However, Governor McGraw vetoed this appropriation, along with a number of others, noting that to do otherwise would involve incurring a deficit so

in Stevens County, is now known as Old Dominon Mountain; the view is to the east from Colville Mountain. The buildings in the center foreground are Fort Colville, and the area around the fort is Garrison Flat. The artist was William Bull.
large as to be unconstitutional, while admitting that all of the items vetoed

"may be worthy and proper subjects for legislative appropriation whenever the income of the state and the provisions of the constitution may warrant their approval" (Price, 1893, p. 460-461).

Their frustration at the demise of the enterprise, as well as their hopes for the future, are probably best summed up by Laughton and Lindsley’s conclusion to their first annual report (1892, p. 45-46):

“We are living in an age of progressive thought and of practical scientific research. Blind groping in darkness without the guiding light of intelligent information will accomplish but little in the development of the manifold resources with which our state is abundantly endowed. The arguments in favor of the continuation of the work of the Mining Bureau are legion. Investors and settlers—particularly foreign capitalists, prior to investment, desire a knowledge of the constitute elements of the soil and its geological formation, for upon this knowledge they base opinions as to the existence of the precious and other metals as well as the cultivation of fruits, vegetables, cereals and all other agricultural commodities. The direct object sought to be attained by the Mining Bureau is to place the mining interests upon a scientific basis which will enable prospectors to form intelligent opinions as to the existence of and where to search for the precious metals; to so guide the intending settler, by careful analysis of soils, that our immense unoccupied areas of arable land may be dotted with happy homes; and it emphatically and above all should be the advertising bureau of the magnificently rich natural resources of Washington. These purposes fully attained would invite an unprecedented immigration of a most desirable class of citizens, and our almost exhaustless deposits of coal, iron, lead, silver and gold would attract the investment of such amounts of capital that the return to the state by reason of the increase of taxable values would equal the amounts appropriated, and to be appropriated by the legislature.

“The investigation of the Mining Bureau, hampered as it has been by adverse and senseless criticism, and by a lack of co-operation upon the part of some who should have given its workings their attentive interest, has, notwithstanding, developed the existence within our state of an empire of mineral wealth, with which the world was little acquainted until by the wisdom of our legislature the Mining Bureau was established.

“The discovery of anthracite coal, asbestos, cement—equal in value to the Portland—fire and potters’ clay, marble, both white and variegated, as well as immense deposits of clay containing a large percentage of aluminium, are only a part of the results already attained.

“The proper presentation to the public of these riches, which can only be accomplished by scientific examination and investigation, remains an unfinished work of the Mining Bureau. But we have such a profound respect for the intelligence of the people’s representatives that we confidently submit the matter to the members of the next legislature, feeling assured that they will so wisely legislate upon this important question that Washington will take rank, as is her place, among the first of the rich sisterhood of states.

“Chas. E. Laughton, President,
“A. A. Lindsley, Secretary,
“Mining Bureau, State of Washington.”

Washington’s first attempt to deal systematically with its geology and mineral resources had ended, not a victim of legislative or executive disapproval or of a lack of appreciation of its potential value, but because it was involved in a legal test that constituted part of the “shakedown” or definition of roles in a newly formed state government.
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Selected Additions to the Library of the Division of Geology and Earth Resources

November 1990 through January 1991

THESES


U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORTS

Published reports


Open-file reports and Water-Resources Investigations reports


GEOLoGY AND MINERAL RESOURCES OF WASHINGTON (and related topics)


Manson, C. J., compiler, 1990, Bibliography of the geology and mineral resources of... County, Washington: Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources. NOTE: Counties for which these bibliographies are available: Chelan, Clallam, Clark, Cowlitz, Island, Jefferson, King, Kittitas, Lewis, Mason, Pierce, San Juan, Skagit, Snohomish, Spokane, Thurston, Whatcom, and Yakima.


GEOLoGY OF ADJACENT AREAS


MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS


RECENTLY ACQUIRED JOURNAL SUBSCRIPTIONS
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Crisis in Geoscience Education (cont'd from p. 2)

mathematics, and engineering disciplines is especially critical.

In Washington, two programs already exist to provide training to science teachers in the fields of geology and mineral economics. One is sponsored by the Northwest Mining Association, and the other is housed at Western Washington University. These organizations provide one-week workshops during the summer. Only a limited number of teachers have been able to take advantage of these opportunities.

In response to the needs outlined, the state geologist is participating in a private-sector partnership to improve earth-science education in Washington. Under the auspices of the North Pacific Chapter of the Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, an Education Committee has been formed. Its members are:

Leigh A. Readly, chairman (Geological and Exploration Associates, Kirkland)
Raymond Lasmanis (State Geologist, Div. of Geology and Earth Resources, Dept. of Natural Resources, Olympia)
Grant R. Newport (Manager, Coal and Minerals, Weyerhaeuser Company, Tacoma)
Robert A. Christman, (professor, Geology Department, Western Washington University, Bellingham)
Ann L. Babcock (teacher, Bellingham School District, Bellingham)
Lona L. Pithan (Director of Curriculum, Educational Service District, Mt. Vernon)
Sheryl L. Schaaf (teacher, Forks Middle School, Forks)
Jane Crowder, (teacher, Pine Lake Middle School, Issaquah)

The Education Committee has been in close contact with the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction and has the office’s support. To assist the committee, an advisory board has been formed. It consists of:

David A. Kennedy (Program Supervisor, Science and Mathematics Curriculum Section, Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Olympia)

LOCATION OF DIVISION OFFICE IN OLYMPIA

Sam Throm (President, Washington Science Teachers Association, Renton)
Carl Haywood (Program Manager, Government, Education, and Mining Program, Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Littleton, CO)
Felix Mutschler (professor, Department of Geology, Eastern Washington University, Cheney)

The intermediate-term goals of the Education Committee are to design a set of integrated teaching materials, including lesson plans, activity books, and mineral-rock-fossil kits. The focus will be on grades 3, 4, 8, and 9. Following kit design, there would be a period of field testing. A field-trip training course would be developed, for both short, local trips and extended (several day) trips. A field trip for middle school teachers conducted in 1989 by the state geologist will be refined. Short courses, master slide sets, ore-metal-fabricated material sets, and other educational material will be developed.

In the long term, the objective will be to train as many teachers as possible in the geosciences and thus rekindle an interest in the geology of Washington, its resources, and geologic hazards. Both self-directed and guided field trips would be available, and a complete set of integrated teaching materials from grades K through 12 would be provided.

Such an ambitious undertaking will require considerable resources. To that end, the Education Committee has submitted a grant request to a special section of the National Science Foundation (NSF): the Directorate of Education and Human Resources, Division of Teacher Preparation and Enhancement. Matching contributions, both in-kind services and cash, will be necessary to secure the NSF grant. The Education Committee is approaching corporations and foundations for assistance in this regard.

The time has come to reverse the negative trends in science, engineering, and mathematics education in the United States. As State Geologist, I will be doing all I can for this worthwhile endeavor. I look forward to support from all concerned parties.
New Division Releases

Geologic and geophysical mapping of Washington, 1984 through 1990, and, Theses on the geology of Washington, 1986 through 1990, Open File Report 91-1, compiled by Connie J. Manson. 32 p. and 8 plates, which show locations of mapped areas by map scale. This report supersedes Open File Report 90-3, which will no longer be offered for sale. The price of the new report is $2.32 + .18 (tax for Washington residents only) = $2.50.

An updated list of Division publications (January 1991) is now available, free of charge.

We have recently prepared two flyers: Selected references on Washington geology for teachers and students, and Dinosaur bibliography for teachers and students. The materials listed are suitable for elementary and middle schools. The flyers are free, but not available in large quantities; teachers or school librarians may wish to order just a few and make copies for further distribution.

Please add $1 to each order for postage and handling. Our mailing address is given on page 2 of this publication.

We would appreciate having your Zip Code and the four-digit extension for your address with your correspondence.