

# Asset Management



### FINAL ASSET STEWARDSHIP PLAN

January 1998



#### Plan

 Profiles the assets and sets key benefits and future direction set against key questions

#### External players

- Portfolio Advisory Group
- Beneficiary Group
- Key Publics Group

#### Strategies (Board adopted)

- Set strategies by category
  - Entirety of the land holdings
  - Related to specific trusts
  - Asset-related business practices of the department

#### Early 2000s

- Early 2000s- with the asset stewardship plan, transition lands plan, and strategic leadership the Asset Management Council (AMC) was created
- The AMC dissolved as administrations changed and priorities shifted

#### Today

 Leadership and staff are rebuilding fundamental concepts from the AMC

#### RISK: What level of risk is tolerable?

- How speculative should DNR be?
- How aggressive should DNR be in trying to predict future development trends and positioning assets to capture potential returns?

How should the portfolio be repositioned to respond to business cycles?

### **CORE BUSINESSES:** What types of businesses should DNR be in and how should that affect the portfolio of assets?

- Is DNR a land management "company" or a portfolio manager? How should that drive the management of the asset portfolio?
- What types of businesses would the DNR engage in, either by itself or in partnership?
- Does the portfolio need to be focused on particular current and potential markets?

Should the scale and breadth of the portfolio be used to create new markets

## Asset Stewardship

Anticipated outcomes of the Board's work

#### Modernizing the asset stewardship strategies

- Ensure that state lands provide the same, or even greater, benefits in the future as today
- Build upon the programs successes and expand
- Modernize the Asset Stewardship Plan (1998)
- Optimize the entire portfolio (land and funds)

### Interconnection

Draft subject to change



