

1 **FOREST PRACTICES BOARD**
2 **Regular Board Meeting**
3 May 12, 2015
4 Natural Resources Building, Room 172
5 Olympia, Washington
6

7 **Members Present**

8 Aaron Everett, Chair, Department of Natural Resources
9 Bill Little, Timber Products Union Representative
10 Bob Guenther, General Public Member/Small Forest Landowner (participated 9-11:40 a.m.)
11 Brent Davies, General Public Member
12 Carmen Smith, General Public Member/Independent Logging Contractor
13 Court Stanley, General Public Member
14 Dave Somers, Snohomish County Commissioner
15 David Herrera, General Public Member (participated by telephone)
16 Joe Stohr, Designee for Director, Department of Fish and Wildlife (participated 9-3:10 p.m.)
17 Heather Ballash, Designee for Director, Department of Commerce
18 Patrick Capper, Designee for Director, Department of Agriculture
19 Tom Laurie, Designee for Director, Department of Ecology
20

21 **Members Absent**

22 Paula Swedeen, General Public Member
23

24 **Staff**

25 Chris Hanlon-Meyer, Forest Practices Division Manager
26 Marc Engel, Forest Practices Assistant Division Manager
27 Patricia Anderson, Rules Coordinator
28 Phil Ferester, Senior Counsel
29

30 **WELCOME AND CALL TO ORDER**

31 Aaron Everett called the Forest Practices Board (FPB or Board) meeting to order at 9 a.m.
32

33 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**
34

35 **MOTION:** Bob Guenther moved the Forest Practices Board approve the February 10-12, 2015
36 meeting minutes.
37

38 **SECONDED:** Court Stanley
39

40 Brent Davis suggested that the word “policy” be added before “recommendation to page 6, line 28.”
41

42 **ACTION:** Motion passed unanimously.
43

44 **REPORT FROM CHAIR**

45 Everett acknowledged Kirk Cook, Department of Agriculture, for his service on the Board and
46 welcomed his replacement, Patrick Capper.
47

1 Everett also acknowledged Stephen Bernath's work as TFW Policy Committee co-chair for the past
2 six years. He will be stepping down after the May or June TFW Policy Committee meeting.

3 4 **GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT**

5 Mary Scurlock, conservation caucus, said that no work has been done on the Type N board manual
6 for over a year, and that discussions were at an impasse. She suggested the Board direct DNR to
7 initiate a Board Manual process because TFW Policy consensus is not required and stakeholder input
8 would still occur. The Conservation Caucus recommends this course rather than following dispute
9 resolution at Policy because adequate data is available for DNR to act. She said dispute resolution
10 would be resource intensive and unlikely to produce consensus.

11
12 Chris Mendoza, conservation caucus, said that the identification of the uppermost point of perennial
13 flow has been an issue for a long time. He said it is not an issue with the data rather its Policy
14 members not able to agree on how to use the data to develop the wet season method. He indicated
15 much frustration within the technical committees in putting in all the work and then stopping at TFW
16 Policy with no good reason and not moving it forward to the Board.

17
18 Karen Terwilleger, Washington Forest Protection Association (WFPA), stated that a
19 technical/science group dedicated to the Type F issue is needed in order to do the policy work that
20 needs to be done. She said science is extremely critical in this process especially determining a
21 solution for the large water type issues. She also mentioned that the key study Chris Mendoza
22 referred to for Type N focused on an issue relating to finding the upper most point of perennial flow
23 but looked at only one methodology. She said the dispute has to do with the methodology to identify
24 a default method to locate the upper most point of perennial flow during the wet season not that TFW
25 Policy cannot decide on what to do with the data.

26
27 Peter Goldman, WFLC, expressed concern with the lack of progress on a permanent water typing
28 rule. He said TFW Policy has been grappling with this for almost 2½ years. He said most caucuses
29 agree on the parameters of what a rule should look like and asked the Board to direct TFW Policy to
30 bring a majority and minority report to the Board in November. He indicated that they would soon
31 release a White Paper to TFW Policy and the Board explaining why the existing interim water typing
32 rule is not biologically adequate to the extent it permits take and excludes potential fish habitat.

33 34 **STAFF REPORTS**

35 Joe Stohr expressed his frustrations with the adaptive management program and the pace for the work
36 on stream typing where decisions take 10-20 years to make and questioned if there is something else
37 the Board could do to expedite the process or if there was some other process to put in place.

38 39 Adaptive Management

40 Hans Berge, DNR, updated the Board on the water typing model evaluation using LiDAR. He also
41 provided an update on CMER's work on the Hard Rock Study.

42 43 Small Forest Landowner Advisory Committee and Small Forest Landowner Office

44 Tami Miketa, DNR, reported on the small forest landowner communities RMAP progress and how
45 they are in meeting the requirements of RMAPs. She indicated in preparation for a small forest
46 landowner's roads report it appears that good management practices are being taken on small
47 landowner roads, the report providing an overview on the status of small forest landowner's RMAP
48 obligations will be available later this year.

1 She said the biggest challenge faced in performing the roads surveys was landowners not wanting
2 “government employees” on their property.

3
4 Tom Laurie asked what the percentage of roads owned by small versus large forest landowners is and
5 Miketa said it is unknown. She added the answer to this is a challenge.

6
7 Court Stanley asked if Washington Farm Forestry Association (WFFA) could help. Miketa responded
8 WFFA is helping do outreach with the one dedicated DNR staff person working on this which has
9 been helpful.

10 11 Northern Spotted Owl Conservation Advisory Group

12 The Board considered whether this group should continue and they agreed it should.

13
14 Joe Stohr stated that Washington Dept. of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) has had the northern spotted
15 owl (NSO) on its review list for state listing and the review is expected to be completed by the end of
16 2015. He suggested a presentation to the Board on the review at the February 2016 meeting. He also
17 said that the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) are also looking at the listing status and looking
18 at a barred owl pilot project that may help the NSO.

19
20 Stohr agreed with Everett to have a “process check-in” at the August meeting in order to keep this
21 topic front and center. Everett also suggested having USFWS provide an update on their process of
22 the species status review and the barred owl experiments.

23
24 No further discussion on the following staff reports:

- 25 • Board Manual Development
- 26 • Compliance Monitoring
- 27 • Rule Making Activity & 2014 Work Plan
- 28 • Upland Wildlife Working Group

29 30 **LEGISLATIVE UPDATE**

31 Chris Hanlon-Meyer, DNR, reported the only bill to pass that affects the Forest Practices program is
32 Senate Bill 5088 requiring expansion of LiDAR mapping of geologic hazards. He also reviewed the
33 status of DNR’s requested budget enhancements. He also recognized all the work done by DNR and
34 the Timber, Fish and Wildlife collaborators to get the Adaptive Management Program fully funded in
35 the Governor’s, House and Senate proposed budgets.

36 37 **BOARD MANUAL SECTION 16 PROGRESS**

38 Marc Ratcliff, DNR, said the stakeholder group is working to incorporate guidance for identifying
39 methods for assessing run-out potential for unstable slopes and are well into drafting guidance for
40 estimating run-out distances.

41
42 He said since the landslide risk can involve initial screening and possibly an in-depth analysis, it is
43 important to provide guidance for both the general practitioner and the qualified expert. As a result,
44 the group decided to provide an initial framework for the general practitioner to use for determining
45 possible run-out distances for particular landslides. He also said that brief descriptions of specific
46 methodologies will be included which will provide the qualified experts with options for determining
47 the appropriate method depending on geographical location and landslide risk.

1 He said the methods for calculating distances for run-out will be for shallow rapid landslides only.
2 These are by far the most frequent landslide types observed on the landscape, they cause the most
3 damage, and the parameters for initiation can be calculated somewhat readily.
4

5 He concluded that given the expectation of this group and the fact that they have day jobs apart from
6 this work group, the commitment is impressive.
7

8 Marc Engel responded there is no data available to Everett's questions regarding methods to calculate
9 runout for deep-seated landslides. .
10

11 Engel said DNR would like to field test the manual before Board approval but would not have time
12 before the August Board meeting and requested the Board delay their review and approval for their
13 November meeting.
14

15 Everett noted the concern of timeline but was not willing to change the due date. He said at the
16 August meeting the Board can reevaluate the situation.
17

18 **TFW POLICY COMMITTEE'S WORK PRIORITIES**

19 Stephen Bernath, co-chair reported that in addition to the Type N and F priorities, Policy has worked
20 on the following:

- 21 • Bull trout overlay
- 22 • Small forest landowner template proposal
- 23 • Schedule Nancy Sturhan to conduct Adaptive Management training for TFW Policy members.
24

25 He said that nothing has occurred on the Type N issue since 2013 due to other priorities and lack of
26 consensus on a pathway forward.
27

28 Adrian Miller, co-chair, reported on progress made with Type F which included field trips, identified
29 concerns with the rules, initiated a literature review on the use of electro-fishing and mentioned that
30 DNR will submit a proposal initiation for a concept for off-channel habitat.
31

32 Hans Berge, DNR described what electrofishing is and provided an overview on the literature review
33 that he developed.
34

35 Bernath and Miller agreed that TFW Policy needs to keep moving forward and not dawdle on this
36 topic. Somers expressed the same concern and said that he appreciates the option to bring the
37 majority/minority opinions to the Board for a decision to get to a resolution sooner rather than later.
38

39 Court Stanley cautioned Somers in that approach as he wants to ensure the adaptive management
40 process is adhered to.
41

42 Joe Stohr said he is encouraged of progress, however not encouraged with the length of time for a
43 recommendation.
44

45 TFW Policy co-chairs will present at the August meeting a schedule to reach a recommendation plan
46 for the off-channel habitat and a progress report for electrofishing.
47

1 **CLEAN WATER ACT ASSURANCES**

2 Mark Hicks, Department of Ecology, reported that during this period, the following four milestones
3 were completed:

- 4 • Developing guidance strengthening the process for issuing Alternate Plans
- 5 • Bull Trout Overlay Temperature Effectiveness Monitoring Study
- 6 • Forested Wetlands Literature Synthesis
- 7 • Revised Wetland Program Research Strategy

8
9 He also reported that work was initiated on several additional milestones and that the Type N strategy
10 was changed from “Completed” to “Off Track” to reflect the substantial delay in implementing a key
11 milestone component.

12
13 **TAYLOR’S CHECKERSPOT BUTTERFLY ANNUAL REPORT**

14 Sherri Felix, DNR, said that this was the seventh annual report that implements the Board’s
15 protection strategy for the Taylor’s checkerspot butterfly (TCB). She said the approach relies on the
16 following process.

- 17 • Tracking FPAs proposed within one mile of WDFW identified butterfly habitat (new, renewed, or
18 amended FPAs);
- 19 • Conditioning FPAs if/when WDFW determines the FPA poses a potential risk to the butterfly;
- 20 • Voluntary landowner planning with WDFW to develop TCB management plans;
- 21 • Annual reports to the Board by DNR and WDFW on program issues associated with individual
22 FPAs and the status of landowner-WDFW management plans.

23
24 She said the Board’s unanimous approval in 2014 to expand its protection approach to include the
25 newly designated Federal critical habitat sites that have private or state managed forest land within
26 one mile added about half dozen habitat areas, and added Skagit and Island counties to the screening
27 process.

28
29 She said 17 permits were within one mile of either WDFW identified TCB habitat or Federal habitat
30 and were evaluated by WDFW concerning any potential risk to the butterfly. No FPAs needed to be
31 conditioned and no protection issues have arisen during those activities.

32
33 Gary Bell, WDFW, said that there are currently three large landowners with TCB plans in place and
34 all are going well.

35
36 He said conservation activity continues in North and South Puget Sound areas and counties continue
37 to utilize WDFW’s data for planning.

38
39 Bob Guenther asked what is involved in the restoration efforts. Bell responded that most restoration
40 efforts to date have included targeted brush and limited conifer removal to maintain nectar plants that
41 support the butterfly.

42
43 **WESTERN GRAY SQUIRREL REPORT**

44 Donelle Mahan, DNR, provided highlights of the report that implements the Board’s protection
45 strategy for Western Gray Squirrel (WGS). She said the voluntary protection approach involves the
46 following process:

- 47 • Tracking and screening FPA’s proposed within 0.25 miles of WDFW identified
48 occurrences/habitat;

- 1 • WDFW determines WGS presence/absence via nest surveys; if present, develop voluntary
- 2 management plan with landowners
- 3 • WGS plan completed/implemented.

4
5 Gary Bell, WDFW, said for the period of January 1 through December 31, 2014, there were 109
6 FPA/Ns identified as potentially being associated with WGS with the majority in Klickitat County.
7 He said 42 were associated with large landowners and 67 were associated with small forest
8 landowners.

9
10 He said 100 of the 109 FPA/Ns needed further review, including confirming presence or absence,
11 conducting a nest survey, or confirming appropriate protection measures implemented. Thirty-one of
12 those 100 required development or implementation of a management plan.

13
14 He said overall, all known WGS related FPAs were identified and plans continue achieving
15 conservation.

16
17 Tom Laurie said he is pleased with the report and that it seems like an effective in tracking. He noted
18 that all FPA have been reviewed and thought it was great. He asked when the formal status review
19 will begin and how long it will take. Bell stated the status review should be going out for public
20 review in August, and a final report with recommendations will be presented to the Fish and Wildlife
21 Commission in January 2016.

22
23 Brent Davis asked what the process for the FPAs that were identified with less than ideal conditions.
24 Bell responded that there is no regulatory process as it is a voluntary approach; however they are
25 required by law to maintain the required nest trees.

26 27 **UPDATE ON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY'S NONPOINT PLAN**

28 Melissa Gildersleeve, Department of Ecology, provided an update on what the plan looks like and
29 how the work by the Board fits into the plan. She said the updates will include short and long-term
30 goals, objectives and strategies; have an implementation focus; strengthening partnerships and
31 management measures. She said that the Board's rules addressing forestry nonpoint pollution will be
32 a critical piece of the plan as well as the regulatory framework on forest practices for implementing
33 the plan as well as the adaptive management process and effectiveness monitoring.

34 35 **PUBLIC COMMENT**

36 Karen Terwilleger, WFPA, said they support the CMER budget and additional staff position within
37 Adaptive Management Program.

38
39 Marc Gauthier, Upper Columbia United Tribes, recommended that the Type F literature review
40 consider seasonal variability, winter fish habitat or limitations of electrofishing technology itself to
41 determine if the appropriate call on viable fish habitat and potential fish habitat is made.

42 43 **PUBLIC COMMENT OF SMALL FOREST LANDOWNER ALTERNATE PLAN** 44 **TEMPLATE PROPOSAL INITIATION**

45 Ken Miller, WFFA, thanked the Board for moving the template into the adaptive management
46 process. He said that small landowners believe this will help them resolve what they believe was the
47 intent with the Regulatory Fairness Act and the Small Business Economic Impact Statement 15 years
48 ago. He also invited the Board to a mini field day at his family tree farm on June 6, 2015.

1
2 Doug Hooks, WFPA, said he supports the Adaptive Management Program Administrator’s
3 recommendation for a science and policy track for the proposal.
4

5 **SMALL FOREST LANDOWNER ALTERNATE PLAN TEMPLATE PROPOSAL**
6 **INITIATION**

7 Hans Berge, DNR, recommended a three step strategy which would include a “policy track” and a
8 “science track” to move this proposal through the adaptive management process. The 3 steps include:

- 9 • TFW Policy Committee to determine whether the alternate plan template proposal meets the
10 criteria outlined in WAC 222-12-0403 for alternate plans by October 2015.
11 • Conduct a literature synthesis to evaluate the forest practices functions of the riparian zone by
12 March 2016.
13 • Provide recommendation to the Board at the May 2016 meeting.
14

15 **MOTION:** Tom Laurie moved the Forest Practices Board direct TFW Policy to implement the
16 strategy as recommended. The steps forward include

- 17 • Beginning no later than October 2015, determine whether the alternate plan
18 template proposal meets the criteria outlined in WAC 222-12-0403 and consider
19 different strategies for moving forward;
20 • Beginning October 2015 initiate a literature synthesis to evaluate forest practices
21 functions of the riparian zone; and
22 • Providing a recommendation of next steps to complete the evaluation of the
23 proposal at the May 2016 Board meeting.
24

25 **SECONDED:** Heather Ballash

26
27 **ACTION:** Motion passed unanimously.
28

29 **PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM 2016-2017**
30 **BUDGET**

31 None.
32

33 **ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM 2016-2017 BUDGET AND CMER MASTER**
34 **PROJECT SCHEDULE PROGRESS**

35 Hans Berge, DNR, reviewed the budget with the Board and highlighted the following:

- 36 • Continuing Lean improvements/staffing Environmental Planner 3
37 • LiDAR model
38 • Riparian Function Literature Synthesis
39 • TFW Policy Committee facilitation
40 • CMER Conference
41 • Report to Legislature
42

43 Berge requested the Board’s approval of the 2016-2017 budget as proposed with the expectation that
44 the budget may need to be revised at the August 2015.
45

46 Tom Laurie asked what the contingency fund is used for. Berge responded that it is used for
47 unplanned projects that may come up.

1
2 **MOTION:** Dave Somers moved the Forest Practices Board approve the 2016-2017 budget
3 dated 5/12/15.
4

5 **SECONDED:** Tom Laurie
6

7 **AMENDMENT**

8 **TO MOTION:** Court Stanley moved to amend the motion to include an additional \$140,000 per
9 fiscal year for CMER Science Staff (line 7).
10

11 **SECONDED:** Carmen Smith
12

13 **ACTION ON**

14 **AMENDMENT:** Motion passed unanimously.
15

16 **ACTION:** Motion passed unanimously.
17

18 **PUBLIC COMMENT ON CULTURAL RESOURCE PROTECTION CONCERNS AND TFW**
19 **CULTURAL RESOURCES ROUNDTABLE PROPOSED ACTION ITEMS**

20 John Sirois, Upper Columbia United Tribes, said he was concerned about the recent inconsistencies
21 that have taken place in terms of change or interpretation of the authorities DNR has for protecting
22 cultural resources. He added that as we move forward we need to look at commitment to uphold the
23 original HCP that provided a basis of establishing a process for protecting cultural resources. He
24 encouraged the Board to engage in the discussions and process.
25

26 Marc Gauthier, Upper Columbia United Tribes (UCUT), stated that there are some shortcomings in
27 the current process that have hindered his Tribes ability to appropriately protect cultural resources.
28 He is hopeful that a resolution will come to pass and applications will be conditioned again to protect
29 cultural resources. He also referenced the UCUT's resolution that identified six suggestions that they
30 would like to see occur.
31

32 David Powell, Yakama Nation, spoke on behalf of Councilwoman Ruth Jim. Jim submitted a
33 comment that referenced a letter sent to the Board in March 2015 that included a solution for
34 implementing the cultural goals of the 1987 TFW Agreement. Powell shared his disappointment with
35 the Forest Practices Division in not consistently complying with WAC 222-20-120. He indicated that
36 if the Forest Practices Division continues to approve FPAs without the required tribal-landowner
37 meeting, they will be forced to appeal one of those FPAs. He encourage the Board to advise the
38 division to comply with all provisions of WAC 222-20-120 to avoid costly appeals.
39

40 Jim Peters, Squaxin Island Tribe, said he disappointed that DNR has back stepped from where they
41 were when he was at DNR as the tribal liaison. He said at that time the Forest Practices Division
42 worked on ways to implement protection measures and provide training for FP foresters and others.
43 He said the Division then encouraged tribes and landowners to develop plans to work on prior to the
44 application submittal.
45
46

1 **TFW CULTURAL RESOURCES ROUNDTABLE REPORT AND PROPOSED ACTION**
2 **ITEMS**

3 Karen Terwilleger, co-chair, provided an overview on the “draft discussion strategy” document
4 which outlines the issue and next steps as well as identifies additional information needed for
5 discussion to move the issue forward. She said understanding DNR conditioning authority under 222-
6 20-120(4) is key in order for the group to move forward with a solution.

7
8 She also asked the Board for funding for a facilitator to assist the Roundtable in developing solutions
9 and place the Cultural Resources Roundtable quarterly report as a standing agenda topic rather than a
10 staff report.

11
12 Jeffrey Thomas, co-chair, stated that this is a Board issue and that the Roundtable is doing work on
13 the Board’s request. He stated that this has been a long standing issue and that the Board should have
14 acted on it last year. He presented and referenced several documents that referenced the issues and
15 commitments made by stakeholders to protect cultural resources.

16
17 Everett said that he supports the request for funding for a facilitator and that the Roundtable should
18 continue with the task of sorting out the conditioning authority issue. He also thought the Roundtable
19 should expand the scope of the annual survey.

20
21 Terwilleger and Dave Herrera both acknowledged the frustration by the Tribes with the process and
22 how long of an issue this has been.

23
24 Dave Somers acknowledge the long standing issue and the commitment from day one as Thomas
25 presented. He noted some progress, however is unclear if the current issue is a legal or policy issue.
26 He said he is also confused that a process was in place that was working and now it’s not. He also
27 supports funding for a facilitator.

28
29 Herrera stated Commissioner Goldmark has spoken in support of resolving the issue and made a
30 commitment for his staff to work with the Tribes for a resolution. He said that all parties need to be
31 involved for the solution and supports funding for facilitation and note taking.

32
33 Court Stanley suggested the Tribal, industry and agency leaders get together to reenergize the
34 commitment made long ago.

35
36 Brent Davies acknowledged the Tribal members who attended the meeting today from Spokane,
37 Yakima and Colville. She also stated that she is looking forward to hearing more about the issue and
38 getting to a solution together.

39
40 Joe Stohr noted the importance of protecting these cultural resources and making a commitment in
41 some way is necessary.

42
43 **MOTION:** Aaron Everett moved the Forest Practices Board recommend and request DNR to
44 allocate funding from the Forests and Fish Support Account to retain professional
45 facilitation and note taking services at TFW Cultural Resources Roundtable meetings.

46
47 He further moved the Forest Practice Board direct the TFW Cultural Resources
48 Roundtable to:

- Continue work to resolve the Forest Practices Application conditioning issues identified in the “draft discussion strategy” dated April 21, 2015; by
 - Understanding the extent of DNR’s conditioning authority, and the role of the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation in the Forest Practices Application approval process;
 - Expanding the scope of the annual survey to collect more complete information on how the current system of cultural resource protection is working;
 - Reporting to the Board on its progress in a standing agenda item at each quarterly meeting; and
- At the August 2015 meeting, the report will include a strategy and a timeline for reaching resolution.

He further moved the Board request a short public memo from the Board’s counsel on the extent and nature of DNR’s conditioning authority for cultural resource protection.

SECONDED: Bill Little

Board Discussion:

Dave Somers asked if the public memo would be available by the August Board meeting and Everett responded yes.

Tom Laurie asked if there would be a duration or the facilitation services. Everett suggested to start with a year.

ACTION: Motion passed unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT ZONE CLARIFICATION RULE MAKING

Kevin Godbout, Weyerhaeuser, said he believes that this rule making is more than just a clarification of the current rule. He said the ability to move outer zone trees around within one riparian management zone segment is a practical way in which they manage their riparian management zones.

Doug Hooks, Washington Forest Protection Association, said he agrees with Kevin Godbout and questioned the priority of this rule making at this time. He asked the Board to consider the current workload of all stakeholders and if this can be put off for a while.

RULE MAKING ON RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT ZONE CLARIFICATION

Sherry Felix, DNR, asked the Board to consider rule making to clarify the riparian management zone (RMZ) rules for outer zone leave trees by approving the filing of a CR-101 Preproposal Notice of Inquiry with the Code Reviser’s Office to notify the public of the Board’s consideration.

She said that current RMZ rules set up three different zones starting from the water; the core, inner and the outer. The outer zone is furthest from the water. The rules require trees to be left in the outer zone of the RMZ according to certain harvest options.

1 Staff is recommending the Board considering clarifying when more than one harvest option is chosen
2 along a stream that outer zone leave trees are to be left in the same RMZ segment as the chosen
3 harvest option.

4
5 Several board members questioned the priority of this rule making and asked for additional
6 information to warrant the need for rule making. The Board rescheduled this discussion for a future
7 meeting.

8
9 **ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM WETLAND RESEARCH AND MONITORING**
10 **STRATEGY: FOREST PRACTICES AND WETLANDS REPORT AND EFFECTS OF**
11 **FORESTED ROADS AND TREE REMOVAL IN OR NEAR WETLANDS OF THE PACIFIC**
12 **NORTHWEST LITERATURE SYNTHESIS**

13 Due to time constraints, topic moved to the August meeting.

14
15 **PUBLIC COMMENT ON BOARD'S 2015 WORK PLAN**

16 None.

17
18 **2015 WORK PLANNING**

19 Marc Engel, DNR, recapped some of the changes made today. The Board adjusted their work plan to
20 reflect the following:

- 21 • Adjust time line from November to August for Type F;
- 22 • Adjust time line from November to 2016 work plan for RMZ rule clarification and Board Manual
23 Section 7; and
- 24 • Add Cultural Resources Roundtable Recommendations task for August

25
26 **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

27 None.

28
29 Meeting adjourned at 4:15 p.m.