Appendix B

Analytical Framework Focus Paper





The Analytical Framework

Focus Paper #1

This focus paper was part of a series presented to the Board of Natural Resources in October and November 2015 to inform development of the marbled murrelet long-term conservation strategy alternatives. The purpose of this paper is to describe the framework of assumptions agreed to by DNR and USFWS to guide the development and evaluation of the marbled murrelet long-term conservation strategy.

■ What Is the Analytical Framework?

The analytical framework is a methodology agreed upon by DNR and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), also referred to as the "Joint Agencies," to provide objective, repeatable, science-based estimates of potential impacts and mitigation to marbled murrelet habitat from DNR's land management activities under the *State Trust Lands Habitat Conservation Plan* (1997 HCP). The analytical framework provides the means to assess how DNR's mitigation measures cover potential impacts. This quantification will enable the Joint Agencies to evaluate whether a proposed conservation strategy meets the issuance criteria for the Incidental Take Permit.

The analytical framework is based on a principal hypothesis of murrelet conservation biology: that the quantity and quality of nesting habitat affects the murrelet population.¹ The interaction of habitat quantity

¹ Refer to "Report on Marbled Murrelet Recovery Implementation Team Meeting and Stakeholder Workshop," April 2012, USFWS.

and quality determines the overall number of nesting opportunities, and proximity to forest edges² reduces nest success (USFWS 1997). This framework is based on current marbled murrelet science, and makes use of detailed landscape data applicable to DNR-managed lands. The analytical framework provides a means to derive objective answers to questions that the Joint Agencies began asking when discussing the specifics of forming a long-term conservation strategy for the marbled murrelet. These questions included the following:

- How is habitat distinguished from non-habitat?
- How does stand structure and composition affect habitat quality?
- How do forest edges created by timber harvest and forest roads influence habitat quality?
- How does habitat quality influence its value to murrelets?
- How can impacts to habitat be quantified?
- How much habitat conservation meets the requirements of an HCP?

The analytical framework also includes an approach to evaluating impacts on the population of marbled murrelets under different conservation alternatives (a "biological implications" analysis). Figure 1 illustrates the key pieces of the framework.

-

² A "forest edge" is an abrupt transition between two populations of trees, where the characteristics of the forest on one side are different from the other.

Figure 1. Key Pieces of the Analytical Framework

Determine the location, quality and quantity of available habitat

- Use landscape and survey data to evaluate habitat quality and quantity in the analysis area (P-stage model)
- Project habitat development and quality into the future (2067)

Identify areas of long-term forest cover

- Determine where quality habitat already is protected from harvest
- Evaluate the growth of new habitat in these areas through time
- Target conservation strategies (alternatives) to these areas

Calculate potential impacts and mitigation through time

- Identify potential impacts to habitat and nest success from harvest, edge effects, and other types of disturbance
- Calculate mitigation credit and discount impacts

Evaluate impacts on the marbled murrelet population

- Assess the biological implications of conservation alternatives
- Determine whether alternatives meet issuance criteria for incidental take permit

■ What Is the Analysis Area for the Analytical Framework?

The analysis area for the analytical framework is all DNR-managed lands within 55-miles of all marine waters in western Washington. This 55-mile line is the same as was used in Northwest Forest Plan (USDA, 1994), and is used by USFWS as an estimate of the inland range of the marbled murrelet in Washington.

The total land covered by the analysis area is approximately 16 million acres. DNR manages 9 percent of this land, or just over 1.3 million acres. Habitat conservation in the analysis area is organized based on two categories of landscapes: "High Value Landscapes" and "Marginal Landscapes." High Value Landscapes are further subdivided into "Strategic Locations" (Southwest Washington, North Puget, OESF and Straits (west of the Elwah River) and "Other High Value Landscapes" (not considered strategic locations). (Refer to Figure 2.) Other lands within the analysis

Figure 2. Analysis Area for the Analytical Framework



area are owned and managed by private industries, municipalities, organizations and individuals, as well as federal agencies. Table 1 includes a breakdown of ownership within the analysis area.

Not all of the lands owned and managed by DNR within the analysis area are marbled murrelet habitat. A key component of the analytical framework is defining what constitutes marbled murrelet habitat on DNR-managed lands so that conservation strategies, impacts, and mitigation can be evaluated.

Table 1. Land Ownership within Analysis Area

Land within 55 miles of saltwater	А	Acres	
Total land regardless of ownership	16,0	16,056,074	
	Acres	Percent	
US Forest Service, USFWS, National Park Service land	4,165,681	26%	
DNR-managed land	1,377,933	9%	
Private and other	10,512,460	65%	

■ How Is Habitat Defined in the Analytical Framework?

In order to quantify potential mitigation and impacts, the Joint Agencies need to determine where habitat exists today and into the future on DNR-managed lands within the analysis area. The approach to assessing potential marbled murrelet habitat was developed after construction of a probabilistic model to estimate nesting habitat using survey data, and development of an expert-driven classification of this probabilistic model to give it greater geographical applicability.

This classification model, known as "P-stage," was created and peer-reviewed as part of the Science Team Report (Raphael and others 2008), and was refined for use in the long-term conservation strategy. By using this model, the Joint Agencies can:

- Project habitat development into the future, allowing an estimate of how much habitat will grow during the remainder of the 1997 HCP;
- Identify habitat and classify its quality at the stand level;
- Use information compatible with DNR's forest inventory data;
- Apply the same habitat model across all DNR-managed lands in the analysis area.

Appendix E, "P-stage Focus Paper," details the P-stage methodology and how it compares to other habitat models.

■ What Are Areas of Long-Term Forest Cover?

The analysis area includes lands already protected by the 1997 HCP or other state laws and policies that guide the management of forest lands. Areas of long-term forest cover (LTFC) include lands on which DNR maintains and grows forest cover for conservation purposes, including habitat conservation for the marbled murrelet. These areas potentially provide marbled murrelet nesting habitat and support their conservation. The location, size, and quality of these areas will vary among the conservation alternatives being developed. Appendix G, "LTFC Focus Paper," includes a detailed description of what lands are included in LTFC.

■ How Are Potential Impacts Defined in the Analytical Framework?

Based on an assessment of activities that are permitted under the 1997 HCP, the Joint Agencies identified three categories of potential impacts: **harvest impacts**, **edge-influenced impacts**, and **disturbance impacts**. These three types of impacts are briefly described in this section; for additional detail on how each type quantifies potential impacts, refer to Appendix H, "Potential Impacts and Mitigation Focus Paper."

What Are Harvest Impacts?

Harvest impacts result in the removal of potential marbled murrelet habitat (acres with P-stage value) through harvest activities. Harvest mostly occurs in areas outside of LTFC (some thinning may be allowed within LTFC for purposes of improving forested habitats over time). New road construction associated with harvest is another impact. The impacts of harvest on the marbled murrelet include possible loss of nesting habitat and loss of potential future reproduction.

What Are Edge-influenced Impacts?

Edge-influenced impacts are associated with the forest edge left after harvest activities. Roads also create edges. Edge effects include microclimate changes, increased predation, and increased windthrow. Each of these effects can have a detrimental impact on marbled murrelet nesting success.

What Are Disturbance Impacts?

Disturbance impacts are impacts on murrelets that may occur from actions that generate loud noises and activity in close proximity to nesting murrelets. These types of disturbances can result in a potential disruption of murrelet breeding and nesting behaviors.

The Joint Agencies identified 36 DNR activities that may cause disturbance. Examples are:

- Recreational site use
- Sand and gravel sales
- Electronic site maintenance
- Road use and maintenance
- Collection of western greens, Christmas greens, and mushrooms.

A step-by-step description of how disturbance impacts are evaluated is included in Appendix H, "Potential Impacts and Mitigation Focus Paper."

■ How Is Mitigation Defined in the Analytical Framework?

To quantify mitigation, the Joint Agencies examine how many acres of long-term forest cover have a P-stage habitat value today and at the end of the 1997 HCP in 2067. Habitat values are adjusted based on time, edge effects, and other factors including geographic location. DNR's mitigation credit is calculated by subtracting current habitat from the future habitat in order to assign mitigation credit to total growth of habitat over the life of the 1997 HCP.

The alternatives feature different levels of conservation area acreage; this variation will accordingly alter the amount of mitigation credit and the potential impacts. A description of how mitigation credit is calculated is included in in Appendix H, "Potential Impacts and Mitigation Focus Paper."

■ How Does the Analytical Framework Relate to the Development and Analysis of Conservation Alternatives?

Development of the long-term conservation strategy includes an analysis of different alternatives for managing habitat on DNR-managed lands. Eight alternatives have been developed for analysis under the revised draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS). The alternatives represent a range of possible habitat conservation approaches for the marbled murrelet.

The analytical framework provides the common playing field for analyzing and comparing these alternatives. For example, although management approaches and amounts of habitat set aside for conservation may differ among alternatives, the same methodology to evaluate their potential impacts will be applied across all alternatives.

Evaluating Population Impacts

DNR provided the habitat data used in the development of alternatives to Dr. Zach Peery of the University of Wisconsin to evaluate the biological implications of different conservation approaches. He modeled relative impacts to the population (both regionally and on DNR-managed lands) from each alternative. This information will be used as part of the environmental impact statement work evaluating the alternatives, and may be used by USFWS for their biological opinion on the conservation strategy.

Literature Cited

Raphael, M. G., S. K. Nelson, P. Swedeen, M. Ostwald, K. Flotlin, S. Desimone, S. Horton, P. Harrison, D. P. Escene and W. Jaross. 2008. Recommendations and Supporting Analysis Marbled Murrelet Science Report Recommendations (Science Team Report) Washington State Department of Natural Resources

U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1994. Northwest Forest Plan

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1997. Recovery Plan for the Threatened Marbled Murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) in Washington, Oregon, and California

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. Report on Marbled Murrelet Recovery Implementation Team Meeting and Stakeholder Workshop. On file with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Western Washington Office, 510 Desmond Dr. SE, Suite 102, Lacey, WA 98503